

Journal of Business Ethics

'Activists-in-a-suit': paradoxes and metaphors in sustainability managers' identity work --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	BUSI-D-15-01969R3
Article Type:	Thematic Symposium: Paradoxes in Corporate Sustainability (T0001)
Full Title:	'Activists-in-a-suit': paradoxes and metaphors in sustainability managers' identity work
Keywords:	corporate sustainability; sustainability manager; identity work; metaphor; paradox
Corresponding Author:	Luca Carollo, M.D. Universita degli Studi di Milano Milan, Lombardy, Italy ITALY
Corresponding Author E-Mail:	luca.carollo@unimi.it
Order of Authors:	Luca Carollo, M.D. Marco Guerci
Funding Information:	
Abstract:	<p>Both sustainability and identity are said to be paradoxical issues in organizations. In this study we look at the paradoxes of corporate sustainability at the individual level by studying the identity work of those managers who hold sustainability-dedicated roles in organizations. Analyzing 26 interviews with sustainability managers, we identify three main tensions affecting their identity construction process: the business vs. values oriented, the organizational insider vs. outsider, and the short-term vs. long-term focused identity work tensions. When dealing with these tensions, some interviewees express a paradoxical perspective in attempting to accept and maintain the two poles of each of them simultaneously. It emerges in particular that metaphorical reasoning can be used by sustainability managers in varied ways to cope with the tensions of identity work. We read these findings in light of the existing literature on the relation between paradoxes and identity work, highlighting and discussing their implications for both research and practice.</p>
Response to Reviewers:	<p>January 21st, 2016</p> <p>Manuscript: "'Activists-in-a-suit': paradoxes and metaphors in sustainability managers' identity work" (BUSI-D-15-01969)</p> <p>Dear Professors Hahn, Preuss, Pinkse and Figge, We would like to thank once again you and the referees for the time and effort given to reviewing our paper for JBE. Going through this reviewing process has been a great experience and we are convinced that yours and reviewers' comments have largely improved the quality of our work. Moreover, we are really happy to be part of the research community on paradoxes in corporate sustainability and we are looking forward to see all the scholarly contributions that will be included in the special thematic symposium you are editing.</p> <p>As regards the points raised in your last communication, we have implemented some changes in the manuscript in order to meet your requests: -we have revised the layout of the manuscript in order to make it in line with the author guidelines of the Journal of Business Ethics. We have thus included two more keywords in the front page; we have added the so-called 'running head'; the position of the tables is now indicated in the margin of the manuscript and, more in general, we have checked that the formatting of the tables is in line with the journal's style; we also corrected the references included within the text deleting the coma between the author's surname and the year of publication, as typical of JBE reference style. -we have further shortened the manuscript deleting words, sentences and in some cases paragraphs (like the paragraph that previously introduced the Discussion) in order to make it more synthetic and accessible. We hope that in its current shape and length the paper is acceptable for being included in the thematic symposium. -we have corrected the reference to Lähdesmäki 2012, re-checked the reference list and updated all the references that were previously 'forthcoming' and are now</p>

published in specific journals' issues (e.g. the paper by Hahn, Figge, Aragón-Correa & Sharma on Business and Society).

-the headline of section 5 is now "Main implications" as you recommended.

Besides these changes, do not hesitate to contact us in the case that further revisions and corrections are needed in the future.

Looking forward to hearing from you about the progresses of the thematic symposium.

Thank you very much and best regards,

Luca and Marco

**‘Activists-in-a-suit’:
paradoxes and metaphors in sustainability managers’ identity work**

Luca Carollo*

Department of Social and Political Sciences
Università Statale di Milano
Via Conservatorio 7
20122, Milano
luca.carollo@unimi.it

Marco Guerci

Department of Social and Political Sciences
Università Statale di Milano
Via Conservatorio 7
20122, Milano
marco.guerci@unimi.it

[ABSTRACT]

Both sustainability and identity are said to be paradoxical issues in organizations. In this study we look at the paradoxes of corporate sustainability at the individual level by studying the identity work of those managers who hold sustainability-dedicated roles in organizations. Analyzing 26 interviews with sustainability managers, we identify three main tensions affecting their identity construction process: the business vs. values oriented, the organizational insider vs. outsider, and the short-term vs. long-term focused identity work tensions. When dealing with these tensions, some interviewees express a paradoxical perspective in attempting to accept and maintain the two poles of each of them simultaneously. It emerges in particular that metaphorical reasoning can be used by sustainability managers in varied ways to cope with the tensions of identity work. We read these findings in light of the existing literature on the relation between paradoxes and identity work, highlighting and discussing their implications for both research and practice.

Key words: corporate sustainability, sustainability manager, identity work, metaphor, paradox

*Corresponding author

Running head: Sustainability managers as ‘activists in a suit’

Article type: Research article

Title: ‘Activists-in-a-suit’: paradoxes and metaphors in sustainability managers’ identity work

Abstract: Both sustainability and identity are said to be paradoxical issues in organizations. In this study we look at the paradoxes of corporate sustainability at the individual level by studying the identity work of those managers who hold sustainability-dedicated roles in organizations. Analyzing 26 interviews with sustainability managers, we identify three main tensions affecting their identity construction process: the business vs. values oriented, the organizational insider vs. outsider, and the short-term vs. long-term focused identity work tensions. When dealing with these tensions, some interviewees express a paradoxical perspective in attempting to accept and maintain the two poles of each of them simultaneously. It emerges in particular that metaphorical reasoning can be used by sustainability managers in varied ways to cope with the tensions of identity work. We read these findings in light of the existing literature on the relation between paradoxes and identity work, highlighting and discussing their implications for both research and practice.

Key words: corporate sustainability, sustainability manager, identity work, metaphor, paradox

1 Reports produced by professional associations and consultancy companies confirm that the number
2 of executive positions in the sustainability area is increasing (GreenBiz 2013; Weinreb Group
3 2014), and sustainability has recently ascended the corporate ladder to reach the top managerial
4 levels (Strand 2014). Individuals who work to embed sustainability in business contexts, however,
5 are likely to be perceived in different ways. On the one hand, they are often considered to be
6 ineffectual or even retrogressive by critical observers (Crane 2000; Banerjee 2001) because critical
7 voices tend to dispute the attempts to apply sustainability in business contexts, denouncing their
8 'masked' economic interests (e.g. Forbes and Jermier 2010; Banerjee 2011). On the other hand,
9 'defenders of the status quo' may suspect them of being too radical and borderline (Wright et al.
10 2012), so that their efforts are likely to encounter the internal resistance of those who hold a more
11 traditional view of business (Wright et al. 2012; Wickert and Schaefer 2015). As a result, previous
12 contributions have argued that individuals who hold sustainability-related roles experience high
13 frustration, feeling torn between multiple personal and organizational conflicting goals (Wright et
14 al. 2012; Wright and Nyberg 2012; Visser and Crane 2010).

15 Corporate sustainability (henceforth CS) represents indeed a complex achievement, because the
16 multiple goals that it entails and the multiple means available to achieve it cause tensions in the
17 organization at different levels. Given the persistence of these tensions, a paradox theoretical
18 framework (Poole and Van de Ven 1989; Lewis 2000; Smith and Lewis 2011) has recently been
19 advanced to consider CS issues (e.g. Hahn et al. 2015; Van der Byl and Slawinski 2015). This
20 framework encourages actors to paradoxically accept and engage with the emerging tensions of CS
21 by employing a holistic 'both/and' mind-set. It seems, however, that the growing strand of studies
22 on the paradoxical nature of CS has to date given little space to the conflicts and tensions
23 experienced at the individual level. In our paper we advance an identity work perspective
24 (Sveningsson and Alvesson 2003; Watson 2008 and 2009; Brown 2015) to look at the paradoxes of
25 CS at the individual level.

1 Identity work, understood as the dynamic process leading to the formation of a distinctive and
2 coherent sense of the self (Sveningsson and Alvesson 2003), has also proved to be affected by
3
4 tensions and contradictions, in particular when performed by managerial actors (e.g. Sims 2003;
5
6 Clarke et al. 2009; Wright et al. 2012). This would particularly apply to those who hold managerial
7
8 roles dedicated to CS in organizations, because of the paradoxical nature of this domain and their
9
10 centrality in tackling CS issues (Strand 2014; Wickert and Schaefer 2015). We argue that looking at
11
12 the tensions that affect sustainability managers' self-understandings have important implications on
13
14 how these actors deal with the multiple and conflicting goals that CS implies, and it enables the
15
16 emergence of new 'hybrid' professional and social identities (Ghadiri et al. 2015). Building on these
17
18 considerations, in the present article we investigate what the paradoxical tensions affecting
19
20 sustainability managers' identity work are, and how these managers cope with them.
21
22
23
24
25

26 The empirical results reported here assume a conception of identity as a continuous casting and
27
28 recasting of the self through discursive practices (Humphreys and Brown 2002), consistently with
29
30 the rich research stream on the discursive construction of identity (e.g. Musson and Duberley 2007;
31
32 Watson 2008, 2009; Wright et al. 2012). Accordingly, we stimulated discursive accounts of identity
33
34 work through 26 narrative and semi-structured interviews with sustainability managers. On
35
36 analyzing the interviews, we identified three tensions affecting their identity-construction process:
37
38 business vs. values orientation, organizational insider vs. outsider, and short-term vs. long-term
39
40 focus. In terms of dealing with these tensions, some sustainability managers situate their self
41
42 towards one pole of each tension, whereas others express a paradoxical identity work aimed at
43
44 embracing both poles. We found, in particular, that in some cases sustainability managers resort to
45
46 metaphors in order to bridge conflicting representations of the self. Overall, we believe that these
47
48 findings contribute to the literature by showing that multiple tensions reverberate at the individual
49
50 level in sustainability managers' identity work; by highlighting the role of metaphorical reasoning
51
52 in identity construction processes; and by explaining how metaphors work as strategies to cope, in
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

defensive or constructive ways, with the paradoxical tensions that characterize sustainability managers' identity work.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. We first review the literature on the paradoxical nature of CS and identity work in order to frame our research question. The description of our methodological approach introduces the findings section, where we illustrate three tensions of identity work and the relative coping strategies adopted by our interviewees. Finally, the findings are discussed in light of the literature and their contributions to it.

1.1 Corporate sustainability paradoxes at the individual level: the case of sustainability managers

CS is typically defined as the attempt of companies to manage their triple bottom line in order to balance at the same time their environmental, social and economic performances (Elkington 1998; Bansal 2005; Hahn et al. 2015). However, this is not a simple task, since it requires managers and other organizational actors 'to address multiple desirable but conflicting economic, environmental and social outcomes at firm and societal levels that operate in different time frames and follow different logics' (Hahn et al. 2014, p. 466). For this reason, Hahn and colleagues (2015) have recently advanced a paradox theoretical framework within which to consider CS. This framework is intended to supersede a win-win vision of CS as always beneficial for both the company and all its stakeholders, recognizing instead the multiple conflicts characterizing sustainability in business organizations (Van der Byl and Slawinski 2015).

