

dHEALTH 2026

Studies in Health Technology and Informatics

Internationally, health informatics is driven by developments in biomedical technologies and medical informatics research that are advancing in parallel and form one integrated world of information and communication media and result in massive amounts of health data. These components include genomics and precision medicine, machine learning, translational informatics, intelligent systems for clinicians and patients, mobile health applications, data-driven telecommunication and rehabilitative technology, sensors, intelligent home technology, EHR and patient-controlled data, and Internet of Things.

The series Studies in Health Technology and Informatics (HTI) was started in 1990 in collaboration with EU programmes that preceded the Horizon 2020 to promote biomedical and health informatics research. It has developed into a highly visible global platform for the dissemination of original research in this field, containing more than 250 volumes of high-quality works from all over the world.

The international Editorial Board selects publications with relevance and quality for the field. All contributions to the volumes in the series are peer reviewed.

Volumes in the HTI series are submitted (for evaluation) for indexing by MEDLINE/PubMed; Web of Science: Conference Proceedings Citation Index – Science (CPCI-S) and Book Citation Index – Science (BKCI-S); Google Scholar; Scopus; EMCare.

Series Editors:

B. Blobel, E. Borycki, M. Braunstein, C. Bühler, J.P. Christensen, R. Cooper, R. Cornet, J. Dewen, O. Le Dour, P.C. Dykes, A. Famili, K.W. Fung, M. González-Sancho, E.J.S. Hovenga, J.W. Jutai, Z. Kolitsi, C.U. Lehmann, J. Mantas, V. Maojo, A. Moen, J.F.M. Molenbroek, G. de Moor, M.A. Musen, P.F. Niederer, C. Nøhr, A. Pedotti, N. Peek, O. Rienhoff, G. Riva, W. Rouse, K. Saranto, M.J. Scherer, S. Schürer, E.R. Siegel, C. Safran, N. Sarkar, T. Solomonides, E. Tam, J. Tenenbaum, B. Wiederhold, P. Wilson and L.H.W. van der Woude

Volume 335

Recently published in this series

- Vol. 334 A. Copeland, K. Keshavjee, A. Zavar and G. Lin (Eds.), From Silos to Synergy: Building Bridges – Proceedings of the Future of Health Leadership, Informatics and Policy Conference 2026
- Vol. 333 J. Bichel-Findlay (Ed.), Health. Innovation. Community: Engage. Disrupt. Transform – Papers from the 29th Australasian Institute of Digital Health's Health Innovation Community (HIC2025) Conference
- Vol. 332 U.H. Hübner, J.-D. Liebe, A. Benis, N. Egbert, T. Engelsma, P. Gallos, D. Flemming, V. Lichtner, R. Marcilly, O. Tamburis and S. Villumsen (Eds.), Good Evaluation - Better Digital Health – Proceedings of the EFMI Special Topic Conference 2025

ISSN 0926-9630 (print)
ISSN 1879-8365 (online)

dHealth 2026

Proceedings of the 20th Health Informatics Meets Digital Health
Conference

Edited by

Günter Schreier

AIT Austrian Institute of Technology GmbH, Graz, Austria

Martin Baumgartner

AIT Austrian Institute of Technology GmbH, Graz, Austria

Dieter Hayn

AIT Austrian Institute of Technology GmbH, Graz, Austria

and

Bernhard Pfeifer

*UNIT TIROL – Private University for Health Sciences, Medical Informatics
and Technology, Hall in Tirol, Austria*

 **Sage**

 **IOS Press**

© 2026 The Authors.

This book is published online with Open Access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0).

ISBN 978-1-64368-660-8 (online)

doi: 10.3233/SHTI335



IOS Press

A Sage company
Teleportboulevard 120
1043 EJ Amsterdam

Sage
3rd Floor, HYLO
103–105 Bunhill Row
London, EC1Y 8LZ

Sage
2455 Teller Road
Thousand Oaks
California 91320

Sage
Unit No 323-333, Third Floor, F-Block
International Trade Tower
Nehru Place, New Delhi – 110 019

Sage
8 Marina View Suite 43-053
Asia Square Tower 1
Singapore 018960

DISCLAIMER

The authors, editors, and publisher will not accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made in this publication. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Preface

20 Years of dHealth – Bridging Health Informatics Research and Digital Health Innovation

The year 2026 marks an important milestone for the dHealth conference series: the 20th anniversary of a forum dedicated to the intersection of health informatics, digital health innovation, and practical healthcare transformation. Since its beginnings in 2007, the conference has brought together researchers, clinicians, policymakers, industry representatives, and technology developers to exchange ideas on how digital technologies can improve the quality, efficiency, and accessibility of healthcare. Over the years, dHealth has established itself as a unique platform where scientific insights and real-world implementation meet, reflecting the conference motto “Health Informatics meets Digital Health.”

The 2026 edition of dHealth takes place on 12–13 May in Vienna, once again bringing together a diverse international community interested in advancing the digital transformation of healthcare. The conference programme reflects both the rapid technological evolution of the field and the increasing complexity of implementing digital solutions in real-world health systems. Sessions address topics such as artificial intelligence in healthcare, health data infrastructures, interoperability, and digital services in clinical practice, complemented by keynote presentations and discussions on the emerging European Health Data Space (EHDS) and its implications for innovation and secondary use of health data.

