

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI BERGAMO DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA DELL'INFORMAZIONE E METODI MATEMATICI[°]

QUADERNI DEL DIPARTIMENTO

Department of Information Technology and Mathematical Methods

Working Paper

Series "Mathematics and Statistics"

n. 3/MS - 2008

Discrepancy for randomized Riemann sums

by

L. Brandolini, W. Chen, G. Gigante, G. Travaglini

[°] Viale Marconi. 5, I – 24044 Dalmine (BG), ITALY, Tel. +39-035-2052339; Fax. +39-035-562779

COMITATO DI REDAZIONE[§]

Series Information Technology (IT): Stefano Paraboschi Series Mathematics and Statistics (MS): Luca Brandolini, Ilia Negri

[§] L'accesso alle *Series* è approvato dal Comitato di Redazione. I *Working Papers* della Collana dei Quaderni del Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Informazione e Metodi Matematici costituiscono un servizio atto a fornire la tempestiva divulgazione dei risultati dell'attività di ricerca, siano essi in forma provvisoria o definitiva.

DISCREPANCY FOR RANDOMIZED RIEMANN SUMS

LUCA BRANDOLINI, WILLIAM CHEN, GIACOMO GIGANTE, AND GIANCARLO TRAVAGLINI

ABSTRACT. Given a finite sequence $U_N = \{u_1, \ldots, u_N\}$ of points contained in the *d*-dimensional unit torus, we consider the L^2 discrepancy between the integral of a given function and the Riemann sums with respect to translations of U_N . We show that with positive probability, the L^2 discrepancy of other sequences close to U_N in a certain sense preserves the order of decay of the discrepancy of U_N . We also study the role of the regularity of the given function.

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be a given large number, let $U_N = \{u_1, \ldots, u_N\}$ be a distribution of N points in the unit cube $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^d$, treated as the torus \mathbb{T}^d , and let f be a real function on \mathbb{T}^d . Suppose that for suitable choices of U_N and f, the Riemann sums

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}f(u_j-x)$$

are, after an L^2 average on the variable $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$, good approximations of the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(s) \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

What corresponding statement can we make concerning those sequences *close* to the sequence U_N ? Do such sequences mostly share the same good behavior?

In order to start discussing these questions, we introduce the following randomization of U_N ; see [3, 6] and also [8, 9]. Let $d\mu$ denote a probability measure on \mathbb{T}^d . For every $j = 1, \ldots, N$, let $d\mu_j$ denote the measure obtained after translating $d\mu$ by u_j . More precisely, for any integrable function g on \mathbb{T}^d , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} g(t) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_j = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} g(t - u_j) \,\mathrm{d}\mu.$$

Let dt denote the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T}^d . For every sequence $V_N = \{v_1, \ldots, v_N\}$ in \mathbb{T}^d and every function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, dt)$, we introduce, for every $t \in \mathbb{T}^d$, the discrepancy

$$D(t, V_N) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N f(v_j - t) - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(s) \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

Observe that $D(\cdot, V_N)$ is a periodic function with Fourier series

$$\sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{2\pi i k \cdot v_j} \right) \widehat{f}(k) e^{2\pi i k \cdot t},$$

and the Parseval identity yields

$$D^{2}(V_{N}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|D(\cdot, V_{N})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{T}^{d}, \mathrm{d}t)}^{2} = \sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i}k \cdot v_{j}}\right|^{2} |\widehat{f}(k)|^{2}.$$

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11K38; Secondary 41A55.

We now average $D(V_N)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, d\mu_j)$ for every $j = 1, \ldots, N$, and consider

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{d}\mu}(U_N) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \dots \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} D^2(V_N) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_1(v_1) \dots \,\mathrm{d}\mu_N(v_N) \right)^{1/2}$$

In this paper we study the relation between $\mathfrak{D}_{d\mu}(U_N)$ and $D(U_N)$. In the case $N = M^d$, where $M \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$U_N = \frac{1}{M} \mathbb{Z}^d \cap \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right)^d, \tag{1}$$

the above quantities were studied in relation to the sharpness of a result of Beck [1] and of Montgomery [10] on irregularities of distribution; see Remark 3 below. In [6] two of the authors compared the quantities $D(U_N)$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{d\mu}(U_N)$ in the case (1) and when f is the characteristic function of a ball. Here we study the problem in our more general setting, and we are mainly interested in whether the inequality

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{d}\mu}(U_N) \le c \, D(U_N) \tag{2}$$

holds. Throughout this paper, the letters c, C, \ldots will denote positive constants, possibly depending on f but independent of N, and which may change from one step to the next.