Paradox theory relies on complexity thinking and it does not lend itself to positivist research approaches (Lewis 2000). According to Smith and Lewis (2011, p. 382), the basic unit of a paradox is its underlying tension, which consists in 'elements that seem logical individually but inconsistent and even absurd when juxtaposed'. A paradox perspective intervenes on the tension when, despite its inconsistency, it is conceived as 'contradictory yet interrelated elements that exist simultaneously and persist over time' (ibid.). There are many coping strategies available to address

1 a paradoxical tension (for a classic account see Poole and Van de Ven 1989), but those preferred
2 from a paradox theory point of view are strategies that encourage actors to ‘live with paradoxes’
3 and accept them as persistent and unsolvable puzzles (Lewis 2000; Clegg et al. 2002; Smith and
4 Lewis 2011). Accordingly, in the area of CS, a paradox approach is directed to support acceptance
5 strategies rather than to eliminate tensions among economic, environmental and social concerns
6 (Van der Byl and Slawinski 2015).
7

8
9
10
11
12
13
14 CS is said to be an issue that conveys paradoxes at three different levels i.e. systemic,
15 organizational, and individual (Hahn et al. 2015). However, it seems that the literature on the
16 paradoxical nature of CS has to date given little space to the conflict and tensions experienced at the
17 individual level within organizations. This is surprising since, paralleling what has been said in
18 relation to corporate social responsibility (Aguinis and Glavas 2012, p. 953), ‘individual actors are
19 those who actually strategize, make decisions, and execute’ CS initiatives. A major exception is the
20 theoretical piece by Hahn et al. (2014), who, while focusing on the individual level, suggest that
21 managers with a paradoxical cognitive frame can recognize the inherent contradictions of CS and
22 are thus better able to deal with sustainability issues than those who hold a business case cognitive
23 frame, which tends to deny the existence of tensions and approaches the matter from a win-win
24 perspective.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Considering the broader organization and management studies literature, researchers have recently
42 focused on a specific individual actor with a prominent role in developing and implementing CS
43 initiatives within organizations: the sustainability manager (Strand 2014; Wickert and Schaefer
44 2015). This growing strand of studies has shown that sustainability managers experience numerous
45 tensions in their work. In a study on their identity positioning in relation to the issue of climate
46 change for example, Wright et al. (2012) show how sustainability managers, by drawing on
47 multiple and conflicting discursive resources, give rise to the three conflicting identities of the
48 sustainability manager as a *green change agent*, *rational manager* and *committed activist*.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 a related article (Wright and Nyberg 2012), the authors focus on the emotional distress experienced
2 by sustainability managers due to these competing discourses and, in particular, to the clash between
3 the need to achieve business goals and other social and environmental goals. Similarly, with a
4 broader focus on individuals who purposefully undertake a 'responsible career', Tams and Marshall
5 (2011) show that individuals employed in emerging fields such as corporate responsibility and
6 sustainability experience a paradoxical positioning because they are situated between established
7 and emerging institutional fields and constrained by a lack of legitimacy in their work. In an
8 unpublished research paper, Visser and Crane (2010) report how sustainability managers are
9 sometimes frustrated by the apparent contradiction between sustainability ideals and more narrow
10 organizational goals.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 In sum, the current literature maintains that sustainability managers experience tensions in relation
25 to their work activity and those tensions have implications in terms of identity dynamics. However,
26 none of these studies employs paradox theory, and only one of them has explicitly focused on the
27 identity issues experienced by individuals engaged in CS-related roles from within organizations
28 (the article by Wright et al. 2012). As shown by Ghadiri et al. (2015) in the case of consultants, a
29 combination of identity work and paradox theory can be fruitfully used to explore how actors deal
30 with the paradoxical tensions of CS at the individual level. Given the importance of internal
31 managerial actors for the implementation of CS, we thus adopt an identity work frame (Sveningsson
32 and Alvesson 2003; Watson 2008, 2009; Brown 2015) to investigate the tensions that sustainability
33 managers experience in their work, complemented by the paradox theory perspective already
34 advanced for research on CS (Hahn et al. 2015; Van der Byl and Slawinski 2015). Following
35 Ghadiri et al. (2015), we argue that looking at the tensions that affect sustainability managers' self-
36 understandings has important implications on how these actors deal with the multiple and
37 conflicting goals that CS implies, and enable the emergence of new 'hybrid' professional and social
38 identities. Moreover, as we will illustrate in the next section, the concept of identity work can be
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 usefully and appropriately employed to consider how managerial actors deal with paradoxes,
2 especially those who work in a paradoxical domain like CS.
3
4
5
6

7 **1.2 Studying paradoxes in sustainability managers' identity work**

8
9 Identity is an important and well-established topic in contemporary organization studies (Ybema et
10 al. 2009; Eubanks et al. 2012; Brown 2015). An increasing number of articles have been devoted to
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

19 The concept of 'identity work' provides those interested in studying identity dynamics in
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

46 Although identity work is aimed at the production of a positive and distinct sense of the self
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 creative and commercially successful at the same time. In a recent empirical work, Beech et al.
2 (2016) contest the emphasis on coherence and resolution of tensions in identity studies and argue
3
4 for the conceptualization of identity work as a never-ending struggle.
5

6
7 Owing to the multiple competing pressures experienced in his/her work, a figure that has attracted
8
9 considerable attention in the literature is that of the manager. Managers have indeed been indicated
10
11 as a key group for the investigation of identity construction processes because they undertake
12
13 considerable ‘identity work’ as a consequence of those pressures (Knights and Willmott 1999;
14
15 Cunliffe 2009). Many contributions have focused both on the study of managers’ identity work in
16
17 general (e.g. Sveningsson and Alvesson 2003; Martin and Wajcman 2004; Watson 2009) and on the
18
19 identity dynamics of specific managerial figures such as supply chain and marketing managers
20
21 (Ellis and Ybema 2010), HR managers (Pritchard 2010), supervisors and middle managers (Sims
22
23 2003; Down and Reveley 2009; Harding et al. 2014), sustainability managers (Wright et al. 2012),
24
25 strategists (Dameron and Torset 2014), leaders (Nyberg and Sveningsson 2014) and “future
26
27 managers”, i.e. MBA students (Hallier and Summers 2011; Hay 2014).
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 In accordance with the broader literature on identity work, studies focused on managers confirm
35
36 that the ongoing process of identity construction of those engaged in managerial roles is often
37
38 characterized by dilemmas (Hallier and Summers 2011), struggles (Hay 2014), dualities (Clarke et
39
40 al. 2009), tensions and contradictions (Sims 2003; Sveningsson and Alvesson 2003; Wright et al.
41
42 2012). Many of these studies also report the negative effects of the tensions affecting managers’
43
44 identity work in terms of dissonance, anxiety and emotional distress (Clarke et al. 2009; Hallier and
45
46 Summers 2011; Nyberg and Sveningsson 2014).
47
48
49
50

51 A brief review of the identity work literature demonstrates that, as argued by Gotsi et al. (2010),
52
53 paradox and identity work theories can be usefully complemented in the study of problematic
54
55 organizational phenomena, especially when looking at the identity work undertaken by managerial
56
57 actors. This has been already proved for managerial domains such as strategy (Dameron and Torset
58
59 2014) and leadership (Nyberg and Sveningsson 2014), and it can thus be extended to a paradoxical
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 domain such as CS. Situated in the emergent stream of research on paradoxes in CS (Hahn et al.
2 2015; Van der Byl and Slawinski 2015), our study investigates the identity work undertaken by
3 sustainability managers. In doing so, we respond to the recent call for novel theoretical and
4 sustainability managers. In doing so, we respond to the recent call for novel theoretical and
5 methodological approaches to research on CS (Hahn et al. 2017). At the same time, we aim to
6 contribute to an emerging field of studies which addresses this issue on other CS-related roles (e.g.
7 Gadhiri et al. 2015, on consultants), while focusing on the individual level and exploring
8 sustainability managers' reactions to the paradoxical tensions that affect their identity work.
9

10 As a consequence of these considerations, the research question that we pose is this: what are the
11 paradoxical tensions affecting sustainability managers' identity work and how do these managers
12 deal with tensions in their efforts to built a sustainability-related image of their self?
13
14
15
16

17 **2. Research approach**

18 We position our research article within the rich research stream on the discursive construction of
19 identity (Musson and Duberley 2007; Watson 2008, 2009; Koning and Waistell 2012; Wright et al.
20 2012; Hay 2014), which conceives it as a continuous casting and recasting of the self through
21 discursive practices (Humphreys and Brown 2002). In so doing, we adopt a conception of identity
22 which is «fluid» and «fragmented», in accordance with postmodern and discursive studies of
23 identity work (Brown 2015).
24
25

26 Like much of the identity scholarship in organization studies, our research paper is based on
27 empirical material deriving from face-to-face interviews (Alvesson et al. 2008). Interviews are
28 considered appropriate because they provide an account of the linguistic and social categories used
29 by interviewees to make sense of their situation (Musson and Duberley 2007). A 'theoretically
30 informed use' of this research tool, moreover, makes it possible to undertake an interview as 'a site
31 for identity work' in which the interviewee's identity is situationally constructed and reconstructed
32 through the interaction with the interviewer (Alvesson 2003).
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

We based our sampling procedure on an Italian professional association connecting managers who work as sustainability and corporate responsibility managers in organizations (see Table 1 for a profile of respondents). In the period between March and November 2014, we conducted 26 interviews with sustainability managers operating in both national and multinational companies. We differentiated our interviewees on the basis of their age, gender, role seniority, and their managerial level and position in the company. These criteria were not intended to achieve statistical representativeness of the entire population of the members of the association, but rather to collect differentiated voices and perspectives from the field. Thanks to informal contacts, we also included in the sample four interviewees who were not members of the same professional network.

TABLE

18

approx.

here

21

TABLE

1

approx.

here

Following Alvesson and Willmott (2002 p. 640), we thus intended the interview to be ‘an open-ended input to identity work’. We used a mixed narrative and semi-structured interview track to investigate sustainability managers’ identity work. Accordingly, we divided the interview track into three parts respectively investigating the past, present and future of the interviewee. In the first part, we asked interviewees to reconstruct how they became sustainability managers, including both work and private life events that were significant to them. This narrative phase of the interview, which lasted from a minimum of twenty minutes to more than one hour, was highly unstructured and allowed us to create an atmosphere of confidentiality and trust with the research participants. We then investigated the current work situation of the interviewees by asking questions about their tasks, responsibilities, difficulties, relations with colleagues, and the perceptions of their work by other actors inside and outside the company. Finally, we asked interviewees to project themselves five or ten years forward and discuss whether and how they saw their future work in relation to CS. In order to avoid guiding responses, similarly to Gotsi et al. (2010) and Ellis and Ybema (2010) we chose not to use terms like ‘identity’, ‘tensions’ or ‘paradox’ in the interviews; rather, we tackled these topics indirectly by asking questions about the interviewees’ work, career history and private life. We let the interviewees choose the interview site in order to make them feel more comfortable: most of the interviews took place at the workplace, although six participants preferred to be

interviewed in a public place. All the interviews lasted between one and two hours, were full-recorded and transcribed.