Looking ahead

Two decades after its inception, the dHealth conference continues to evolve alongside the digital transformation of healthcare. The diversity of topics represented in this year’s proceedings—from AI-driven analytics and interoperable health data infrastructures to patient-centred digital applications and public health innovations—reflects the multidisciplinary nature of digital health research.

As healthcare systems worldwide face challenges such as demographic change, workforce shortages, and increasing demand for personalised care, digital technologies will play an increasingly important role in shaping future solutions. At the same time, the responsible use of data, ethical considerations, and the inclusion of patients and professionals in the design of digital systems remain essential prerequisites for sustainable progress.

On the occasion of the 20th anniversary of the dHealth conference, we would like to thank all authors, reviewers, session chairs, and participants who contribute to making this community vibrant and impactful. Their work ensures that the dialogue between science, healthcare practice, and technology development continues to drive meaningful improvements in health and care.

We wish all readers an inspiring exploration of the contributions presented in these proceedings.

Graz, Hall in Tyrol, Vienna, May 2026

Günter Schreier (AIT)

Martin Baumgartner (AIT)

Dieter Hayn (AIT)

Bernhard Pfeifer (UMIT TIROL)

About the Conference

Scientific Programme Committee

Heads

Dr. Dieter Hayn, *Austrian Institute of Technology, Graz, Austria*

Univ.-Prof. Dr. Bernhard Pfeifer, *UMIT, Hall in Tyrol, Austria*

Members

Univ.-Prof. Dr. Klaus-Peter Adlassnig, *Medical University of Vienna, Austria*

Prof. Dr. Josef Altmann, *UAS Upper Austria, Wels, Austria*

Univ.-Prof. Dr. Elske Ammenwerth, *UMIT, Hall in Tirol, Austria*

Dr. Sergi Beltran, *Centre Nacional D'Anàlisi Genòmica, Barcelona, Spain*

Univ.-Prof. Dr. Andrea Berghold, *Medical University of Graz, Austria*

Univ.-Prof. Dr. Ruth Breu, *University of Innsbruck, Austria*

Dr. Anne Busch, *UAS Wiener Neustadt, Austria*

Catherine Chronaki, *HL7 Foundation, Brussels, Belgium*

Ass. Prof. Kim Delbaere, *University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia*

Prof. Dr. Stephan Dreiseitl, *UAS Hagenberg, Austria*

Univ.-Prof. Dr. Georg Duftschmid, *Medical University of Vienna, Austria*

Univ.-Prof. Dr. Martin Dugas, *University of Münster, Germany*

Prof. Chris Evelo, *Maastricht University, Netherlands*

Prof. José García Moros, *Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain*

Prof. Holger Gothe, *UMIT, Hall in Tyrol, Austria*

Prof. Dr. Martin Haag, *Heilbronn University, Germany*

Prof. Dr. Anke Häber, *UAS Zwickau, Germany*

Marc Hanauer, *INSERM, US14 – Orphanet, Paris, France*

Dr. Mira Hercigonja-Szekeres, *UAS, Zagreb, Croatia*

Prof. Dr. Ursula Hübner, *University of Osnabrück, Germany*

Prof. Dr. Guido Kempter, *UAS Vorarlberg, Austria*

Dr. Kyriaki Kostoglou, *Graz University of Technology, Austria*

Prof. Dr. Evgeniy Krastev, *Sofia University St. Kliment Ohridski, Bulgaria*

Prof. Dr. Peter Klutke, *University of Kempten, Germany*

Dr. Stefan Tino Kulnik, *Ludwig Boltzmann Institute for Digital Health and Prevention, Salzburg, Austria*

Prof. Dr. Werner Kurschl, *UAS Hagenberg, Austria*

Univ.-Prof. Dr. Richard Lenz, *University of Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany*

Prof. Dr. Michael Marschollek, *Medical University of Hannover, Germany*

Prof. Dr. Christian Menard, *UAS Carinthia, Austria*

FH-Prof. DI Dr. Robert Mischak MPH, *FH Joanneum, Graz, Austria*
 Prof. Dr. George Mihalas, *University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Timisoara, Romania*
 Dr. Sabrina Neururer, *Tirol Kliniken, Hall in Tirol, Austria*
 Prof. Josef Niebauer, *Ludwig Boltzmann Institute for Digital Health and Prevention, Salzburg, Austria*
 Ass.-Prof. Dr. Ivana Ognjanovic, *Donja Gorica University, Podgorica, Montenegro*
 Univ.-Prof. Dr. Ulrich Prokosch, *University of Erlangen, Germany*
 Dr. Ana Rath, *INSERM, US14 – Orphanet, Paris, France*
 Ass.-Prof. Stephen Redmond, *University College Dublin, Ireland*
 Ass. Prof. Marco Roos, *Leiden University Medical Center, Netherlands*
 FH-Prof. Dr. Stefan Sauermann, *UAS Technikum Wien, Vienna, Austria*
 Prof. Franz Schaefer, *Heidelberg University Hospital, Germany*
 Dr. Jan Smeddinck, *Ludwig Boltzmann Institute for Digital Health and Prevention, Salzburg, Austria*
 Univ. Doz. Dr. Günter Schreier, *AIT Graz, Austria*
 Prof. Dr. Martin Staemmler, *UAS Stralsund, Germany*
 Prof. Dr. Lăcrămioara Stoicu-Tivadar, *Polytechnic University of Timisoara, Romania*
 Dr. Hanna Suominen, *NICTA, Canberra, Australia*
 Univ.-Prof. Dr. Bernhard Tilg, *UMIT Tirol, Hall in Tyrol, Austria*
 Dr. Andreas Trügler, *Know-Center, Austria*
 Dr. Ágnes Vathy-Fogarassy, *University of Pannonia, Hungary*
 Prof. Elena Villalba, *Universidad Politecnica de Madrid, Spain*
 FH-Prof. Franz Werner, *UAS Campus Wien, Austria*
 Dr. Andreas Ziegl, *telbiomed, Graz, Austria*