We first use a slight modification of an argument in [6] to obtain an explicit formula for $\mathfrak{D}_{d\mu}(U_N)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_{d\mu}^{2}(U_{N}) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} \sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{2\pi i k \cdot v_{j}} \right|^{2} |\widehat{f}(k)|^{2} d\mu_{1}(v_{1}) \dots d\mu_{N}(v_{N}) \\ &= \sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} |\widehat{f}(k)|^{2} \left(\frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{\substack{j,\ell=1\\ j \neq \ell}}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} e^{2\pi i k \cdot v_{j}} e^{-2\pi i k \cdot v_{\ell}} d\mu_{j}(v_{j}) d\mu_{\ell}(v_{\ell}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} |\widehat{f}(k)|^{2} \left(\frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{\substack{j,\ell=1\\ j \neq \ell}}^{N} e^{2\pi i k \cdot (u_{\ell} - u_{j})} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} e^{2\pi i k \cdot v_{j}} e^{-2\pi i k \cdot v_{\ell}} d\mu(v_{j}) d\mu(v_{\ell}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} |\widehat{f}(k)|^{2} \left(\frac{1}{N} + |\widehat{\mu}(k)|^{2} \left(\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j \neq \ell}}^{N} e^{2\pi i k \cdot u_{j}} \right|^{2} - \frac{1}{N} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} |\widehat{f}(k)|^{2} (1 - |\widehat{\mu}(k)|^{2}) + \sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} |\widehat{f}(k)|^{2} |\widehat{\mu}(k)|^{2} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j=1}}^{N} e^{2\pi i k \cdot u_{j}} \right|^{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left(||f||^{2}_{L^{2}(\mathbb{T}^{d}, dt)} - ||f * d\mu||^{2}_{L^{2}(\mathbb{T}^{d}, dt)} \right) + ||D(\cdot, U_{N}) * d\mu||^{2}_{L^{2}(\mathbb{T}^{d}, dt)}. \end{aligned}$$

There are two natural extremal measures. The first one is $d\mu = \delta_0$, the Dirac measure centered at 0. In this case, we have

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\delta_0}(U_N) = D(U_N).$$

On the other hand, when $d\mu = dt$, we have

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{d}t}^2(U_N) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d,\mathrm{d}t)}^2 - \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \right|^2 \right),$$

the classical Monte-Carlo error.

Note that if $ND^2(U_N) \ge c$, then $\mathfrak{D}_{dt}(U_N) \le c_1 D(U_N)$, and (2) follows easily. Another very peculiar case is when $D(U_N) = 0$. We observe that in general this does not imply $\mathfrak{D}_{d\mu}(U_N) = 0$, so that (2) does not hold. Indeed, let U_N be given by (1). Then

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{2\pi i k \cdot u_j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \in M\mathbb{Z}^d, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(4)

Now choose $f(t) = \exp(2\pi i k_0 \cdot t)$ for some $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus M\mathbb{Z}^d$. Then $D(U_N) = 0$. On the other hand, it follows from (3) that

$$\mathfrak{D}_{d\mu}^{2}(U_{N}) = \frac{1}{N}(1 - |\widehat{\mu}(k_{0})|^{2}) \neq 0$$

whenever $|\hat{\mu}(k_0)| \neq 1$, which is easily fulfilled, particularly by several measures with small support around the origin.