Our theoretical background required an approach to data that would facilitate exploration of the interviewees' identity construction. This implied multiple stages of data analysis. The first was a data reduction stage in which we identified and isolated the interview passages related to discursive identity work. With a process similar to the one used by Harding et al. (2014), we focused on the passages where participants used the personal pronouns 'I', 'me' and 'you' to refer to their subjectivity, where they discussed their experience as sustainability manager in the company where they worked, and where they reported corporate initiatives or official communications about sustainability with reference to interviewees' personal role and experience. We then performed an open coding of the selected interview passages while being attentive to tracking passages that expressed conflict, tension and discomfort felt by the interviewees. In order to identify recurrent themes and expressions in the interviewees' discourses, we performed both a within-case and a cross-case analysis of the interview transcripts (Miles and Huberman 1994). This led to identification of the tensions and paradoxes of identity work illustrated in the Findings section (for further details on our coding process see Table 2). While examining the empirical documentation, we noticed that interviewees made large use of metaphors to refer to themselves and their work. We thus ran a final round of analysis to find metaphors in the transcriptions and understand how they were related to identity work. In the second and third phase of the analysis, two researchers performed a thematic analysis on the transcriptions. The researchers met regularly to discuss their interpretations: problems and inconsistencies were solved through the identification of 'key quotations' (Guest et al. 2012) which are now included in the next section of the paper.

We must finally acknowledge that there is a great deal of subjectivity both in the collection and the examination of our empirical documentation: we elicited a self-reflection on interviewees' identity through the co-production of discursive accounts of the self, and we coded the transcriptions using concepts and categories (identity work, tension, paradox, metaphor) that were mostly part of the

TABLE
2
approx.
here

TABLE
2
approx.
here

1 researchers' understanding of reality. Consistently with our interpretive and constructivist
2 epistemological stance, we are indeed aware that our research activity is a way of 'world making'
3
4 (Brown et al. 2008) that co-produces, together with our research participants, a particular version of
5
6 reality. Such a research attitude represents a move away from the 'aperspectival sense of
7
8 objectivity' that characterizes traditional organization science (McKinley 2003 p. 142) because it
9
10 recognizes that every act of interpretation is necessarily situated and subjective (Mauthner and
11
12 Doucet 2003). We found this consistent with our aim to investigate sustainability managers'
13
14 identity work and with most of the scholarship on the discursive construction of identity (Brown
15
16 2015). A discursive approach to studying identity is furthermore consistent with paradox theory,
17
18 which requires alternatives to the traditional research approaches based on realism and rationality to
19
20 investigate complex phenomena in organizations (Lewis 2000).
21
22
23
24
25

26 In the next section we present the outcome of this research process, which consists in the
27
28 identification of three tensions affecting sustainability managers' identity work and how they
29
30 discursively cope with them.
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 **3. Findings**

38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Our figure, our profession... lends itself to multiple interpretations but probably it is as always a matter of synthesis. Personally speaking, I think I have a very Chinese mentality like the Yin and the Yang. I believe that in everything there is also its contrary and if there isn't... we need to create it.

Riccardo

50 We found that our interviewees discursively construct their identity by contrasting different
51
52 elements. These oppositions are structured in pairs and represent the three paradoxical identity
53
54 tensions that we identified when researching on sustainability managers' identity work. Several
55
56 interviewees deal with tensions by orienting their identity towards one of their two poles, whereas a
57
58 'happy few', like the strategists studied by Dameron and Torset (2014), express a paradoxical
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

identity work aimed at embracing those contrasting poles simultaneously. In the following section we provide data for each of the identity orientations in relation to the three identified tensions. We then present metaphors as cognitive strategy used by interviewees to cope with the tensions of identity work.

3.1 The business oriented vs. values oriented sustainability manager

As Linnenluecke and Griffiths (2010, p. 363) argue, to move towards CS, organizational leaders need to abandon a purely economic view of the firm and embrace “a more balanced set of social and environmental values”. At the same time, critical observers have highlighted how managerial actors tend to see only the business side of sustainability, stressing its economic value for the firm beyond any personal or ethical commitment (Crane 2000; Banerjee 2001). Accordingly, when analyzing sustainability managers’ discourses, we found two main themes indicating a tension in their identity work: a business orientation versus a values orientation. At one pole there is a dominant view of managerial work as oriented to profit maximization and financial performance. This pole recalls the image of the *rational* sustainability manager described by Wright et al. (2012). At the other pole, some interviewees state that they interpret their role as a mission, stressing the importance of consistency between personal values and their work, similarly to the *committed activist* sustainability manager of Wright et al. (2012) and the individuals undertaking a responsible career studied by Tams and Marshall (2011).

The business oriented sustainability manager. When orienting themselves towards this pole, interviewees reject the idea of being ‘do-gooders’. The sustainability manager of a big insurance company further elaborates thus:

I was raised by a Swiss-German nanny whose father was a Calvinist minister. My education was therefore Calvinist, which means that, being a sustainability manager, I’m generally considered to be a do-gooder. But in reality I’m not at all. For me, what matters is the utility produced by my work: I’m totally against do-gooders because, in my view, everybody should compete with each other. My colleagues say that I’m a hyena because they can’t talk to me about work problems... I don’t forgive anyone.

Nadia

1
2
3 Business-orientation is often connected to deep-lying aspects of personality, although the recurrence
4
5 of this argument indicates that it is a common discursive resource among sustainability managers:
6

7 I've always been... probably because of a cultural deficit of mine, not really good at talking about ethics. I prefer
8
9 talking about... concrete actions that are... functionally directed to supporting the company's value-creation.
10

Antonio

11
12
13 Business oriented sustainability managers emphasize a rational and instrumental approach to
14
15 managerial work. Consequently, a background in business and economics is considered essential, as
16
17 well as a certain attitude toward accounting practices in order to be able to prove their contribution
18
19 to the economic performance of the firm. This is well summarized by the constant quest for the
20
21 business case, as expressed in the following quote:
22
23
24

25
26 My biggest aspiration is... to find the business case. You know, I've got a master in economics and business.
27

Elena

28
29
30 *The values oriented sustainability manager.* Although a minority among our interviewees, some
31
32 sustainability managers interpret their work in a values oriented way. For example, a young and
33
34 enthusiastic sustainability manager affirmed that the biggest risk for those employed in CS-related
35
36 roles in organizations is 'becoming hypocritical', further adding:
37
38
39

40
41 Sustainability today responds to a peculiar condition of mine, the especial attention I pay to the community.
42
43 Because I feel that a company should share the wealth it produces with the external social and natural context. If
44
45 a company is a good company, for me this is a necessity.
46

Giorgio

47
48
49 Another interviewee, who had suffered from health problems, affirmed how, after that episode, she
50
51 had found it no longer possible to forfeit her personal principles by continuing to work in the
52
53 marketing department. For this reason, soon after she had recovered, she decided to bring her
54
55 interest in business ethics and sustainability, which she had previously cultivated through private
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 training courses, to the centre of her professional life. She consequently asked her superiors for a
 2 new role as sustainability manager of the company:
 3

4 I realized that if I'd died at that time, I'd have been happy about my family, my travels, my relationships, but not
 5 about my work because... it was in contrast with my personal values and... I felt wasted.
 6

7
 8
 9 Mara

10
 11 Finally, a values orientation to work may induce some managers to engage in public and
 12 institutional roles. This was the case for example of one interviewee who was currently the
 13 president of the Italian professional association of sustainability managers. As he explained:
 14

15 I felt the need to do something bigger, and not just be focused on the everyday business of my company. That is
 16 why I assumed this role of president... to have an active role in cultural change. The idea behind it is that
 17 corporations can play a different role in society by contributing to the general well-being.
 18

19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25 Alex

26
 27 *The paradoxical perspective on the business-values tension.* Although the sustainability managers
 28 generally say that they do not like to talk about ethics because it is a subject very distant from their
 29 everyday work, they sometimes express the desire to conciliate business rationales with a values-
 30 laden approach to work. In these cases, they use terms like 'compromise', 'harmonize', 'dilemma'
 31 and 'inconsistency', which signal a paradoxical perspective on identity work:
 32

33 One has to wonder: what is my ultimate goal? Do I want to live in total respect for the environment or do I want
 34 to have an impact? Because to do my job I have to take the car, go to the office, submit to rules and constraints...
 35 I have to make a compromise by giving up some personal values. However, since my ultimate goal is to produce
 36 a change, even though a small one, I chose to be a sustainability manager in a big company like this one, with all
 37 the consequent problems and inconsistencies, because I think I can really make the difference here.
 38

39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51 Renato

52 53 54 55 **3.2 The organizational insider vs. outsider sustainability manager**

56 Recently, Rego et al. (*forthcoming*) have tracked the relevance of the organizational interior-
 57 exterior divide in CEOs' discourse about sustainability. Although 'corporate sustainability' literally
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65

1 means the application of sustainability at the corporate level, as a concept and an area of managerial
2 intervention it indeed transcends corporate boundaries, placing organizations in relation to their
3 wider social and environmental context (Hahn et al. 2015). Managerial actors who deal with
4 sustainability issues may thus feel torn between firms' internal logics and the interests and demands
5 of external stakeholders. We found in fact that our interviewees experience a tension with regard to
6 their identity positioning within or outside organizational boundaries. They usually think of
7 themselves as full organizational members, presenting their company as a privileged area of
8 intervention. Simultaneously, they express an identity as outsiders in a variety of ways: for
9 example, by stressing an anomalous background with respect to their colleagues or affirming a
10 special connection with external stakeholders. This is a cause of distress to them because of the
11 contrasting feelings deriving from the need to be internally recognized and achieve results, and the
12 sources of identification that they derive from their relations with the social context outside the
13 firm. The insider/outsider tension recalls the sense of 'liminality' which affects the identity work of
14 other actors operating across organizational boundaries (Ellis and Ybema 2010).