Reviewers

We are deeply thankful for all reviewers for their significant contribution to the conference proceedings. Without them, the dHealth 2026 would not be possible:

Josef Altmann	Georg Duftschmid
Elske Ammenwerth	Markus Falgenhauer
Alireza Banaye Yazdipour	Franz Feichtner
René Baranyi	Holger Gothe
Christian Baumgartner	Martin Haag
Andrea Berghold	Anke Häber
Stefan Beyer	Werner O. Hackl
Anne Busch	Emmanuel Helm
Mihaela Crisan-Vida	Ursula Hübner
Kerstin Denecke	Guido Kempter
Klaus Donsa	Peter Klutke
Stephan Dreiseitl	Alexander Kollmann

Mark A. M. Kramer
Evgeniy Krastev
Karl Kreiner
Martin Kropf
Melanie Maria Kuhrn
Jan-David Liebe
Jasmina Lozanovic
Christian Menard
George Mihalas
Renate Nantschev
Sabrina Barbara Neururer
Joon Ho Park
Hans-Ulrich Prokosch
Angelika Rzepka
Stefan Sauermann
Cornelia Schneider

Günter Schreier
Alexandra Schwaiger
Marco Schweitzer
Abbas Sheikhtaheri
Stefan Skonetzki
Martin Staemmler
Lacramioara Stoicu-Tivadar
Bernhard Tilg
Andreas Trügler
Agnes Vathy-Fogarassy
Sai Pavan Kumar Veeranki
Andrea Vitali
Franz Werner
Fabian Wiesmüller
Maria Zerlik
Andreas Ziegl

Contents

Preface	v
<i>G. Schreier, M. Baumgartner, D. Hayn and B. Pfeifer</i>	
About the Conference	vii
Experience from an AI-Assisted Online Basic Biostatistics Course Using R for Master of Public Health Students – A Pilot Study from the Bahá’i Institute for Higher Education (BIHE), Iran	1
<i>Haleh Roohi, Neda Forghani-Arani, Ziad El-Khatib, Sahba Enayati, Claudia Hanson, Tobias Alfvén and Ojan Assadian</i>	
Assessing the Compliance of Nursing Data in a Rehabilitation Portal with the German ePatient Record (ePA) Standard for Nursing Discharges	7
<i>Jana Strate, Annika Hering, Rhea Brintrup, Dagmar Krefting and Ursula H. Hübner</i>	
“From Knowledge to Action” – Empowering Communities Through Online Vaccination Training Across 75 Countries	14
<i>Fabrice Sewolo, Bahia Abdallah, Ala’a B. Al-Tammemi, Joelle Chamoun, Peter Waiswa, Kyaw Myint Aung, Abir Kurdi Alame, Chady Khatib, Aline Uwimana, Edson Rwagasore, Jessica Najm, Roksana Mirkazimi, Penstone Kilembe, Mirjam Messo, Stefan Peterson, Tobias Alfvén, Claudia Hanson and Ziad El-Khatib</i>	
Towards the Austrian Patient Summary: Implementation Strategy and Architecture	22
<i>Emmanuel Helm, Andreas Schuler, Gabriel Leonhartsberger, Gerhard Riva, Roman Dietrich, Birgit Scholz, Moritz Buchmann and Stefan Sabutsch</i>	
Towards Digital Support for Mental Wellbeing in Retirement Transition: Expert Insights	28
<i>Lana Cvijic, Beatrice Kaufmann and Kerstin Denecke</i>	
Development of an Exergaming-Intervention for Children and Adolescents During Hemodialysis: A Pilot Study	34
<i>Marleen Kerstin, Lene Stegelmann, Gesa Schalk, Bernd Hoppe, Oliver Peer, Luisa Klein, Christina Taylan, Klara Brixius and Thomas Schmidt</i>	
Interdisciplinary Digital Medication Management in Mobile Care: Qualitative Findings from the <i>Linked Care</i> Trial	40
<i>Susanne Hensely-Schinkinger, Nathalie Traugott, Verena Kollmann, Peter Putz, Waltraud Schwarz, Franz Werner and Elisabeth Haslinger-Baumann</i>	
RadEx: A Framework for Structured Information Extraction from Radiology Reports Based on Large Language Models	47
<i>Daniel Reichenpfader, Jonas Knupp, André Sander and Kerstin Denecke</i>	