Hence, throughout the paper, we will be interested only in the case when

$$0 < D(U_N) < N^{-1/2}.$$

Let $0 < \varepsilon_N \leq 1$. For every probability measure $d\mu$ supported on the unit cube $\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)^d$, let $d\mu^{(N)}$ denote the probability measure defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\mu^{(N)}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(\varepsilon_N \xi) \,\mathrm{d}\mu(\xi).$$
(5)

Then $d\mu^{(N)}$ is supported on the subcube $\left[-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_N, \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_N\right)^d$, and can be regarded as a measure on \mathbb{T}^d .

We first state our main result.

Theorem 1. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathrm{d}t)$, and let $U_N = \{u_1, \ldots, u_N\}$ be a distribution of N points in the cube $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^d$. Assume that $0 < D(U_N) < N^{-1/2}$. Let $\mathrm{d}\mu$ be a non-Dirac probability measure on \mathbb{T}^d , let $\mathrm{d}\mu^{(N)}$ be defined by (5) with $0 < \varepsilon_N \leq 1$, and let

$$\eta_N = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_N^{2\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \\ \varepsilon_N^2 \log(1 + \varepsilon_N^{-1}) & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ \varepsilon_N^2 & \text{if } \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

(i) If for some $\alpha > 0$ and for every $\rho > 1$ we have

$$\sum_{\rho \le |k| < 2\rho} |\widehat{f}(k)|^2 \le c \, \rho^{-2\alpha},\tag{6}$$

then

$$\mathfrak{D}^{2}_{\mathrm{d}\mu^{(N)}}(U_{N}) \le c \,\eta_{N} N^{-1} + D^{2}(U_{N}).$$
(7)

(ii) If there exists an open cone¹ $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ such that for every subcone $\Gamma \subseteq \Omega$,

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in \Gamma\\\rho \le |k| < 2\rho}} |\widehat{f}(k)|^2 \ge c_{\Gamma} \rho^{-2\alpha},\tag{8}$$

then

$$\mathfrak{D}^2_{\mathrm{d}\mu^{(N)}}(U_N) \ge c \eta_N N^{-1}.$$

The following Corollary shows that, in some sense, good sequences are never alone. Indeed we give conditions on ε_N that make $\mathfrak{D}_{d\mu^{(N)}}(U_N)$ and $D(U_N)$ comparable.

¹In this paper every cone starts from the origin.

Corollary 2. Let U_N and $d\mu$ be as given in Theorem 1.

(i) Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, dt)$ be as given in part (i) of Theorem 1, and let

$$\varepsilon_N \leq \begin{cases} (N^{1/2}D(U_N))^{1/\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \\ \beta_N & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ N^{1/2}D(U_N) & \text{if } \alpha > 1, \end{cases}$$
(9)

where β_N satisfies $\beta_N^2 \log(1 + \beta_N^{-1}) = ND^2(U_N)$. Then

$$\mathfrak{D}^2_{\mathrm{d}\mu^{(N)}}(U_N) \le c \, D^2(U_N).$$

(ii) Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, dt)$ and Ω be as given in part (ii) of Theorem 1. Let $\varepsilon_N \leq 1$ satisfy

$$\varepsilon_N \ge \begin{cases} (N^{1/2}D(U_N))^{1/\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \\ \beta_N & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ N^{1/2}D(U_N) & \text{if } \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$
(10)

Then

$$\mathfrak{D}^2_{\mathrm{d}\mu^{(N)}}(U_N) \ge c \, D^2(U_N).$$

Remark 3. Consider the particular case when $f = \chi_A$, the characteristic function of a convex body $A \subseteq [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^d$. Then (6) holds with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. Let $\varepsilon_N = ND^2(U_N)$. Then

$$\mathfrak{D}^2_{\mathrm{d}\mu^{(N)}}(U_N) \leqslant c \, D^2(U_N).$$

If furthermore the boundary of A is smooth and has positive Gaussian curvature then (8) holds with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$; see, for instance, [7]. We then have

$$\mathfrak{D}^2_{\mathrm{d}\mu^{(N)}}(U_N) \geqslant c \, D^2(U_N).$$

We recall that if A is rotated and contracted, then a result of Beck [1] and of Montgomery [10] says that

$$\int_{SO(d)} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \chi_{\sigma(rA)}(u_j - t) - r^d |A| \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}r \, \mathrm{d}\sigma \ge c \, N^{-1 - 1/d}$$

for every choice of the point set distribution U_N ; see also [2, 4, 5]. We also recall that this is not true if the contraction is omitted; see [12, Theorem 3.1].