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34 *The organizational insider sustainability manager.* Because they are in a position close to top
35 management levels, sustainability managers usually consider themselves full organizational
36 members and construct their identity accordingly. Here is how the sustainability manager of an
37 airport operator company, who had previously worked as a consultant, describes the difference
38 between the two work experiences:
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 When you're a consultant, you visit a firm, show your slides, suggest solutions... and then you go. The
46 important thing is to get the project done, but... you don't really experience the company reality. On the
47 contrary, sustainability managers are an integral part of the team of other managers and directors. Then you need
48 to know, to talk with colleagues, understand the distinctive features of the company's business. The consultant
49 says: 'they did this, you could do the same...' whereas the sustainability manager acts on the organizational
50 culture from the inside.
51
52
53
54
55
56

57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
Marco

1 For ‘internal’ sustainability managers, it is important to develop a deep knowledge of the firm,
 2 internalize company values, and work in a team with colleagues. The contrast between their
 3
 4 ‘internal’ identity positioning and the context outside the firm is also constructed through
 5
 6 comparison with the non-profit world, as illustrated by the sustainability manager of a food retail
 7
 8 company. In the following passage, the interviewee narrates an anecdote about having a discussion
 9
 10 with a NGO representative who treats him as ‘the bad, dirty fast food company representative’. The
 11
 12 narration of this episode gives him the opportunity to reaffirm his identity position as an ‘internal’
 13
 14 organizational member:
 15
 16

17
 18 I’m a normal person and it is not that... because I work for a company, I don’t have any doubts or regrets... I
 19
 20 have my own dignity and we must be able to talk together even if we have different sensitivities. I’m doing my
 21
 22 job in the best way possible, I have duties and responsibilities, but when I go to bed I want to sleep well just like
 23
 24 anyone else.
 25
 26

27
 28 Matteo
 29

30 *The outsider sustainability manager.* Affirming a varied and distinctive career background is one
 31
 32 strategy with which interviewees discursively construct an identity as outsiders. Some of them
 33
 34 stress the importance of having previously worked for different companies or as consultants, so that
 35
 36 they can develop an external point of view on their company’s CS issues. Lucia recounted how, for
 37
 38 example, in her career she had continuously shifted between positions in the company foundation
 39
 40 and the corporation in order to be able to maintain a strong link with sustainability in her work. In
 41
 42 regard to her educational background, another interviewee said:
 43
 44

45
 46 I graduated in theoretical philosophy with an experimental thesis on informatics. Therefore... I don’t really
 47
 48 belong here.
 49
 50

51
 52 Nadia
 53

54 Moreover, it seems that these managers suffer a certain lack of internal recognition and legitimacy
 55
 56 because of their sustainability-related role (Wright et al. 2012). This could induce interviewees to
 57
 58 connect their identity strongly with the external stakeholders with which they deal in their work:
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65

1 You get much more satisfaction from the outside than from the inside. For example, when you develop projects
 2 with schools or you give a public speech showing what the company is doing in terms of sustainability, you get
 3 lots of consensus and recognition from stakeholders and then you're more motivated because you understand
 4 that these people really need you. I think they pay much more attention on the outside than on the inside to my
 5 work.
 6
 7
 8
 9

10 Anna

11
 12 *The paradoxical perspective on the organizational insider-outsider tension.* Sustainability managers
 13 may also affirm an identity positioning in-between the interior and the exterior of the organization.
 14 In such cases, they express a paradoxical perspective on this tension, proposing themselves as
 15 mediators between the different interests involved in the company's activity thanks to their personal
 16 sensitivity:
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23

24 We're placed at a boundary point because we have to listen carefully to the world outside, reporting it in the
 25 inside, and at the same time listening to the inside with all its reasons. It's... diplomatic work, which requires
 26 you to seek a compromise, but starting from the assumption that it may not be possible because of a conflict of
 27 views and interests. There isn't sufficient understanding of the reasons of others. However, being on the edge is a
 28 very fascinating element of our job. At least, for me it's fascinating.
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34

35 Riccardo

36 37 38 39 40 **3.3 The short-term vs. long-term focused sustainability manager**

41 According to Waldman and Bowen (2016), leaders in organizations nowadays need to be able to
 42 face the contingencies that emerge in their day-to-day work while simultaneously 'keeping an eye'
 43 on long-term trends and planning for future change. This is particularly true of those engaged in
 44 sustainability initiatives, since CS entails broad horizons and a long time of implementation in
 45 organizations (Slawinski and Bansal 2015). Accordingly, we found that sustainability managers
 46 assume the attitude of a 'patient believer' waiting for when, in the long run, companies will be able
 47 to respond to the challenges posed by CS. This continuous deferral, however, is in contrast with the
 48 pressures exerted by the organizational environment and the ambition of sustainability managers to
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65

1 have an impact on current business practices. As a result, the interviewees continuously struggle to
 2 maintain a short-term focus in their work, whereas they also know that it is only in a distant
 3 tomorrow that they will be able to achieve CS objectives.
 4

5
 6
 7 *Short-term focused sustainability manager.* The need to pursue immediate results is something that
 8 sustainability managers perceive as resulting from pressures typical of the organizational context.
 9
 10 This is fundamental for recognition of their personal contribution, as explained in the following
 11
 12
 13
 14 extract:

15
 16 If you don't show results they simply don't get why you're here, so... you need to be very good at managing
 17 projects, meeting deadlines and expectations. Otherwise the risk is that you'll become the last stack of paper on
 18 your colleagues' desks.
 19
 20
 21

22
 23 Alex
 24

25
 26 Discussing the resistances that he faces with colleagues, the sustainability manager of a railway
 27 company shows how difficult is to build an identity connected to his work because it is not well-
 28 established like other organizational roles and, thus, strongly dependent on short-term results:
 29
 30

31
 32 The sustainability manager is a new figure and... so we are forced to produce concrete results in terms of
 33 communication, energy savings, relations with clients or... we risk being perceived as just a nice-to-have. If you
 34 are, for example, an internal auditor... nobody sees you but they know you're there, they know what you do,
 35 you're necessary. Honestly, I thought it would be easier but... I'm always under scrutiny here.
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40

41 Giorgio
 42
 43

44 *Long-term focused sustainability manager.* Sustainability managers are also aware of the long-term
 45 horizon typical of CS. When focusing on this pole, sustainability managers sometimes construct
 46 their identity through comparison with other managerial actors usually depicted as short-term
 47 focused. As an interviewee argued:
 48
 49
 50
 51

52
 53 All my colleagues here work to produce results, therefore... I represent a departure from their point of view, a
 54 much longer way to realize company objectives. They are victims of short-termism because they think that this
 55 year they're here but next year they don't know... therefore it's better for them to perform positively right now
 56 and then goodbye. But this way someone else will pay the consequences of their actions. Managers nowadays
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65

suffer from a short-term vision being victims of dangerous power logics... it's a big obstacle. For me it's different, I can't be like that.

Carlo

The sustainability manager of a local bank company provide another clear-cut comparison with the situation of his colleagues:

As sustainability manager you have the impression that you run and run. And it is not necessarily that everybody is following you but you have a clear sense of the destination... which is still very far and that's why you need to keep running and... set an example because, you know, we will never get there.

Davide

The paradoxical perspective on the short-long term tension. Some interviewees also express the need to balance a short-term and a long-term focus, introducing a paradox perspective on this time-related tension affecting their identity work. The difficulties of this subtle balancing game are reported by the following interviewee, who explains why he chose to undertake a sustainability-related career:

For me, social and environmental aspects can't be excluded from business management. Rather, they are absolutely fundamental... and luckily I was passionate enough that I told myself 'this will be the topic of the future, companies cannot do without it if they want to survive'. Therefore I insisted on this way, but then, you know, they say 'this is a veery long wave' and, of course, it's difficult to carry on certain initiatives. You have to continuously prove their value in your everyday work because everybody keeps asking 'how does sustainability survive from day to day?' and you have to be good enough to settle their doubts. It's a personal aspect of course, but... I was also attracted by the business side of it.

Renato

3.4 Metaphors as cognitive mechanisms to cope with the paradoxes of identity work

On going through the transcriptions, we noticed that sustainability managers made large use of metaphors to describe themselves; this is in accordance with previous empirical contributions that

1 have indicated the use of metaphorical expressions as a form of identity work (Sveningsson and
2 Alvesson 2003; Ghadiri 2010; Kram et al. 2012; Nyberg and Sveningsson 2014).

3
4 Metaphors have been broadly defined as cognitive mechanisms through which two thoughts,
5
6
7 belonging to different realms of meaning, are connected to produce a new understanding of reality
8
9
10 (Morgan 1980). In several cases, metaphors were employed by interviewees to orient themselves
11
12 towards one pole of the tensions affecting their identity work. They therefore worked as cognitive
13
14 coping strategies (Poole and Van de Ven 1989) to deal with the tensions of identity work in a way
15
16 that tries to annul or deny the tension by choosing one identity positioning considered to be superior
17
18 or positive over another positioning instead presented as negative and unattractive.
19
20

21
22 As regards the business-values tension, we have already reported the ‘hyena’ and the negative
23
24 image of the ‘do-gooder’ recalled by Nadia to express an instrumental and ruthless attitude in her
25
26 work. The same image is used by Antonio, although it expresses a somewhat more nuanced identity
27
28 position, which does not completely distance himself from the idea of ‘being a good person’:
29
30

31 Sometimes you find yourself playing the part of the do-gooder, although it was hard for me. Of course it doesn’t
32
33 mean that I’m not good, just that I’d prefer to convince my interlocutor with the force of my arguments, instead
34
35 of doing so with an alleged moral superiority.
36
37

38
39 In relation to the insider-outsider tension, Michele instead seem to reaffirm his outsider identity
40
41 position by proposing the idea of being the ‘stakeholders’ voice”:
42

43 If you are in my position you work a bit like the stakeholders’ voice, because they come to you and they know
44
45 you’ll speak to the company on their behalf.
46
47

48
49 A vivid representation of the long-term focused sustainability manager is provided by Simone:

50 All those bringing new visions like us seem like prophets. They are visionaries who bring messianic visions...
51
52 and then eventually the disciples will come, but... who know when?
53
54

55
56 The ‘do-gooder’, ‘stakeholders’ voice’, ‘prophet’ and ‘visionary bringing messianic visions’
57
58 metaphors thus had the effect of ‘polarizing’ interviewees’ identity work towards one of the two
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 poles of the identified tensions by affirming their identification with or, alternatively, distancing
2 themselves from certain representations of the self.
3

4 Interestingly, we also found some examples of metaphorical images that were used to bridge the
5 two conflicting representations of the self represented by each pole of the identity tensions: they
6 thus work as cognitive coping strategies to deal paradoxically with the tensions of identity work.
7 While discussing the ‘ambiguities and compromises’ typical of his work, Renato presents one such
8 image:
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 The fact that I deal with social and environmental issues while wearing a suit... is a sign that I chose to negotiate
18 with myself. I mean, I could have been an environmental activist, with long hair and sandals protesting outside
19 companies for my principles, but that way nobody would have listened to me. The alternative is to accept being
20 part of this world and trying to shift capitalist organizations to a more sustainable way of doing business. This
21 way you enter the game, and of course there are ambiguities and compromises, but this doesn't mean that you
22 give up entirely on your principles. For me, working here is like being an activist in a suit.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 The ‘activist in a suit’ is a powerful metaphor that expresses the need to reconcile personal
31 principles with the desire to have an active role in the capitalist production system. While distancing
32 himself from the idea of being an activist “with long hair and sandals protesting outside
33 companies”, at the same time Renato does not fully embrace the idea of being a business man (the
34 suit). Instead he presented a somewhat mixed identity positioning in-between the two images. The
35 ‘activist in a suit’ metaphor can thus be connected to the business vs. values orientation tension as
36 well as to the organizational insider vs. outsider one because of the (only partial) identification with
37 the image of the political activist.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 In relation to the insider-outsider tension, we identified two further images introduced by our
51 interviewees to integrate its conflicting poles:
52
53

54 I don't think it's the right way to say it but I think that a sustainability manager is a bit like an undercover
55 consultant: someone who brings a vision that is not part of the organizational culture, but undercover because
56 they work from the inside together with the rest of the organization.
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Alex

1 I would like to be a virus that contaminates the blood of the company to make it more sustainable... I conceive
2 the company as a human body and I'm like a virus which enters that body to modify its DNA.