Value in App Store Data and User Reviews: Quality Assessment of Parkinson's and Alzheimer's Disease Apps	53
<i>Isabel Schwaninger, Paria Ighanian, Liyousew Borga, Marijus Giraitis and Jochen Klucken</i>	
Impact Assessment Model for Digital Transformation in Hospitals	55
<i>Johannes Kriegel and Clemens Rissbacher</i>	
Care for Context: Evaluating Speech-to-Text and Translation Services for 24h Live-In Care Communication	61
<i>Anda-Ramona Tănasie, Philipp Rameder and Cornelia Schneider</i>	
Quantitative Findings from a Controlled Trial of the Linked Care Digital Medication Reordering Solution in Mobile Care	69
<i>Peter Putz, Doris Zeidler, Susanne Hensely-Schinkinger, Verena Kollmann, Nadine Sturm, Nathalie Traugott, Franz Werner and Elisabeth Haslinger-Baumann</i>	
GenKom-PKU: Improving Patient-Provider Communication in Phenylketonuria	78
<i>Moritz Henninger, Alexander Höller, Daniela Karall, Sabine Scholl-Bürgi, Johannes Zschocke and Markus A. Keller</i>	
Analysis of the IT Landscape of Austrian Biobanks	80
<i>Volodymyr Shekhovtsov and Georg Göbel</i>	
Health Harbor Hamburg (H ³): A Federated Health Data Space	82
<i>Martin Staemmler, Norman Freier, Franka Klimm and Greta de Haas</i>	
Flexible Data Integration for Genomics-Driven Decision Support in Rare Genetic Epilepsy	89
<i>Ariadna Pérez Garriga, Philipp Honrath, Stefan Wolking, Beatrice Coldewey, Susann A. Bozkir, Nils Freyer, Patrick May, Yvonne Weber, Rainer Röhrig and Myriam Lipprandt</i>	
Digital Maturity and Digital Transformation of Municipal Health Care	96
<i>Johannes Kriegel and Clemens Rissbacher</i>	
aktivplan in Health Tourism: A Digital Prevention Platform for Sustaining Health-Promoting Behaviors Beyond the Stay	102
<i>Daniela Wurhofer, Eva-Maria Krah, Daria Kolosovskaia, Rania Islambouli, Vera Foisner, Arnulf Hartl, Josef Niebauer, Gunnar Treff, Rene Zechner and Jan Smeddinck</i>	
Technical Implementation of a Decision Tree for Pain Entity Identification	108
<i>Elisabeth Bayr, Tabea Hansche, Tanja Neger, Lukas Pansinger, Peter Beck, Claudia Kagerer, Angela Libiseller, Katharina Lichtenegger, Andreas Sandner-Kiesling, Stefan Hochwarter, Philip Stampfer and Bernhard Neumayer</i>	
Multi-Stage LLM Pipeline to Support Qualitative Content Analysis – A Proof of Concept Experiment with Expert Validation	110
<i>Eva Forster, Nadja Kartschmit, Elisabeth Klager, Emmilie Mosor, Benjamin Schuster, Erika Mosor, Tanja Stamm and Klaus Donsa</i>	

Text-Based Classification of Mitral Valve Disease Severity from Echocardiography Reports	117
<i>Veronika Gombás, Gabriella Majoros and Ágnes Vathy-Fogarassy</i>	
Cross-Cultural Evaluation of Associative Memory Intervention Using Virtual Reality	123
<i>Prince Ampofo, Andrea Vitali, Francesca Morganti, Daniele Regazzoni, Delali A. Dovie, Joseph Osafo and Caterina Rizzi</i>	
From Guidelines to Action: A Systematic Approach to Translating Health Indicators into a Digital Prevention Ecosystem	129
<i>Stefan Hochwarter, Mirna Ban, Thomas E. Dorner, Sandra Haider, Maren Jeleff, Katharina Lichtenegger, Theresa Weitlaner and Franz Feichtner</i>	
Usability Comparison Between Leap Motion Controller and MediaPipe in Serious Games	131
<i>Ivana Mostachetti, Davide Ferrari, Elisa Barcella, Martina Corna, Kimiia Zavareh, Camilla Pasini, Paola Valentini and Andrea Vitali</i>	
Interrupting Anxiety-Driven Online Health Searches: A Preventive Digital Cyberchondria Intervention	137
<i>Niko Malleczek, Eszter Mészárosné Csuta, Carina Arnberger, Christoph Aigner, Selina Breuer, Thomas Grechenig and René Baranyi</i>	
Automatic ETL Pipeline Generation for Mapping Heterogeneous Clinical Data into the OMOP Common Data Model	139
<i>Elisabeth Mayrhuber, Philip Stampfer, Sai Pavan Kumar Veeranki, Lukas Steininger and Stephan Winkler</i>	
Design and Development of a Health and Lifestyle Data App to Empower Citizens	145
<i>Theresa Weitlaner, Stefan Hochwarter, Tone-Lill Aarsheim, Mari Gjøl, Lana Dalâmo Lacken, Andrej Lazuc, Birk Fjeldsârd Steinsholt, Mari Jin Sjøvold Sundal, Emma Felicia Weiby and Franz Feichtner</i>	
Semantic Mapping of German Nursing Diagnoses in SNOMED CT: Risks and Challenges	147
<i>Jessica Ferreira da Silva Marques, Elizaveta Beeck, Antonia Schnelnast, Elske Ammenwerth and Philip Stampfer</i>	
Patient Consent for Secondary Use of Health Data: Insights from Re-Consenting Biobank Donors	153
<i>Sonja Langthaler, Philipp Ortner, Dieter Platzer, Markus Plass, Dieter Hayn, Emanuel Sandner, Aaron Lauschensky, Klaus Donsa, Marcus Blohs, Monika Valjan and Kurt Zatloukal</i>	
Integrating AI into Healthcare: A Targeted Methodological Approach Using Patient Journey Mapping	155
<i>Andre W. Kushniruk and Elizabeth M. Borycki</i>	

