## **Accepted Manuscript**

Poisson pencils: Reduction, exactness, and invariants

Paolo Lorenzoni, Marco Pedroni, Andrea Raimondo

PII: DOI: Reference:	S0393-0440(18)30429-7 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2018.12.010 GEOPHY 3361
To appear in:	Journal of Geometry and Physics
	3 July 2018 23 October 2018 13 December 2018



Please cite this article as: P. Lorenzoni, M. Pedroni and A. Raimondo, Poisson pencils: Reduction, exactness, and invariants, *Journal of Geometry and Physics* (2019), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2018.12.010

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

## Poisson pencils: reduction, exactness, and invalants

Paolo Lorenzoni<sup>1</sup>, Marco Pedroni<sup>2</sup>, and Andrea Reime 2<sup>1</sup>0<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni

Università di Milano-Bicocca, Via Roberto Cozzi 55, I-201 5 Mila. , Italy paolo.lorenzoni@unimib.it

<sup>2</sup>Dipartimento di Ingegneria Gestionale, dell'Informazi ne e ``'a Produzione Università di Bergamo, Viale Marconi 5, I-24044 D.``.ine (;G), Italy marco.pedroni@unibg.it, andrea.raimondo ``niog.it

#### Abstract

We study the invariants (in particular, the central inv. iants) of suitable Poisson pencils from the point of view of the theory of bi-H  $\pm$  itemian reduction, paying a particular attention to the case where the Poisson pencil is xact. We show that the exactness is preserved by the reduction. In the Dri feld-S, kolov case, the same is true for the characteristic polynomial of the pencil, where  $h_{\rm c}$  has a crucial role in the definition of the central invariants. We also discuss the bi Hamiltonian structures of a generalized Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy and of the C. masse in olm equation.

*Keywords:* Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction; Poiss 1 pencils of hydrodynamic type; central invariants; integrable PDEs; exact <sup>1:</sup> Hamiltonian manifolds.

## 1 Introduction

Deformations of Poisson pencils  $\lambda = -\lambda '_1$  of hydrodynamic type

$$P_{a}^{ij} = g_{a}^{i} (\mathbf{w})c_{\star} + \Gamma_{k,a}^{ij}(\mathbf{w})w_{x}^{k}, \quad i, j = 1, ..., n, \quad a = 1, 2$$
(1)

(see next section for more d-tailed definitions), play a crucial role in the study of integrable hierarchies of PDEs [19, 20, 15]. In the semisimple case, this important class of Poisson pencils is parametized by  $z^2$  arbitrary functions of one variable. Part of these functions (namely,  $n^2 - n^3$ , bells, disimple Poisson pencils of hydrodynamic type [21] (see also [35]). The remaining *n* functions, called *central invariants*, label Miura equivalent deformations of the same Peiccon proved of hydrodynamic type.

The nost important examples are those for which the dispersionless limit is an exact Poisson penc.' I d the central invariants are constant [17]. They include the Poisson pencils of the prince of t of metrics defined on the orbit space of the corresponding Weyl group (obtain d using the Dubrovin-Saito construction [14, 38, 39] for Coxeter groups), the central invertant's are constant and their values coincide with the square of the lengths of the roots of  $\Sigma$ . For instance, in the simply laced case all the roots have equal lengths and, consequently, the associated integrable hierarchies are of topological type [19].

The aim of this paper is twofold. On one hand, we want to study the  $c_{n}$  al invariants from the point of view of the theory of bi-Hamiltonian reduction (that includes the DS case). We will focus on the class of Poisson pencils which, after reduction, get the form of a deformation of a Poisson pencil of hydrodynamic type. In particular, in the DS case we will show that it is possible to define a set of invariants of the unreduced pencil to Dubrovin-Liu-Zhang central invariants of the reduced pencil.

On the other hand, we will focus on the case of  $e_{\Lambda}$   $\sim t$  Poisson pencils, showing that the reduction process preserves the exactness of the pencil. Combining this result with the main result of [20], relating the constancy of the central matrix with the exactness of the Poisson pencil, we have that the central invariant. for the DS Poisson pencils are constant, in agreement with the Dubrovin-Liu-Zhang regul

The paper is organized as follows. In Cartion 2 we recall various definitions concerning Poisson pencils of hydrodynamic type and their deformations. Section 3 is devoted to the bi-Hamiltonian reduction. In Section 4 %, show that the exactness of a pencil is preserved by reduction. In Section 5 we collect  $\varepsilon$  me basi-facts about the DS reduction, while in Section 6 we show that in this case the claracteric is polynomial of the reduced pencil coincides with the one of the unreduced pencil. In the inal section we consider two examples going beyond the DS framework.