The assumption (6) concerns the decay of the Fourier coefficients of f. This behavior can be naturally related to the smoothness of the function f as follows. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$, define $\Delta_h f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x)$ and, for every integer $\ell \ge 1$, write $\Delta_h^{\ell} f = \Delta_h \Delta_h^{\ell-1} f$. Let $\alpha > 0$. We say that f belongs to the Nikol'skiĭ space $H_2^{\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ if there exists c > 0 such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\Delta_h^\ell f(x)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/2} \leqslant c \, |h|^\alpha$$

for some $\ell \ge 1$; see [11, Section 4.3.3].

Proposition 4. Let $f \in H_2^{\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Then (6) holds.

Proof. Since $\widehat{\Delta_h f}(k) = (e^{2\pi i k \cdot h} - 1)\widehat{f}(k)$, we have $\widehat{\Delta_h^{\ell} f}(k) = (e^{2\pi i k \cdot h} - 1)^{\ell} \widehat{f}(k)$. Let $h = (1/10\rho, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\Gamma = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d : k_1^2 \ge k_2^2 + \dots + k_d^2\}$. Observe that when $k \in \Gamma$ and $\rho \le |k| \le 2\rho$, we have $|e^{2\pi i k \cdot h} - 1| \ge c$. Therefore

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\substack{k \in \Gamma \\ \rho \le |k| < 2\rho}} |\widehat{f}(k)|^2 &\leq c \sum_{\substack{k \in \Gamma \\ \rho \le |k| < 2\rho}} |(\mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i}k \cdot h} - 1)^{\ell}|^2 |\widehat{f}(k)|^2 \leq c \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{\Delta_h^{\ell} f}(k)|^2 \\ &= c \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\Delta_h^{\ell} f(x)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \le c \,|h|^{2\alpha} = c \,\rho^{-2\alpha}. \end{split}$$

Note here that h is tailored on Γ . Since we can cover \mathbb{Z}^d with a finite number of cones, the proposition follows from the above argument applied to different choices of h. \square

We begin the proof of Theorem 1 with a technical lemma.

Lemma 5. Let $d\nu$ be a probability measure supported on $\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)^d$. Then either

- (i) dν is the Dirac measure δ_{t0} at a point t0 ∈ T^d; or
 (ii) 1 − |ν(ξ)|² = O(|ξ|²) as ξ → 0, and any open cone in ℝ^d contains an open subcone Γ such that 1 − |ν(ξ)|² ≥ c |ξ|² for small ξ ∈ Γ.

Proof. Since $d\nu$ is compactly supported, its Fourier transform $\hat{\nu}$ is smooth and has Taylor expansion

$$\hat{\nu}(\xi) = 1 + \nabla \hat{\nu}(0)\xi + \frac{1}{2}H_{\hat{\nu}}(0)\xi \cdot \xi + o(|\xi|^2),$$

and so

$$1 - |\widehat{\nu}(\xi)|^2 = 1 - \widehat{\nu}(\xi)\widehat{\nu}(-\xi) = (\nabla\widehat{\nu}(0)\xi)^2 - H_{\widehat{\nu}}(0)\xi \cdot \xi + o(|\xi|^2) = O(|\xi|^2).$$

Let $F(\xi) = (\nabla \hat{\nu}(0)\xi)^2 - H_{\hat{\nu}}(0)\xi \cdot \xi$, and assume that F does not vanish identically. Let $\Sigma_{d-1} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\xi| = 1\}$. Since F is a polynomial, it cannot vanish on an open set and therefore $\{\xi \in \Sigma_{d-1} : F(\xi) = 0\}$ has empty interior in Σ_{d-1} . Since F is homogeneous and continuous, it follows that for every open cone in \mathbb{R}^d , we can find an open subcone Γ such that $|F(\xi)| \ge c|\xi|^2$ for $\xi \in \Gamma$. Therefore $1 - |\widehat{\nu}(\xi)|^2 \ge c|\xi|^2$ for small $\xi \in \Gamma$.