Physical Activity Prediction for Patients in a Diabetes Telehealth System: Comparison of Different Data Driven Approaches	157
<i>Fabian Wiesmüller, Dieter Hayn, Martin Baumgartner, Karl Kreiner, Florian Hoffmann, Markus Falgenhauer, Günter Schreier and Gudrun Wolner-Strohmeyer</i>	
A Fit-Gap Analysis of Care Planning Tools: Evaluating FHIR Compliance	163
<i>Jana Schlosser, Peter Kastner, Hannah Vinatzer, Andreas Ziegl, Kristina Steiner and Günter Schreier</i>	
Semantic Text Vectorization in Healthcare: Transformer-Based Foundations and Benefit Potentials	169
<i>Werner O. Hackl, Sabrina B. Neururer, Patricia Gscheidlinger, Christoph Hickmann, Helmut Mühlböck, Hasan Taha and Bernhard Pfeifer</i>	
Exploring the Concept of an AI-Enabled Multi-Agentive Workflow to Support Telehealth Disease Management	175
<i>Valerie Reinisch, Karl Kreiner, Valentina Dapunt and Günter Schreier</i>	
Austrian EHDS Secure Processing Environment: From Regulation to Reality	181
<i>Florian Endel, David Würflinger and Alexander Degelsegger</i>	
An Agent-Based Cellular Automaton Platform for Simulating Climate-Driven Mosquito Vector Spread in Alpine Regions	183
<i>Marco Schweitzer, Alexandra Schwaiger, Hasan Taha and Bernhard Pfeifer</i>	
User Perspectives on Sharing Self-Generated Health Data	189
<i>Michael Schön and Mona Dür</i>	
Monitoring Adherence to Post-Sternotomy Movement Precautions: A Computer Vision and Generative AI Approach	191
<i>Davide Ferrari, Giulia Besana, Anna Dotti, Elisa Gastaldello, Andrea Zamarian and Andrea Vitali</i>	
Engaging Citizens in Digital Health: A Participatory Exploration of Attitudes Toward Austria's ELGA	197
<i>Michelle Bindel and Elske Ammenwerth</i>	
Managing Incompatible FHIR Implementation Guides in a Single Backend: Namespace-Based Storage in Neo4j and Multi-Profile Delivery	203
<i>Oliver Krauss, Andreas Pointner and Christoph Praschl</i>	
The TeleEPI Feasibility Study	210
<i>Tamara Lisy, Johannes Peter Koren, Clemens Lang, Susanne Pirker, Dorien van Blooij, Mireille Bourez-Swart, Adriano Bernini, Philippe Ryvlin, Klaus Donsa, Gerhard Gritsch, Hannes Perko, Tilmann Kluge, Markus Falgenhauer, Patrick Mayr, Lise Lynen, Valentina Dapunt, Peter Kastner and Christoph Baumgartner</i>	
Signal Quality and Reliability of Heart Rate Measurement Using Simultaneous PPG and Inertial Data in Healthy Adults	216
<i>Katrin Hainzl, Amr Negm, Eva Schweighofer, Nele Wagner, Anne Busch, Michael Schreinlechner, Samuel Pröll, Daniel Pavluk, Martin Baumgartner, Fabian Wiesmüller, Dieter Hayn and Günter Schreier</i>	

Digital Health Applications in Dietetic Practice: A Cross-Sectional Online-Survey on Acceptance and Implementation in Austria <i>Renate Maierhofer, Hannah Vaccarin, Verena Fuchs and Peter Putz</i>	222
Zero-Shot TNM Staging from German Pathology Reports Using Pre-Trained Transformer Models (BB-TEN) <i>Hasan Taha, Werner O. Hackl, Sabrina B. Neururer, Patricia Gscheidlinger, Christoph Hickmann, Helmut Mühlböck and Bernhard E. Pfeifer</i>	230
Preliminary Investigation of Federated Learning for MACE Prediction from Electronic Medical Records: A Multicontinental Study <i>Georg Slamanig, Stefan Kalabakov, Lena Lorenzer, Michael Schrempf, Gladys Pierri, Kátia Mitiko Firmino Suzuki, Stefanie Jauk, Diether Kramer, Paulo Mazzoncini de Azevedo-Marques, Bert Arnrich and Peter Rainer</i>	236
Automated LOINC Mapping of Persian-English Mixed-Language Clinical Laboratory Test Names <i>Abbas Sheikh Taheri, Ehsan Bitaraf, Maani Beigy, Nahid Seifi, Reza Shabrang, Anahita Manafi, Farzaneh Nejati, Majid Reza Khalajzadeh, Kazem Vatankeh, Sara Hosseini, Kiomars Ahmadi, Saeedeh Nazeri, Maryam Jafarpour and Tanja Stamm</i>	242
Designing Agentic Workflows in Healthcare Informatics: Challenges and Insights from a Student Perspective <i>Markus Radits, Kerstin Hirsch, Cheyenne Jirout, Mirko Rafailovic, Eva Schweighofer and Julia Willesberger</i>	248
Health Informatics Competencies and Workforce Needs in the Age of AI: A Canadian Case Study <i>Elizabeth M. Borycki and Andre W. Kushniruk</i>	254
Author Index	261