3
4 Paola

5
6 The 'undercover consultant' gives the idea of an external actor who works 'from the inside' without
7 being recognized or perceived as an intruder. The 'virus' instead is a foreign body that penetrates
8 the 'organizational body' to such an extent that it is able to modify its 'DNA': its deeper structure
9 and modes of operation. Although these two metaphors bridge the tension in a way that seems more
10 oriented towards the 'outsider' pole, because both the virus and the consultant represent two
11 external elements to the corporate 'body', at the same time also evident is the effort made by
12 interviewees to position themselves within organizational boundaries, when Alex affirms that
13 sustainability managers "work from the inside together with the rest of the organization" or through
14 the idea of the company's DNA. We thus understood these two metaphors, together with the
15 already discussed 'activist in a suit', as cognitive coping strategies attempting to paradoxically
16 maintain the two poles of the insider-outsider tension simultaneously.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 A metaphorical image attempting to bridge the two poles of the short-long term focused
33 sustainability manager tension is advanced by Mara:
34
35
36
37

38 We should work for our company exactly like parents: their objective is to bring the children to autonomy. But
39 in order to do that they have to lead them step by step. Good parents should never be sorry if one day their
40 children don't need them any more: it's their duty. The duty of sustainability managers is to lead the company
41 along the path to sustainability, although this means that one day they will disappear.
42
43
44
45
46

47 This metaphor refers to an argument commonly reported by the interviewees: the fact that some
48 time in the future, sustainability will be integrated into the business and there will be no more need
49 of their work (the same argument has also been reported in the study by Strand, 2014). However, in
50 order for this to happen, sustainability managers must accompany their organizations 'along the
51 path to sustainability'. We interpreted this metaphor as a strategy to paradoxically cope with the
52 time-related tension because, although it is only in a distant tomorrow that sustainability managers
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

will achieve their goal, in order to do so they must prove that they are ‘good parents’ in the everyday life of the organization.

In sum, in this section we have distinguished between metaphorical images that polarize interviewees identity work towards one pole of the tensions, and metaphorical images that, by attempting to bridge the two poles of each tension, express a paradoxical identity work. In Table 3 we present an overview of these findings, adding further metaphorical images used by our interviewees to variably cope with the tensions of their identity work.

4. Discussion

Our findings show that sustainability managers’ identity work is paradoxical and informed by multiple competing discourses. Previous studies have indeed highlighted how sustainability managers experience contradictions in their work, for example related to the need to pursue conflicting goals or because of their relatively marginal position inside organizations (Wright et al. 2012; Wright and Nyberg 2012; Visser and Crane 2010). These contradictions are given voice in sustainability managers’ identity work. In their identity work, these actors draw on multiple competing discourses and conceptions around managerial work and sustainability spread at the societal and organizational level (Watson 2008) to construct a sustainability-related image of their self. As similarly found for other managerial actors (e.g. Nyberg and Sveningsson 2014; Dameron and Torset 2014; Ellis and Ybema 2010), sustainability managers’ identity work is thus characterized by tensions, because those discourses produce conflicting identity orientations and expectations connected to sustainability managers’ role. In this sense, discourses are “a key to how paradoxes forms and operate” and “set the conditions for how actors appropriate contradictions” (Putnam et al. 2016, p. 77). Social actors can actively ‘work’ on these contradictions by expressing a variety of positions (Watson 2008; Nyberg and Sveningsson 2014), as shown by the differentiated identity orientations that sustainability managers assume in relation to the three identified tensions (in particular, three orientations for each tension).

TABLE
3
approx.
here

TABLE
3
approx.
here

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
In sum, the three identified tensions in sustainability managers' identity work derive from general features of managerial work and, at the same time, are strictly linked to the paradoxical nature of CS (Hahn et al. 2015; Van der Byl and Slawinski 2015). While these tensions are not completely new to CS research, our contribution here is the empirical categorization of the three tensions at the individual level in sustainability managers' identity work. Differently from previous studies focused on one single identity tension (e.g. Lähdesmäki 2012; Ghadiri et al. 2015), we identified three tensions that affect sustainability managers' identity work, moving beyond the classic business/values divide which often characterizes CS research (Driver 2006). Moreover, the identification of multiple tensions is in line with the multiplicity of the discursive influences that characterize managerial actors' identity dynamics (Watson 2008; Cunliffe 2009; Putnam et al. 2016). The identification and qualification of these three tensions thus represents our first finding, which contributes to the literature by showing not only that CS is a paradoxical area of managerial intervention but that multiple tensions reverberate on the identity work of sustainability managers and need to be dealt with also at this level, with potentially important consequences for the work of these key practitioners.

36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
Our second finding concerns sustainability managers' use of metaphors to cope with the tensions of identity work. Metaphors, here intended as the understanding of one concept in terms of another, by recalling a different and, in many cases, 'distant' semantic repertoire – enabled interviewees to offer synthetic representations of what it means for them to be a sustainability manager. We reported above the 'hyena' recalled by an interviewee to express an instrumental and ruthless attitude in her work; similarly, 'the prophet' or the 'visionary' metaphors, belonging to the imageries of religion, are used by Simone to reinforce an idea of the self as focused on the long-term. Whereas some metaphors were used as positive sources of identification (e.g. the 'hyena', the 'prophet' or the 'runner' described by Davide), some others (like the 'Talking Cricket' or the 'mercenary' reported in Table 3) were used as negative sources of identification; they therefore worked as 'anti-identity' (Sveningsson and Alvesson 2003) metaphors which sustainability managers recalled to explain

1 what they *are not* instead of what *they are*. This is because identity construction often proceeds
2 through comparisons; it is a ‘contrastive process’ (Vaara et al. 2003) that leads to embracing or,
3
4 alternatively, downplaying certain images of the self, thus expressing a tension between sources of
5
6 identification which are perceived as opposed.
7

8
9 Metaphors can work as constructive or defensive strategies to cope with the paradoxical tensions of
10
11 identity work. We indeed interpreted all the above-reported metaphors as ‘polarizing’, because their
12
13 effect is to orient sustainability managers’ identity work towards one pole of the paradoxical
14
15 tensions, thus activating a defensive strategy which denies or downplay the other pole of the
16
17 paradox (Poole and Van de Ven 1989; Smith and Lewis 2011). At the same time, we noticed some
18
19 variation in interviewees’ use of ‘polarizing metaphors’. Consider for example the recurrent image
20
21 of the ‘do-gooder’: whereas Nadia strongly distances herself from it, Antonio expresses a somehow
22
23 more nuanced identity orientation by saying “Sometimes you find yourself playing the part of the
24
25 do-gooder, although it was hard for me. Of course it doesn’t mean that I’m not good...”. Therefore,
26
27 although drawing on certain shared images and discourses, interviewees make a personal use of
28
29 them expressing subtle and nuanced identity positions, even when displaying a similar identity
30
31 orientation in relation to a specific tension. To return to Nadia, moreover, the metaphor of ‘the
32
33 Monsignor’(see Table 3), sustained by drawing a parallel between her previous work experience in
34
35 an ethical bank and the Catholic Church, seems to give her a chance to revise her identity-position
36
37 as merely business-oriented and anti-ethical. The inner ambiguity of metaphorical reasoning and the
38
39 never complete overlap of meaning between the two concepts compared (Morgan 1980; Oswick et
40
41 al. 2002), therefore, created the possibility for interviewees to rethink and refine their identity work,
42
43 with the potential effect of mitigating the stark contrast between the two poles of a tension.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 Besides ‘polarizing metaphors’, we also found that other interviewees made particular use of
53
54 metaphors when trying to bridge two opposing representations of the self. These metaphors can thus
55
56 be seen as ‘constructive’ coping strategies (Poole and Van de Ven 1989; Smith and Lewis 2011)
57
58 intended to paradoxically bridge the two poles of an identity work tension. This is consistent with
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 the finding of Kram et al. (2012), who, while studying the metaphors expressed in the identity work
2 of individuals with a double scholar-practitioner professional identity, found that their interviewees
3
4 used certain metaphors to bridge conflicting representations of the self and to reduce the dissonance
5
6 deriving from identity struggles. These metaphors refer simultaneously to semantic repertoires
7
8 commonly understood as opposites. Or, alternatively, they interpret common metaphorical images
9
10 highlighting particular aspects in a way that makes it possible to maintain the tension paradoxically.
11
12 We thus put forward the idea that ‘bridging metaphors’ (Ghadiri 2010; Kram et al. 2012) like the
13
14 ‘activist in a suit’, the ‘virus’, the ‘undercover consultant’ and the ‘parent’ are cognitive coping
15
16 strategies employed to accept and ‘live with’ the paradoxes of identity work (Lewis 2000; Clegg et
17
18 al. 2002; Smith and Lewis 2011). By drawing on established discursive repertoires, interviewees
19
20 were able by means of these metaphors to bridge domains traditionally conceived as opposed, thus
21
22 expressing a paradoxical conception of the self. In the findings section we have also highlighted
23
24 how the ‘virus’ and the ‘undercover consultant’ seem to bridge the tension in a way that points
25
26 towards the second pole of the insider-outsider tension. This, we argue, is a result of the always
27
28 personal and ambiguous use of metaphors that prevents a univocal interpretation of them (Gherardi
29
30 2000) and lead us to affirm that the use of metaphorical images by sustainability managers
31
32 configures a continuum in their identity work. In this continuum, instead of three discrete positions
33
34 (pole 1-paradox-pole 2), we can identify a number of ‘prevalently polarizing’ and ‘prevalently
35
36 bridging’ metaphorical images that, in more constructive or defensive ways, all potentially help
37
38 sustainability managers to deal with the paradoxical tensions of their identity work.
39
40

41 We found that two main types of metaphors were used to cope with the tensions of sustainability
42
43 managers’ identity work. From a paradox theory point of view, ‘bridging metaphors’ are preferable
44
45 to ‘polarizing’ ones because they are strategies that accept and try to maintain the two poles of a
46
47 tension simultaneously, instead of denying or downplaying one pole with respect to the other (Poole
48
49 and Van de Ven 1989). Nevertheless, thanks to the inner ambiguity of metaphorical reasoning, both
50
51 ‘bridging’ and ‘polarizing’ metaphors support managers in their identity work. In this regard, we
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 agree with Vaara et al. (2003) that metaphors can substantially recreate social identities in
2 innovative ways, and with Gherardi (2000), who maintains that, within a discursive community,
3
4 metaphors have the potential to create new linguistic games that foster creativity and learning.
5

6
7 These considerations extend available knowledge on the individual-level coping strategies for
8
9 dealing with paradoxical tensions. This knowledge has been recently developed in regard to the
10
11 behavioral and cognitive coping strategies applied by managers, especially when performing their
12
13 leadership functions (Smith and Lewis 2012; Zhang et al. 2015), but it is still underdeveloped in
14
15 regard to the possible coping strategies related to the tensions of identity work. Hence our findings
16
17 contribute to systematizing in a paradox theory perspective insights from previous studies which
18
19 indicate metaphorical reasoning as a possible cognitive mechanism with which to cope with the
20
21 tensions of identity work (Kram et al. 2012; Koning and Waistell 2012; Nyberg and Sveningsson
22
23 2014). Moreover, they are consistent with the findings of those authors who have recently indicated
24
25 metaphors as coping strategies for the tensions of CS at the organizational level (see the ‘biophilic
26
27 organization’ proposed by Jones, 2016) and, more in general, as useful tools for rethinking the role
28
29 of organizations in relation to sustainability challenges (Jermier and Forbes 2016).
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 **5. Main implications**