Assume now $F \equiv 0$. Observe that

$$\frac{\partial \widehat{\nu}}{\partial \xi_j}(0) = -2\pi \mathrm{i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} x_j \,\mathrm{d}\nu(x)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \widehat{\nu}}{\partial \xi_j \xi_\ell}(0) = -4\pi^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} x_j x_\ell \, \mathrm{d}\nu(x).$$

Then

$$\nabla \widehat{\nu}(0) \cdot \xi = -2\pi i \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (x \cdot \xi) d\nu(x)$$

and

$$H_{\widehat{\nu}}(0)\xi \cdot \xi = -4\pi^2 \sum_{i,j} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \xi_j \xi_\ell x_j x_\ell \,\mathrm{d}\nu(x) = -4\pi^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\xi \cdot x)^2 \,\mathrm{d}\nu(x).$$

Hence

$$0 = (\nabla \widehat{\nu}(0)\xi)^2 - H_{\widehat{\nu}}(0)\xi \cdot \xi = -4\pi^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (x \cdot \xi) \,\mathrm{d}\nu(x)\right)^2 + 4\pi^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\xi \cdot x)^2 \,\mathrm{d}\nu(x)$$
$$= 4\pi^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left(x \cdot \xi - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (t \cdot \xi) \,\mathrm{d}\nu(t)\right)^2 \,\mathrm{d}\nu(x).$$

Let

$$t_0 = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} t \, \mathrm{d}\nu(t).$$

Since $d\nu(x)$ is positive, it follows that for every fixed ξ , we have

$$\nu(\{x:x\cdot\xi-\xi\cdot t_0\neq 0\})=0.$$

Since ξ is arbitrary, we conclude that $\nu(\{x : x - t_0 \neq 0\}) = 0$, so that $d\nu$ is supported at t_0 . Since $d\nu$ is a probability measure, we have $d\nu = \delta_{t_0}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 5, we have

$$1 - |\widehat{\mu^{(N)}}(k)|^2 = 1 - |\widehat{\mu}(\varepsilon_N k)|^2 = O(\varepsilon_N^2 |k|^2).$$

As $\mathrm{d}\mu$ is a probability measure, we have

$$0 \le 1 - |\widehat{\mu^{(N)}}(k)|^2 \le \min\{1, c \, \varepsilon_N^2 |k|^2\}.$$

By (6), we have

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^{d}} |\widehat{f}(k)|^{2} (1 - |\widehat{\mu^{(N)}}(k)|^{2}) \leq \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^{d}} |\widehat{f}(k)|^{2} \min\{1, c \varepsilon_{N}^{2} |k|^{2}\}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \min\{1, c \varepsilon_{N}^{2} 2^{2j}\} \sum_{2^{j} \leq |k| < 2^{j+1}} |\widehat{f}(k)|^{2} \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \min\{1, \varepsilon_{N}^{2} 2^{2j}\} 2^{-2j\alpha}$$

$$\leq c \varepsilon_{N}^{2} \sum_{2^{j} < \varepsilon_{N}^{-1}} 2^{(2-2\alpha)j} + c \sum_{2^{j} > \varepsilon_{N}^{-1}} 2^{-2j\alpha}.$$
(11)

There are three cases. If $\alpha < 1$, we have

$$\sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{f}(k)|^2 (1 - |\widehat{\mu^{(N)}}(k)|^2) \le c \,\varepsilon_N^{2\alpha}.$$

If $\alpha = 1$, we have

$$\sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{f}(k)|^2 (1 - |\widehat{\mu^{(N)}}(k)|^2) \le c \,\varepsilon_N^2 \log(1 + \varepsilon_N^{-1}).$$

If $\alpha > 1$, we have

$$\sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{f}(k)|^2 (1 - |\widehat{\mu^{(N)}}(k)|^2) \le c \,\varepsilon_N^2.$$

Since $d\mu$ is a probability measure, we have

$$\|D(\cdot, U_N) * \mathrm{d}\mu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathrm{d}t)} \le D(U_N).$$
(12)

In view of (11) and (12), the inequality (7) follows from (3).