Cross-Cultural Evaluation of Associative Memory Intervention Using Virtual Reality

Prince AMPOFO^{a,1}, Andrea VITALI^a, Francesca MORGANTI^a, Daniele REGAZZONI^a, Delali A. DOVIE^b, Joseph OSAFO^b and Caterina RIZZI^a

^a *University of Bergamo, Bergamo, Italy*

^b *University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana*

Abstract. Background: Associative memory is essential for daily functioning; however, VR interventions in this domain are limited. Objectives: The present study examined associative memory in different modalities and investigated the effects of culture on performance and response time in a virtual environment. Methods: Twenty-two healthy younger adults from Italy and Ghana (ages 18 – 26) completed eight training trial sessions on object-name matching and object-sound matching tasks in a virtual environment. Results: Performance improved progressively across trials in both tasks, with greater accuracy and faster response times in the object-name task than in the object-sound task. Cross-cultural comparisons revealed no significant performance differences between the Ghanaians and Italians. However, the Italians completed both tasks significantly faster than the Ghanaians. Conclusion: The findings of the present study indicate that associative memory is culturally invariant, whereas response-time differences may reflect cultural influences on processing strategies. Overall, this study supports the use of VR as a culturally adaptable platform for associative memory assessment and training.

Keywords. Virtual Reality, Associative Memory, Cross-cultural Study, Pair-associate learning

1. Introduction

The advent of digital health technologies has transformed cognitive assessment and interventions. Virtual reality (VR) has emerged as an effective cognitive training tool for creating interactive, realistic, and repetitive tasks in a controlled immersive environment, capabilities often limited in conventional 2D or paper-and-pen methods [1],[2]. Integrating VR-based interventions into digital health offers an opportunity to improve memory, promote independent living, and reduce healthcare system burdens [3].

Associative memory, a core component of episodic memory responsible for forming and retrieving links between multiple elements of an experience (e.g., a face and a name or an object and its corresponding sound), is particularly susceptible to age-related decline and is therefore a critical target for cognitive training [4], [5]. It enables individuals to connect perceptual information and navigate their environment to create meaningful experiences [6]. Associative memory involves integrating information across multiple sensory and cognitive systems, requiring encoding and binding of different stimuli into a coherent memory trace. According to Baddeley's Working Memory Model, these processes involve the visuospatial sketchpad for visual-spatial processing, the

¹ Corresponding Author: Prince Ampofo, University of Bergamo, Italy, E-Mail: prince.ampofo@unibg.it

phonological loop for verbal stimuli, the central executive for attention and control, and the episodic buffer, which integrates inputs into a unified memory trace [7]. While verbal stimuli are processed efficiently in the phonological loop, nonverbal auditory stimuli, which lack linguistic structure, place a greater demand on the central executive and episodic buffer for attention and cross-modal integration, thereby reducing performance [7]. VR-based associative memory training has been effective in improving memory in healthy individuals [5], [8] and in patients with dementia [2]. A pilot study comparing object–name and object–sound matching in VR reported better performance in the object–name condition, supporting the Working Memory Model [5].

Culture shapes cognitive processes, including associative memory, by influencing semantic networks, object familiarity, exposure to everyday sounds, and strategies for forming associations [9]. However, cultural effects are not universal across all binding processes [10]. Studies explicitly exploring the effect of culture on VR-based associative memory training are limited. This study compared performance in visual–verbal and visual–auditory tasks and examined cultural effects on performance and response time in young adults. Studying this population allows associative learning to be examined in a cognitively stable group without age-related confounds. A custom VR application with unique stimuli and an immediate correction strategy was developed to support the task.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Twenty-two students (12 Ghanaians, 10 Italians; aged 18–26) were conveniently recruited from the University of Ghana and the University of Bergamo via flyers and posters. An a priori power analysis using G*Power ($\alpha = .05$, power = .90, effect size = 0.30), based on a preliminary study showing a larger effect ($\eta^2p = .704$), indicated a minimum sample size of 14 participants (7 per group) to detect an interaction effect. Only healthy young adults without physical, neurological, psychological, or sensory impairments were included; anyone with conditions affecting VR use or cognitive function was excluded. All participants scored above 29 on the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) [11], which indicates normal cognitive function. Written Informed consent was obtained, and the study was approved by the Research Ethics Committees of the University of Bergamo (Project number: 2024_07_03) and the University of Ghana (Project number: ECH 282/24-25) and conducted in accordance with the standards outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki (2013 revision).

2.2. Materials and Technology

Materials included ten melodies downloaded from www.pixabay.com, 3D toy objects from www.turbosquid.com, and ten verbal stimuli, comprising nonword consonant-vowel-consonant (CVC) trigrams sampled from Ampofo et al. (2023). The melodies were randomly selected from a pool of 30 and trimmed to 10 seconds each, while a single 3D toy model was duplicated into ten colour-differentiated versions. The virtual environment was developed using Unity (2021.3.36f1) and deployed on a Meta Quest 3 headset via Airlink, connected to an HP Omen laptop (AMD Ryzen 7, 16 GB RAM, NVIDIA RTX 4060).