40
41 We illustrate here the implications of our empirical research by distinguishing them between
42
43 different levels. At the individual level, the three tensions identified in sustainability managers’
44
45 identity work are important for those managers since we know from paradox theory that the first
46
47 step in elaborating a coping strategy is to recognize the existence of a paradoxical tension (Poole
48
49 and Van de Ven 1989). Moreover, our findings on the strategies and metaphors used by these
50
51 managers provide useful indications for developing identity construction processes that accept
52
53 tensions instead of trying to ignore or resolve them. In our study. in fact, we were able to track
54
55 several different metaphors that work as tension-specific coping strategies. This, we believe,
56
57 represents a realistic and helpful depiction of how sustainability managers deal with the multiple
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 tensions affecting their identity work. Our findings could thus help to reduce the emotional distress
2 caused by the tensions of identity work highlighted by extant studies and also tracked in our
3 research. Overall, our study provides ‘empathetic insights and descriptions that can stimulate and
4 facilitate’ sustainability managers’ ‘reflections on who they are and what they do’ (Alvesson 2008,
5 p. 14).
6
7
8
9
10

11 At the company level, as CS becomes an ever more significant career path (GreenBiz 2013), human
12 resource departments are likely to be increasingly involved in efforts to recruit and retain
13 sustainability managers. These departments can thus support sustainability managers’ work with
14 appropriate selection and training practices directed at hiring individuals that demonstrate an
15 aptitude for paradoxical reasoning, or enhance that ability through dedicated training and education.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 The paradoxical attitude of sustainability managers’ towards identity work highlighted above is also
25 important in relation to the implementation of CS programs in organizations. As noted by Wright et
26 al. (2012), the recognition of tensions in identity work can lead sustainability managers to engage in
27 micro-political actions within their company in order to produce change. This change has been
28 described by previous contributions as moderate, progressive, and based on small wins (Wright et
29 al. 2012; Wickert and Schaefer 2015). A paradox perspective on identity work may instead have
30 empowering effects (Gotsi 2010) by pushing sustainability managers towards creative and
31 innovative solutions. These solutions can be sustained, for example, by communication of the
32 metaphors that bridge different conceptions of sustainability managers’ identity work (like for
33 example ‘activist in a suit’). Sharing these metaphors within the organization might be a way to
34 unite the organizational actors who believe that CS can widely transform business practices (that
35 can identify themselves in the metaphor of ‘the activist’) and those with a more traditional view of
36 business (represented by ‘the suit’). This strategy has been already advanced in a study of ethical
37 leadership by Koning and Waistell (2012), who suggest that shared metaphors can unite leaders and
38 followers with different beliefs and orientations.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

In addition, some scholars have recently advanced the idea that identity work has implications in institutional terms (Watson 2008; Creed et al. 2010). In particular, through the creation of new meanings and identities and their promulgation, institutional entrepreneurs can frame societal issues in a novel way (Creed et al. 2002) or expand the boundaries of their role to respond to institutional contradictions (Creed et al. 2010). This could be the case also of sustainability managers, given the importance that metaphorical reasoning assumes in their identity work and the generative power of metaphors in fostering action and new understanding of problems (Gherardi 2000; Vaara et al. 2003).

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Finally, our results have implications also for management education. Indeed, although our interviewees seemed to remain largely consistent in relation to one tension, when considering different tensions, some of them manifested varied identity positions during the interviews (e.g. through the metaphor of the ‘parent’, Mara expressed a paradoxical short/long-term focus in her identity work, whereas as regards the first tension presented, she claimed that her professional choices are largely driven by values considerations, therefore identifying with the values-orientation pole). Moreover, thanks to the ambiguous character of metaphorical reasoning, some interviewees were able to refine their position in relation to one particular identity tension (e.g. the case of ‘the Monsignor’ proposed by Nadia). This means that the sustainability managers that we studied did not perform a ‘fixed’ and definitive paradoxical or non-paradoxical form of identity work, suggesting that the ability to assume paradoxical identity orientations can be further developed and enhanced. Regarding business education, our results thus recommend taking seriously those scholars who put forward the idea of business schools as important environments for identity work (e.g. Petriglieri and Petriglieri 2010), where struggles of identity like those reported here can be recognized and addressed. These institutions can thus help future managers to develop a paradoxical cognitive frame (Hahn et al. 2014) to tackle CS-related issues, including those affecting identity work.

6. Limitations, future research and conclusion

1
2 In our paper we have advanced an identity work perspective to look at the paradoxes of CS
3
4 experienced by sustainability managers at the individual level. This directly responds to the call by
5
6 Hahn et al. (2017) for novel theoretical and methodological approaches to research on CS. Our
7
8 study nevertheless suffers from some limitations that can also be considered an agenda for future
9
10 research.
11

12
13 First, in our research we identified three identity tensions based on a binary logic in order to
14
15 describe the struggles of identity work. However, we know from paradox theory that paradoxes and
16
17 tensions can also be conceptualized as conflicts among multiple goals (Putnam et al. 2016).
18
19 Although we agree with Cunliffe (2009) and Ybema et al. (2009) on the importance of binary
20
21 oppositions for individuals' identity construction, future research could provide different
22
23 conceptualizations of the tensions affecting identity work based on the identification of multiple-
24
25 pole tensions and paradoxes.
26
27
28
29
30

31
32 Secondly, given the diachronic nature of identity as a phenomenon unfolding over time (Ybema et
33
34 al. 2009), the cross-sectional approach of this study limits our understanding of sustainability
35
36 managers' identity construction and the evolution of the tensions and paradoxes that affect it
37
38 throughout the life course. Therefore, the conduct of longitudinal studies adopting a similar
39
40 theoretical frame represents an opportunity for future research. Moreover, longitudinal studies may
41
42 help more account to be taken of contextual elements of the proximate organizational environment
43
44 and personal characteristics that influence individuals' identity work, as demonstrated by other
45
46 studies focused on a smaller sample of research participants (e.g. Sims 2003; Phillips 2013; Nyberg
47
48 and Sveningsson 2014).
49
50
51

52
53 Thirdly, our study on sustainability managers' identity work exclusively relies on interview data.
54
55 Although interviews are the technique most commonly used in identity scholarship (Alvesson
56
57 2008), especially for those researchers embracing a discursive approach (Brown 2015), we
58
59 acknowledge the limitations of this inquiry tool which provides de-contextualized accounts that do
60
61
62
63
64
65

not allow investigation of the formation of identity ‘in action’ (Down and Reveley 2009, p. 386).

We thus suggest that future research should consider sustainability managers’ identity work in naturally occurring situations, adopting different conceptual frames like those of the dramaturgical (see Down and Reveley 2009) or conversational identity work (see McInnes and Corlett 2012) in order to analyze the influence of the social context on identity construction.

Beyond the research strategies that could overcome its limitations, we believe that our study opens up further avenues for future research. A first promising avenue might be exploration of the consequences of the adoption of a paradoxical approach to identity work by managers. Here, valuing the work of Hahn et al. (2014), who theorize a link between managers’ predisposition to paradoxical thinking and their approach to dealing with CS issues, we encourage future research to explore the possible relation between a prevalently paradoxical stance in managers’ identity work and their contribution to the development of CS at the organizational level.

Second, having shown the usefulness of paradox theory in the interpretation of sustainability managers’ construction of identity, other researchers could adopt this theoretical lens more extensively when looking at sustainability-related identity work processes. A recent study by Allen et al. (2015), for example, shows that – differently from what we found in the case of sustainability managers – top managers do not experience identity tensions in relation to sustainability. Therefore, future research could consider other organizational actors or focus on particular organizational settings to determine whether sustainability causes tensions in identity work according to contextual factors such as the managerial level of the individuals under study, their positioning within the organization, or their degree of involvement in CS policies and practices.

Third, considering the three tensions together, we found some coherence between the first pole of each tension as opposed to the group constituted by the second poles. Identity work processes focused on the former poles may result in the construction of an identity more in line with traditional conceptions of managerial work as intended to enhance business performance with a focus on the internal aspects of the firm and a short-term orientation. By contrast, identity work

1 processes focused on the latter poles may result in an interpretation of managerial work more
2 oriented to values, attentive to the external context of the firm, and with a long-term focus. Further
3
4 research could be designed in order to consolidate and extend this first interpretation and explore
5
6 whether and how an overarching meta-tension affects the identity work of those individuals
7
8 involved in the implementation of CS within organizations.
9

10
11 In conclusion, our study contributes to the literature on the paradoxical nature of CS while adding to
12
13 an emerging field of studies that investigates tensions and paradoxes related to CS in organizational
14
15 actors' identity work. The research effort generated two contributions: first, it showed how multiple
16
17 paradoxical tensions reverberate at the individual level on sustainability managers' identity work;
18
19 secondly, it highlighted the role of metaphorical reasoning in identity construction processes,
20
21 explaining how metaphors work as strategies to cope, in defensive or constructive ways, with the
22
23 paradoxical tensions that characterize sustainability managers' identity work. Furthermore, we have
24
25 discussed the implications of our research at different levels. For these reasons, we believe this
26
27 research piece represents an advance in research on CS, paradoxes, identity work and their relation.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 **Acknowledgements**

49
50 The authors would like to thank Professor Sierk Ybema and Professor Stefan Sveningsson for their
51
52 comments on earlier versions of this article.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