Let Ω be such that $1 - |\hat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 \ge c|\xi|^2$ for small $\xi \in \Omega$. Suppose that there exists $\Gamma \subseteq \Omega$ such that (8) holds. Then

$$\mathfrak{D}_{d\mu^{(N)}}^{2}(N) \geq \frac{1}{N} \left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{T}^{d}, dt)}^{2} - \|f * d\mu^{(N)}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{T}^{d}, dt)}^{2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} |\widehat{f}(k)|^{2} (1 - |\widehat{\mu}(\varepsilon_{N}k)|^{2})$$

$$\geq \frac{c}{N} \sum_{2^{j} \leq c_{1}\varepsilon_{N}^{-1}} \sum_{2^{j} \leq |k| < 2^{j+1}} |\widehat{f}(k)|^{2} (1 - |\widehat{\mu}(\varepsilon_{N}k)|^{2})$$

$$\geq c \frac{\varepsilon_{N}^{2}}{N} \sum_{2^{j} \leq c_{1}\varepsilon_{N}^{-1}} 2^{-2j\alpha} 2^{2j} \geq c \eta_{N} N^{-1}.$$

Remark 6. The estimates from below for $\mathfrak{D}^2_{d\mu^{(N)}}(N)$ contained in Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 depend on suitable estimates for the first term

$$\frac{1}{N} \left(\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathrm{d}t)}^2 - \|f \ast \mathrm{d}\mu^{(N)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathrm{d}t)}^2 \right)$$

in (3). We observe that in our setting the second term may vanish even in rather natural examples. Indeed, let

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{|k|^{\gamma}} e^{2\pi i kx}$$

for some $\gamma > d/2 + 1$. One can easily check that (8) holds with $\alpha = \gamma - d/2$. Let U_N as in (1) and μ be the (normalized) Lebesgue measure restricted to $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^d$, so that, taking $\varepsilon_N = 1/M$, we have

$$\widehat{\mu^{(N)}}(k) = N \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{\sin(\pi k_j/M)}{\pi k_j}.$$

By (4) we have

$$D^{2}(U_{N}) = \sum_{k \neq 0} |\hat{f}(Mk)|^{2} = \frac{1}{M^{2\gamma}} \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{|k|^{2\gamma}} = \frac{c_{\gamma}}{M^{2\gamma}}$$

and

$$\|D(\cdot, U_N) * \mathrm{d}\mu^{(N)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathrm{d}t)} = \sum_{k \neq 0} |\widehat{f}(Mk)|^2 |\widehat{\mu^{(N)}}(Mk)|^2 = 0.$$

On the other hand observe that

$$\varepsilon_N = \frac{1}{M} \ge N^{1/2} D(U_N) = M^{d/2 - \gamma}$$

and therefore we can apply part (ii) of Corollary 2 and get $\mathfrak{D}^2_{\mathrm{d}\mu^{(N)}}(U_N) \ge c D^2(U_N)$.

Let $d\mu^{\otimes}$ be defined on $(\mathbb{T}^d)^N$ by

$$\int_{\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)^{N}}\varphi\,\mathrm{d}\mu^{\otimes}=\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}}\ldots\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}}\varphi(v_{1}-u_{1},\ldots,v_{N}-u_{N})\,\mathrm{d}\mu^{(N)}(v_{1})\ldots\mathrm{d}\mu^{(N)}(v_{N}).$$

We can now state and prove the result introduced in the abstract.

Corollary 7. Let U_N and $d\mu$ be as given in Theorem 1 and Corollary 2.