2.3. Study Design and Procedure

The study employed a mixed (within–between) design in which Ghanaian and Italian participants completed eight trials of object–name and object–sound matching tasks, with each correct match scoring one point. The virtual environment included two areas: an encoding room and a training room. The task was autonomously controlled by participants, allowing interaction with stimuli at their own pace, which increased ecological validity while maintaining accurate measurement of behavioural performance. During the VR task, participants first entered the encoding room to learn and associate the 3D toys with their names, as shown in Fig. 1(a), then moved to the training room to perform an object–name matching task by placing each toy on its respective name (see Fig. 1(b)). Correctly matched caused the toy and name to disappear, while incorrect matches caused the correct toy to light up white for retry. After matching all pairs, participants returned to the encoding room to learn toy–melody associations, with each toy emitting a unique 10-second melody when held (Fig. 1(c)); at this stage, only the toys remained visible. Following the toy–sound encoding, participants performed an object–sound matching task in the training room by pressing buttons to play melodies and placing the toys on the corresponding pads, as shown in Fig. 1(d). Similarly, accurate matches made the toy and pad disappear, whereas incorrect matches triggered the correct toy to illuminate white for a retry.




Figure 1. (a) Object–name encoding task. (b) Object–name matching task. (c) Object–sound encoding task. (d) Object–sound matching task.

3. Results

Two separate $2(\text{Nationality}; \text{Ghana, Italy}) \times 2(\text{Training Type}; \text{object-name, object-sound}) \times 8(\text{Trial}; \text{trial 1-8})$ mixed design repeated measures ANOVA was conducted to analyse training performance and response times over trials. Training type and trial were within-subject factors, and nationality was a between-subject factor. All analyses were computed with JASP (version 0.95.04). Mauchly's test indicated that sphericity was

violated for the within-subject factors and their interactions ($p < .05$); therefore, Greenhouse–Geisser corrections were applied to all affected effects.

Learning across trials was compared between the two training types, with the number of accurate matches as the dependent variable. Results showed a significant main effect of Training Type, $F(1, 20) = 15.06, p < .001, \eta^2p = 0.429$ and Trial, $F(3.53, 70.55) = 56.02, p < .001, \eta^2p = .737$, as shown in Fig. 2(a). Nationality had no significant effect, $F(1, 20) = 1.60, p = .221, \eta^2p = .074$, indicating comparable performance between the Italian and Ghanaian groups (See Fig. 3(a) and 3(c)). The Training Type $\times$ Nationality interaction was not significant, $F(1, 20) = 3.58, p = .73, \eta^2p = 0.152$, nor were Trial $\times$ Nationality interaction, $F(3.53, 70.55) = 1.81, p = .144, \eta^2p = 0.083$, Training Type $\times$ Trial interaction, $F(3.98, 79.62) = 1.80, p = .137, \eta^2p = 0.083$ and Training Type $\times$ Trial $\times$ Nationality interaction, $F(3.98, 79.62) = 1.11, p = .357, \eta^2p = .053$. Pairwise comparisons showed higher performance in the object–name than in the object–sound matching task ($p < .001$). Post hoc analyses indicated a strong early learning effect, with performance in Trial 1 significantly lower than in all subsequent trials (all $p < .05$). Performance increased across Trials 2–4, whereas no significant differences were observed among Trials 5–8 (all $p > .05$).

Response times between object-name and object-sound matching tasks were compared, with task completion time across trials as the dependent variable. As depicted in Fig. 2(b), the analyses revealed a significant main effect of Response Time, $F(1, 20) = 199.61, p < .001, \eta^2p = .909$, and main effect of Trial, $F(2.27, 45.33) = 57.42, p < .001, \eta^2p = .742$, indicating significant differences in completion times between the matching tasks and intertrial time changes across trials. Also, a significant effect of Nationality was observed, $F(1, 20) = 12.26, p = .002, \eta^2p = .380$. There were significant effects of Response Time $\times$ Nationality interaction, $F(1, 20) = 4.40, p = .049, \eta^2p = .180$ and Response Time $\times$ Trial interaction, $F(3.19, 63.70) = 2.73, p = .048, \eta^2p = .120$. However, significant effects were not observed in the Trial $\times$ Nationality interaction, $F(2.27, 45.33) = 1.51, p = .230, \eta^2p = .070$ and Response Time $\times$ Trial $\times$ Nationality interaction, $F(3.19, 63.70) = 1.72, p = .168, \eta^2p = .079$. Post hoc analysis revealed that Response times were faster in the object–name than in the object–sound task ($p < .001$) (see Fig. 2(b)) and faster in the Italian than in the Ghanaian group ($p = .002$), with Italians responding faster across both tasks (all $p < .05$), as shown in Fig. 3(b) and 3(d). Response times decreased significantly across early and mid-trials (all $p < .05$) and plateaued in later trials (all $p > .05$). Trial-by-trial comparison revealed faster response times for the object-name task across all trial levels (all $p < .05$). Both groups showed a comparable learning curve; however, the Italians consistently completed the tasks faster.




Figure 2. Means and 95% confidence intervals for matching performance (a) and response times (b) for object–name and object–sound tasks




Figure 3. Means and 95% confidence intervals by nationality for object–name matching performance (a) and response times (b), and for object–sound matching performance (c) and response times (d).