- 1
2 Aguinis, H., & Glavas, A. (2012). What we know and don't know about corporate social responsibility a
3 review and research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 38(4), 932-968.
4
5
6
7 Allen, S., Marshall, J., & Easterby-Smith, M. (2015). Living with contradictions: The dynamics of senior
8 managers' identity tensions in relation to sustainability. *Organization & Environment*, 28(3), 328-348.
9
10
11
12 Alvesson, M. (2003). Beyond neopositivists, romantics, and localists: A reflexive approach to interviews in
13 organizational research. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(1), 13-33.
14
15
16
17
18 Alvesson, M. (2010). Self-doubters, strugglers, storytellers, surfers and others: Images of self-identities in
19 organization studies. *Human Relations*, 63(2), 193-217.
20
21
22
23 Alvesson, M., & Willmott, H. (2002). Identity regulation as organizational control: Producing the
24 appropriate individual. *Journal of Management Studies*, 39(5), 619-44.
25
26
27
28
29 Alvesson, M., Ashcraft, K. L., & Thomas, R. (2008). Identity Matters: Reflections on the Construction of
30 Identity Scholarship in Organization Studies. *Organization*, 15(1), 5-28.
31
32
33
34 Banerjee, S. B. (2001). Managerial perceptions of corporate environmentalism: Interpretations from industry
35 and strategic implications for organizations. *Journal of Management Studies*, 38(4), 489-513.
36
37
38
39 Banerjee, S. B. (2011). Embedding sustainability across the organization: A critical perspective. *Academy of*
40 *Management Learning & Education*, 10(4), 719-731.
41
42
43
44 Bansal, P. (2005). Evolving sustainably: A longitudinal study of corporate sustainable development.
45 *Strategic Management Journal*, 26(3), 197-218.
46
47
48
49
50 Beech, N., Gilmore, C., Hibbert, P., & Ybema, S. (2016). Identity-in-the-work and musicians' struggles: the
51 production of self-questioning identity work. *Work, Employment & Society*, 30(3), 506-522.
52
53
54
55 Brown, A. D. (2015). Identities and identity work in organizations. *International Journal of Management*
56 *Reviews*, 17(1), 20-40.
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Brown, A. D., Stacey, P., & Nandhakumar, J. (2008). Making sense of sensemaking narratives. *Human Relations*, 61(8), 1035–1062.
- Clarke, C. A., Brown, A. D., & Hope-Hailey, V. (2009). Working identities? Antagonistic discursive resources and managerial identity. *Human Relations*, 62(3), 323–352.
- Clegg, S. R., Cuhna, J. V., & Cuhna, M. P. (2002). Management paradoxes: A relational view. *Human Relations*, 55(5), 483–503.
- Crane, A. (2000). Corporate greening as amoralization. *Organization Studies*, 21(4), 673-696.
- Creed, W. D., Scully, M. A., & Austin, J. R. (2002). Clothes make the person? The tailoring of legitimating accounts and the social construction of identity. *Organization Science*, 13(5), 475-496.
- Creed, W. D., DeJordy, R., & Lok, J. (2010). Being the change: Resolving institutional contradiction through identity work. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(6), 1336-1364.
- Cunliffe, A. L. (2009). *A very short, fairly interesting and reasonably cheap book about management*. London: Sage.
- Dameron, S., & Torset, C. (2012). The discursive construction of strategists' subjectivities: Towards a paradox lens on strategy. *Journal of Management Studies*, 51(2), 291-318.
- Down, S., & Reveley, J. (2009). Between narration and interaction: Situating first-line supervisor identity work. *Human Relations*, 62(3), 379-401.
- Driver, M. (2006). Beyond the stalemate of economics versus ethics: Corporate social responsibility and the discourse of the organizational self. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 66(4), 337-356.
- Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business*. Gabriola Island, BC, Canada: New Society.
- Eubanks, D. L., Brown, A. D., & Ybema, S. (2012). Leadership, identity and ethics. *Journal of business ethics*, 107(1), 1-3.

- 1
2 Ellis, N., & Ybema, S. (2010). Marketing identities: Shifting circles of identification in inter-organizational
3 relationships. *Organization Studies*, 31(3), 279-305.
4
- 5 Forbes, L. C. & Jermier, J. M. (2010). The new corporate environmentalism and the ecology of commerce.
6
7 *Organization & Environment*, 23(4), 465-481.
8
9
- 10 Ghadiri, D. P. (2010). *Moral identity struggles in organizations: the case of a management consulting*
11
12 *company*. Doctoral dissertation, Cambridge: University of Cambridge.
13
14
- 15 Ghadiri, D. P., Gond, J. P., & Brès, L. (2015). Identity work of corporate social responsibility consultants:
16
17 Managing discursively the tensions between profit and social responsibility. *Discourse &*
18
19 *Communication*, 9(6), 593-624.
20
21
22
- 23 Gherardi, S. (2000). From organizational learning to practice-based knowing. *Human Relations*, 54(1), 1057-
24
25 1080.
26
27
- 28 Gotsi M., Andriopoulos, C., Lewis, M., & Ingram, A. (2010). Creative workers: Managing tensions of
29
30 multiple identities. *Human Relations*, 63(6), 781-805.
31
32
33
- 34 GreenBiz (2013). State of the profession. Available at: [https://www.greenbiz.com/research/report/2013/01](https://www.greenbiz.com/research/report/2013/01/07/state-profession-2013)
35
36 [/07/state-profession-2013](https://www.greenbiz.com/research/report/2013/01/07/state-profession-2013).
37
38
- 39 Guest, G., MacQueen, K. M., & Namey, E. E. (2012). *Applied thematic analysis*. Thousands Oak, CA: Sage.
40
41
- 42 Hahn, T., Preuss, L., Pinkse, J., & Figge, F. (2014). Cognitive frames in corporate sustainability: Managerial
43
44 sensemaking with paradoxical and business case frames. *Academy of Management Review*, 39(4), 463-487.
45
46
47
- 48 Hahn, T., Pinkse, J., Preuss, L., & Figge, F. (2015). Tensions in corporate sustainability: Towards an
49
50 integrative framework. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 127(2), 297-319.
51
52
- 53 Hahn, T., Figge, F., Aragón-Correa, J. A., & Sharma, S. (2017). Advancing research on corporate
54
55 sustainability: Off to pastures new or back to the roots?. *Business & Society*, 56(2), 155-185.
56
57
- 58 Hallier, J., & Summers, J. (2011). Dilemmas and outcomes of professional identity construction among
59
60 students of human resource management. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 21(2), 204–219.
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Harding, N., Lee, H., & Ford, J. (2014). Who is 'the middle manager'? *Human Relations*, 67(10), 1213-1237.
- Hay, A. (2014). I don't know what I am doing!: Surfacing struggles of managerial identity work. *Management Learning*, 45(5), 509-524.
- Humphreys, M., & Brown, A. D. (2002). Narratives of organizational identity and identification: A case study of hegemony and resistance. *Organization Studies*, 23(3), 421-47.
- Jermier, J. M., & Forbes, L. C. (2016). Metaphors, organizations and water: Generating new images for environmental sustainability. *Human Relations*, 69(4), 1001-1027.
- Jones, D. R. (2016). The 'biophilic organization': An integrative metaphor for corporate sustainability. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 138(3), 401-416.
- Knights, D., & Willmott, H. (1999). *Management lives: Power and identity in work organizations*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Koning, J., & Waistell, J. (2012). Identity talk of aspirational ethical leaders. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 107(1) 65-77.
- Kram, K. E., Wasserman, I. C., & Yip, J. (2012). Metaphors of identity and professional practice: Learning from the scholar-practitioner. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 48(3), 304-341.
- Lähdesmäki, M. (2012). Construction of owner-manager identity in corporate social responsibility discourse. *Business ethics: A European review*, 21(2), 168-182.
- Lewis, M. W. (2000). Exploring paradox: Toward a more comprehensive guide. *Academy of Management Review*, 25(4), 760-776.
- Linnenluecke, M. K., & Griffiths, A. (2010). Corporate sustainability and organizational culture. *Journal of World Business*, 45(4), 357-366.
- Martin, B., & Wajcman, J. (2004). Markets, contingency and preferences: contemporary managers' narrative identities. *The Sociological Review*, 52(2), 240-264.

- 1
2 Mauthner, N. S., & Doucet, A. (2003). Reflexive accounts and accounts of reflexivity in qualitative data
3 analysis. *Sociology*, 37(3), 413-431.
4
- 5 McKinley, W. (2003). From subjectivity to objectivity: a constructivist account of objectivity in organization
6 theory. In R. Westwood and S. R. Clegg (Eds.), *Debating Organization: Point–Counterpoint in Organization*
7 *Studies* (pp. 142–156). London: Blackwell.
8
9
- 10
11
12 McInnes, P., & Corlett, S. (2012). Conversational identity work in everyday interaction. *Scandinavian*
13 *Journal of Management*, 28(1), 27-38.
14
15
16
- 17
18 Miles, M. B., and Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook*. Thousand
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
- 39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
- 50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Phillips, M. (2013). On being green and being enterprising: narrative and the ecopreneurial self.
Organization, 20(6), 794–817.
- Poole, M. S., & Van de Ven, A. H. (1989). Using paradox to build management and organization theories.
Academy of Management Review, 14(4), 562-587.

- 1
2 Pritchard, K. (2010). Becoming an HR strategic partner: tales of transition. *Human Resource Management*
3 *Journal*, 20(2), 175-188.
4
- 5 Putnam, L. L., Fairhurst, G. T., & Banghart, S. (2016). Contradictions, dialectics, and paradoxes in
6 organizations: A constitutive approach. *The Academy of Management Annals*, 10(1), 65-171.
7
8
9
- 10 Rego, A., e Cunha, M. P., & Polónia, D. Corporate sustainability: A view from the top. Forthcoming in
11 *Journal of Business Ethics*, 1-25.
12
13
14
- 15 Sims, D. (2003). Between the millstones: A narrative account of the vulnerability of middle managers'
16 storying. *Human Relations*, 56(10), 1195–211.
17
18
19
20
- 21 Slawinski, N., & Bansal, P. (2015). Short on time: Intertemporal tensions in business
22 sustainability. *Organization Science*, 26(2), 531-549.
23
24
25
- 26 Smith, W. K., & Lewis, M. W. (2011). Toward a theory of paradox: A dynamic equilibrium model of
27 organizing. *Academy of Management Review*, 36(2), 381–403.
28
29
30
- 31 Smith, W. K., & Lewis, M. W. (2012). Leadership skills for managing paradoxes. *Industrial and*
32 *Organizational Psychology*, 5(2), 227-231.
33
34
35
36
- 37 Strand, R. (2014). Strategic leadership of corporate sustainability. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 123(4), 687-
38 706.
39
40
41
- 42 Sveningsson, S., & Alvesson, M. (2003). Managing managerial identities: Organizational fragmentation,
43 discourse and identity struggle. *Human Relations*, 56(10), 1163–93.
44
45
46
47
- 48 Tams, S., & Marshall, J. (2011). Responsible careers: Systemic reflexivity in shifting landscapes. *Human*
49 *Relations*, 64(1), 109-131.
50
51
52
- 53 Vaara, E., Tienari, J., & Säntti, R. (2003). The international match: Metaphors as vehicles of social identity-
54 building in cross-border mergers. *Human Relations*, 56(4), 419-451.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2 Van der Byl, C. A., & Slawinski, N. (2015). Embracing tensions in corporate sustainability: a review of
3 research from win-wins and trade-offs to paradoxes and beyond. *Organization & Environment*, 28(19), 54-
4 79.

5
6
7 Visser, W., & Crane, A. (2010). Corporate sustainability and the individual: Understanding what drives
8 sustainability professionals as change agents. Working Paper. Available at: [http://ssrn.com](http://ssrn.com/abstract=1559087)
9 /abstract=1559087.

10
11
12 Waldman, D. A., & Bowen, D. E. (2016). Learning to be a paradox-savvy leader. *The Academy of*
13 *Management Perspectives*, 30(3), 316-327.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Watson, T. J. (2008). Managing identity: Identity work, personal predicaments and structural circumstances. *Organization*, 15(1), 121-143.

Watson, T. J. (2009). Narrative, life story and manager identity: A case study in autobiographical identity work. *Human Relations*, 62(3), 425-452.

Wickert, C., & Schaefer, S. (2015). Towards a progressive understanding of performativity in critical management studies. *Human Relations*, 68(1), 107-130.

Weinreb Group (2011). CSO back history: How Chief Sustainability Officers reached the C-suite. Available at: <http://weinrebgroup.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/09/CSO-Back-Story-by-Weinreb-Group.pdf>.