- (i) Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathrm{d}t)$ and ε_N be as given in part (i) of Corollary 2. Then for every λ satisfying $0 < \lambda < 1$, there exists a constant $c_{\lambda} > 0$, independent of U_N and such that $\mathrm{d}\mu^{\otimes}(\{V_N : D(V_N) \le c_{\lambda}D(U_N)\}) \ge \lambda$.
- (ii) Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathrm{d}t)$, Ω and ε_N be as given in part (ii) of Corollary 2. Then for a suitable constant c > 0, we have $\mathrm{d}\mu^{\otimes}(\{V_N : D(V_N) \ge cD(U_N)\}) > 0$.

Proof. If (9) holds, then Corollary 2 gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \dots \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} D^2(V_N) \,\mathrm{d}\mu^{\otimes}(V_N) \le c \, D^2(U_N).$$

By the Chebyshev inequality, we have

$$\mathrm{d}\mu^{\otimes}(\{V_N: D(V_N) > c_{\lambda}D(U_N)\}) \le \frac{c}{c_{\lambda}^2},$$

and so

$$d\mu^{\otimes}(\{V_N: D(V_N) \le c_{\lambda} D(U_N)\}) \ge 1 - \frac{c}{c_{\lambda}^2}.$$

A suitable choice of c_{λ} completes the proof of part (i). If (10) and (8) hold, then Corollary 2 gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \dots \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} D^2(V_N) \, \mathrm{d}\mu^{\otimes}(V_N) \ge c \, D^2(U_N)$$

which easily implies $d\mu^{\otimes}(\{V_N : D(V_N) \ge cD(U_N)\}) > 0.$

References

- [1] J. Beck. Irregularities of distribution I. Acta Math., 159 (1987), 1-49.
- [2] J. Beck, W.W.L. Chen. Irregularities of Distribution (Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 89, Cambridge University Press, 1987).
- [3] J. Beck, W.W.L. Chen. Note on irregularities of distribution II. Proc. London Math. Soc., 61 (1990), 251–272.
- [4] L. Brandolini, L. Colzani, G. Travaglini. Average decay of Fourier transforms and integer points in polyhedra. Ark. Mat., 35 (1997), 253–275.
- [5] L. Brandolini, M. Rigoli, G. Travaglini. Average decay of Fourier transforms and geometry of convex sets. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 14 (1998), 519–560.
- [6] W.W.L. Chen, G. Travaglini. *Deterministic and probabilistic discrepancies*. Ark. Mat. (to appear).
- [7] C.S. Herz. Fourier transforms related to convex sets. Ann. of Math., 75 (1962), 81-92.
- [8] F.J. Hickernell, H. Woźniakowski. The price of pessimism for multidimensional quadrature. J. Complexity, 17 (2001), 625–659.
- D.P. Mitchell. Consequences of stratified samplings in graphics. SIGGRAPH 96: Proceedings of the 23rd Annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques, pp. 277– 280 (Association for Computing Machinery, 1996).
- [10] H.L. Montgomery. Ten Lectures on the Interface between Harmonic Analysis and Analytic Number Theory (CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 84, American Mathematical Society, 1994).
- [11] S.M. Nikol'skiĭ. Approximation of Functions of Several Variables and Imbedding Theorems (Springer-Verlag, 1975).
- [12] L. Parnovski, A.V. Sobolev. On the Bethe-Sommerfeld conjecture for the polyharmonic operator. Duke Math. J., 107 (2001), 209–223.

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA DELL'INFORMAZIONE E METODI MATEMATICI, UNIVERSITÀ DI BERGAMO, VIALE MARCONI 5, 24044 DALMINE, BERGAMO, ITALY *E-mail address:* luca.brandolini@unibg.it

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY, SYDNEY, NSW 2109, AUSTRALIA *E-mail address*: wchen@maths.mq.edu.au

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA DELL'INFORMAZIONE E METODI MATEMATICI, UNIVERSITÀ DI BERGAMO, VIALE MARCONI 5, 24044 DALMINE, BERGAMO, ITALY

E-mail address: giacomo.gigante@unibg.it

DIPARTIMENTO DI STATISTICA, EDIFICIO U7, UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO-BICOCCA, VIA BICOCCA DEGLI ARCIMBOLDI 8, 20126 MILANO, ITALY

E-mail address: giancarlo.travaglini@unimib.it