4. Discussion

The study compared performance in visual-verbal (object-name) and visual-auditory (object-sound) associative tasks and examined cultural effects in a virtual environment. Results revealed progressive performance improvement and faster response times across trials in both tasks, with higher accuracy and quicker response times in the object-name task relative to the object-sound task. These findings are consistent with a previous study [5] and can be explained using the Baddeley Working Memory Model [7]. According to the model, verbal stimuli are efficiently encoded in the phonological loop, enabling rapid rehearsal and consolidation, whereas nonverbal auditory stimuli require greater involvement of the central executive and the episodic buffer for attention and cross-modal integration, potentially leading to reduced associative performance [7].

Cross-cultural comparisons of object-name and object-sound tasks revealed similar accuracy among Ghanaian and Italian participants; however, Italians performed slightly better on the object-sound task, although this difference was not statistically significant. Moreover, the Italians completed both tasks significantly faster than Ghanaians. Thus, while accuracy did not differ, Ghanaians required more time per trial. These results partially align with Cheung et al. (2024), who found no cultural effect in a colour–shape binding task, and concluded that feature integration may be culturally universal [10]. The absence of a cultural effect on accuracy may stem from the unfamiliar auditory and non-semantic verbal stimuli, providing no group advantage. The observed difference in response time may reflect attentional and visual processing patterns: individuals from Western, individualistic cultures, such as Italy, often show more focused attention and efficient search strategies, whereas those from collectivist cultures, such as Ghana, tend to adopt broader attention, requiring more processing time [13].

Several limitations should be noted, though the study provides evidence of cross-cultural cognitive training using VR: the lack of control groups, the inclusion of only two

cultural groups, and the exclusive focus on young adults limit generalisation and attribution of the observed outcome solely to the VR training. Future studies should include control conditions and include more diverse cultural and age groups.

5. Conclusion

The findings of this study reveal modality-specific differences in associative memory, with superior performance on verbal relative to nonverbal auditory tasks, consistent with working memory theory. The lack of cultural disparities in performance and the presence of differences in response time indicate that associative memory mechanisms are independent of culture, whereas processing speed may vary across cultures. Overall, the findings support VR as a culturally adaptable tool for cognitive assessment and training.

References

- [1] A. S. Rizzo and S. T. Koenig, "Is clinical virtual reality ready for primetime?," *Neuropsychology*, vol. 31, no. 8, pp. 877–899, Nov. 2017, doi: 10.1037/neu0000405.
- [2] S. Serino et al., "A novel virtual reality-based training protocol for the enhancement of the 'mental frame syncing' in individuals with Alzheimer's disease: A development-of-concept trial," *Front Aging Neurosci*, vol. 9, no. JUL, Jul. 2017, doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2017.00240.
- [3] D. Lupton, *Digital Health*. Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon ; New York, NY: Routledge, 2018. |: Routledge, 2017. doi: 10.4324/9781315648835.
- [4] A. R. Bender, M. Naveh-Benjamin, K. Amann, and N. Raz, "The role of stimulus complexity and salience in memory for face-name associations in healthy adults: Friend or foe?," *Psychol Aging*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 489–505, Aug. 2017, doi: 10.1037/pag0000185.
- [5] P. Ampofo, A. Vitali, F. Morganti, C. Rizzi, and D. Regazzoni, "A Preliminary Evaluation of a VR Application for Associative Memory and Cognitive Rehabilitation," *Stud Health Technol Inform*, vol. 324, pp. 1–6, 2025, doi: 10.3233/SHTI250148.
- [6] E. Tulving, "Episodic and semantic memory," in *Organization of Memory*, A. Tulving and W. Danaldson, Eds., Cambridge: Academic Press, 1972, pp. 381–403.
- [7] A. Baddeley, "Working memory: Theories, models, and controversies," *Annu Rev Psychol*, vol. 63, pp. 1–29, 2012, doi: 10.1146/annurev-psych-120710-100422.
- [8] N. Ribeiro, C. Sagnier, V. Quaglino, Y. Gounden, and E. Loup-Escande, "Effect of a short rest period on associative and relational memory performance: A Virtual Reality study," *International Journal of Virtual Reality*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 21–32, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.20870/ijvr.2020.20.1.3186.
- [9] H. F. Chua, J. Boland, and R. E. Nisbett, "Cultural variation in eye movements during scene perception," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 102, no. 35, pp. 12626–12631, 2005, doi: 10.1073/pnas.0506162102.
- [10] H. W. Cheung, N. Geeraert, and V. M. Loaiza, "Evidence Against Effects of Cultural Group and Prior Knowledge on Feature Binding in Working Memory," *J Cogn*, vol. 7, no. 1, 2024, doi: 10.5334/joc.390.
- [11] M. F. Folstein, S. E. Folstein, and P. R. Mchugh, "Mini-Mental State: A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician," *J. gsychiaf. Res*, vol. 12, pp. 189–198, 1975, doi: 10.1016/0022-3956(75)90026-6.
- [12] P. Ampofo et al., "Cross-cultural evaluation of learning and memory using a consonant-vowel-consonant trigram list," *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*, vol. 29, no. 10, 2023, doi: 10.1017/S1355617723000656.
- [13] A. Alotaibi, G. Underwood, and A. D. Smith, "Cultural differences in attention: Eye movement evidence from a comparative visual search task," *Conscious Cogn*, vol. 55, pp. 254–265, Oct. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.concog.2017.09.002.