Wright, C., Nyberg, D., & Grant, D. (2012). “Hippies on the third floor”: Climate change, narrative identity and the micro-politics of corporate environmentalism. *Human Relations*, 33(11), 1451-1475.

Wright, C., & Nyberg, D. (2012). Working with passion: Emotionology, corporate environmentalism and climate change. *Human Relations*, 65(12), 1561-1587.

Ybema, S., Keenoy, T., Oswick, C., Beverungen, A., Ellis, N., & Sabelis, I. (2009). Articulating identities. *Human Relations*, 62(3), 299–322.

Zhang, Y., Waldman, D. A., Han, Y. L., & Li, X. B. (2015). Paradoxical leader behaviors in people management: Antecedents and consequences. *Academy of Management Journal*, 58(2), 538-566.

TABLES

Table 1. Profile of respondents.

Name	Male/ Female	Age	Role seniority	Managerial level ^a	Organizational unit	Industry
Marco	M	40-45	more than 10 years	Layer II	CSR	Airport service provider
Matteo	M	50-55	5-10	Layer I	CSR and Internal Audit	Food retailing
Luca	M	55-60	5-10	Layer III	Marketing and Communication	Supermarket chain
Giorgio	M	30-35	2-3	Layer III	Internal Audit and Sustainability	Public transport
Carlo	M	55-60	3-5	Layer II	Sustainability and CSR	Multi-utility service provider company
Simone	M	55-60	5-10	Layer II	CSR and Sustainability	Building & infrastructures
Mattia	M	35-40	3-5	Layer II	Sustainability	Food distribution services
Mara	F	35-40	3-5	Layer II	Human Resources	Delivery company
Davide	M	50-55	5-10	Layer III	Corporate Identity, Quality and Sustainability	Banking & financial services
Nadia	F	40-45	more than 10	Layer I	Sustainability	Insurance
Elisa	F	45-50	5-10	Layer II	CSR	Chemical industry
Cristian	M	40-45	3-5	Layer III	Health, Safety and Environment	Oil & Energy industry
Alex	M	45-50	5-10	Layer II	Public Affairs and Communication	Oil & Energy industry
Serena	F	35-40	3-5	Layer III	Communication and Marketing	Telecommunications
Lucia	F	55-60	more than 10	Layer II	Sustainability	Oil & Energy industry

Daniela	F	40-45	3-5	Layer III	Communication and Marketing	Delivery company
Massimo	M	45-50	3-5	Layer II	Communication and Marketing	Manufacturing
Antonio	M	45-50	5-10	Layer I	CSR	Multi-utility service provider company
Stefano	M	45-50	5-10	Layer III	Sustainability	Telecommunications
Michele	M	55-60	more than 10	Layer III	Communication and Marketing	Insurance
Irene	F	40-45	2-3	Layer III	Communication and External Relations	Tourism
Renato	M	35-40	3-5	Layer III	Sustainability	Car manufacturing
Riccardo	M	60-65	more than 10	Layer III	CSR and Sustainability	Banking & financial services
Elena	F	40-45	3-5	Layer I	CSR and Communication	Building & infrastructures
Anna	F	50-55	5-10	Layer I	Sustainability	Beverages
Paola	F	40-45	5-10	Layer II	Communication, CSR and Special Projects	Business consulting

^aLayer I directly reports to the CEO/Country Manager; layer II is two managerial levels below the CEO; and layer III is three managerial levels below the CEO.

All names have been changed to protect the anonymity of the interviewees.

Table 2. Coding scheme with additional quotes from the interviews

Exemplary quotes	Open codes (examples)	Aggregation and identification of the tensions/paradoxes of identity work
You can really measure sustainability only in your business strategy, in your products: it isn't about rules or how many ethical codes you write... by the way, that part has little to do with me because I have a conception of sustainability which is tightly linked to the idea of competitiveness and... survival on the market [Nadia]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -strategic -competitive -product-oriented -anti-ethics 	<i>business oriented</i>
I started to feel the need to couple my professional experience with certain of my personal values, in order to help my company increase awareness about the social and environmental impacts of its activities [Luca]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -calling -personal values 	<i>values oriented</i>
I am convinced that sustainability is strictly bound up with the ways of doing business, so that... you can't just be a philanthropist but... personal ethics and professional and... even marketing skills... are all necessary, as well as the capacity to harmonize these aspects [Irene]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -personal ethics -not-just-philanthropist -sustainability and business -marketing skills -harmonization 	<i>paradoxical business-values orientation</i>
I thought it was easier to work inside a company, but in reality it's much more complicated than that [emphasis]... indeed, before [when working as a consultant] I didn't feel at all responsible, but here... if something bad happens, something like a work accident, a lawsuit or a problem with clients... I feel responsible. Of course, I'm part of a staff function, so I'm not formally responsible for anything, it's the CEO's formal responsibility. Nevertheless, I feel responsible for the company's actions because... I am part of it and... in a key position, I'd say [Antonio]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -part of the company -key position -anti-consultant 	<i>organizational insider</i>
Well... I usually try to explain my role here by saying that I... make donations, do sponsorships... I provide financial support for initiatives of a social and environmental nature... mainly external ones, as if I was in a foundation or an NPO... maybe I should better be called a community manager or a stakeholder manager or... oh, I don't know [Michele]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -non-profit organization -stakeholder/community manager -outward looking 	<i>organizational outsider</i>
This boundary point... is interesting, don't you think!? I mean of course it could have been an NPO or something else... it's like being on top of a ridge: it's a prime point of observation, it's a fortunate situation because you can get a dialogue started, an exchange, and you need trust on both sides [Riccardo]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -non-profit organization -boundary point -being on the edge -two sides 	<i>paradoxical insider-outsider positioning</i>
Our study on recycling has been cited at European level. To be modest, it was a success... unfortunately it's not always like that. You can't take it for granted, 'cause you have to gain trust	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -constant -tireless proponent 	<i>short term focused</i>

year by year, semester by semester, with new proposals in order to say “hey, I’m here too”. Otherwise you get forgotten [Anna]	<i>-time pressured</i>	
For me everything about sustainability is clear: the environment, the creation of shared value, everything is obvious but... things are changing slowly and I’ve got to be patient. But this is my vision of the future and I’m sure one day it’ll happen [Paola]	<i>-vision of the future -perceived slowness of change -patient</i>	<i>long term focused</i>
My personality would push me to go much faster because there’s still so much work to do... however, we’re already doing so many things that seemed impossible before... the sustainability report, the GRI, certifications... of course I have to keep calm and accept that the pace is not the same for everybody and... of course, insist... keep going because, otherwise, I’ll be an obstacle to change [Stefano]	<i>-perceived slowness of change -keep calm/keep going</i>	<i>paradoxical short-long term focused</i>

Table 3. Overview of the metaphors used by interviewees to cope with the tensions of identity work

Business-oriented sustainability manager	Bridging metaphor	Values-oriented sustainability manager
<p>Hyena* [Nadia]</p> <p>(not being a) Do-gooder* [Nadia, Antonio]</p> <p>(being not a) Talking cricket “At the beginning I did not get many positive answers from the management and I risked being a bit like the Talking Cricket, you know... in Collodi’s tale. And you can’t be the Talking Cricket in a company like this... you can’t stand there and say «don’t do this and that because it’s wrong». Because we all know how the Cricket ended in the original story... being squashed on the wall by a book. Eccentric story by the way” [Lucia]</p> <p>(not being a) Monsignor “If I wanted to be a monsignor... dunno... I’d rather work for the Church. Sometimes I’ve done that because... some years ago I participated in the foundation of an ethical bank but, how can I put it, it’s not really my role because... I think that in the end it’s the results that matter and doing the best with what you have and not doing extraordinary things” [Nadia]</p>	<p>Activist in a suit* [Renato]</p>	<p>Paladin “Massimo: I always try not to go below a certain standard. Because you usually have paladins who champion certain values inside the company, then there are the respectful ones, and then maybe those who just reluctantly conform. If you’re a paladin you’re ready to expose yourself to defend certain values... <i>Interviewer:</i> So you think you’re a paladin? <i>Massimo:</i> [laughing] Well, I don’t want to say that there are crusades inside here but... well, that sometimes you need to keep steady and... hold onto your values”</p> <p>Don Quixote “It’s not always possible to show a financial return on these initiatives. And in these cases you feel a bit like being alone tilting at windmills, sad but true” [Mara]</p> <p>Beautiful soul/human face of the company “It happens to me that I’m often considered a beautiful soul, as the human face of the company. And I’ll tell you I don’t dislike this reputation” [Matteo]</p> <p>The good person in a world of bad guys “You’re always seen as the good one in the company. Well, always...it depends on the company you work for but, at least, you’re the good person in a world of bad guys” [Matteo]</p>
Insider sustainability manager	Bridging metaphors	Outsider sustainability manager
<p>(not being a) Consultant* [Marco]</p> <p>Part of the team* [Marco]</p> <p>(not being a) Mercenary</p>	<p>Virus* [Paola]</p> <p>Undercover consultant* [Alex]</p>	<p>Stakeholders’ voice* [Michele]</p> <p>Activist “Just like an activist you bring</p>

<p>“So.. in a company you usually have... I know it’s a bad world but listen to what I say... those in the Roman army that they called... mercenaries. People who come and go... there’s no loyalty in this. That’s a choice of course, but not for our job. To me, the sustainability manager has to come from the inside and be a loyal member of the company”[Simone]</p>	<p>Activist in a suit* [Renato]</p> <p>Border-crosser “In this area you have to be able to... cross borders to... create new connections, new relations. Both internally with all the company functions and externally. It’s creative work, you create connections, especially between the internal and the external” [Matteo]</p>	<p>issues to the company’s attention and you hope they’ll deal with them, ‘cause you can apply only limited pressure” [Elisa]</p>
<p>Short-term focused sustainability manager</p>	<p>Bridging metaphors</p>	<p>Long-term focused sustainability manager</p>
<p>Hammer “You need to make things happen... of course I have a certain commitment now, but it’s because I’m like a hammer: I always have new ideas and beat people with them” [Nadia]</p> <p>Prod/spur “In Italy, concepts like CSR and sustainability are still new, but here they’re even more important than in other countries, I believe. Therefore you need to give them a constant visibility, be a kind of a prod... continuously spurring people” [Luca]</p>	<p>Parent* [Mara]</p> <p>Ferryman “I imagine my role here like that of a ferryman. Yes, I’d say that the sustainability manager is like a ferryman, who ferries the company across dangerous waters and towards sustainability” [Renato]</p>	<p>Prophet/visionary who brings messianic visions* [Simone]</p> <p>Runner* [Davide]</p> <p>Resilient material “One important characteristic is resilience. You have to be like a resilient material and resist the continuous shocks” [Cristian]</p> <p>“It’s essential to have this capacity to pick up signals, anticipate trends... be an antenna for your company so that then you’re able to re-orient it in the right future direction. An aerial, the sustainability manager has to be an aerial” [Carlo]</p>

*This metaphor has already been reported in the main body of the paper; we therefore invite the reader to refer to the previous pages for the corresponding full quotation.