## 2 Invariants convoisson pencils

We briefly summarize some constrained in some controls about pencils of N-component infinite-dimensional Poisson brackets. Consider [19, Section 2] the following class of local Poisson brackets on the manifold of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  called to actions  $\mathbf{w}(x) = (w^1(x), \dots, w^N(x))$  over the unit circle  $S^1$ ,

$$\{w_{1}, \omega_{j}, \omega^{j}(y)\} = \sum_{k \ge -1} \epsilon^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} A_{k,l}^{ij}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_{x}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{(l)}) \delta^{(k-l+1)}(x-y),$$
(2)

where  $A_{k,l}^{ij}$  are "herential polynomials of degree l (i.e., they are polynomials in the derivatives, whose coefficients are functions of  $\mathbf{w}$ ), and deg  $f(\mathbf{w}) = 0$ , deg $(\mathbf{w}_{(l)}) = l$ . One can associate to (2) the differential operator

$$\Pi^{ij} = \sum_{k\geq -1} \epsilon^k \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} A^{ij}_{k,l}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_x, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{(l)}) \partial^{k-l+1}_x,$$

uniquely characterized by the relation  $\{w^i(x), w^j(y)\} = \Pi^{ij}\delta(x-y)$ . The non-general group of transformations preserving the form of the bracket (2) is the group of  $\Pi^{ii}_{ii}$  at transformations. An element of this group is a transformation  $\mathbf{w} \mapsto \tilde{\mathbf{w}} = (\tilde{w}^1, \dots, \tilde{w}^N)$ , where

$$\tilde{w}^{i} = F_{0}^{i}(\mathbf{w}) + \sum_{k \ge 1} \epsilon^{k} F_{k}^{i}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_{x}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{(k)}), \qquad \det\left(\frac{\partial F_{0}^{i}}{\partial \mathcal{J}^{J}}\right) \neq 2 \operatorname{Leg} F_{k}^{i} = k.$$
(3)

The Miura group can be described [27] as the semi-direct product of the subgroup of diffeomorphisms  $Diff(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and the subgroup of Miura transformation starting from the identity:

$$\tilde{w}^{i} = w^{i} + \sum_{k \ge 1} \epsilon^{k} F_{k}^{i}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_{x}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{(k)}), \quad \deg F_{k}^{i} = k.$$

$$\tag{4}$$

The latter subgroup plays an important role in the classic ation theory of Poisson brackets: to prove that two brackets of type (2) are equivalen vider a Miura transformation of type (3) one first looks for a (local, in general) diffeom vibism mapping the leading term (k = -1) of the first bracket to the second, and then simplies  $\epsilon$  transformation of type (4) — which leaves the leading term invariant — to obtain the second bracket.

After a transformation of type (3) the form of the Poisson bracket will be given by the operator

$$\widehat{\Pi}^{\iota_j} \quad (L^*)^i_k \Pi^{ks} L^j_s, \tag{5}$$

where L and its adjoint  $L^*$  are  $\alpha_s^{-c}$  ied is

$$L_k^i = \sum_{s} (-\partial_x)^s \frac{\partial \tilde{w}^i}{\partial w_{(s)}^k}, \qquad (L^*)_k^i = \sum_{s} \frac{\partial \tilde{w}^i}{\partial w_{(s)}^k} \partial_x^s.$$

A pair of Poisson brack  $+s \neq i$  the form (2),

$$\{w^{i}(x), w^{j}(x)\}_{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} A^{ij}_{k,l;a}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_{x}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{(l)})\delta^{(k-l+1)}(x-y), \qquad a = 1, 2, \qquad (6)$$

is said to be *c* mpatible if the pencil  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{(\lambda)} = \{\cdot, \cdot\}_2 - \lambda\{\cdot, \cdot\}_1$  is a Poisson bracket for any value of  $\lambda$ . This case the bracket  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{(\lambda)}$  is said to be a Poisson pencil. Given a pair of Poisson b ackets (3) one can consider the action of the Miura group (3) on the pencil and define a set of the action of the following recipy [16, 9]. Introducing the differential operators

$$\Pi_{a}^{ij} = \sum_{k \ge -1} \epsilon^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} A_{k,l,a}^{ij}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_{x}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{(l)}) \partial_{x}^{k-l+1}, \qquad a = 1, 2,$$
(7)

then one defines the following power series<sup>1</sup> in the parameter p:

$$\pi_a^{ij}(p; \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{k \ge -1} A_{k,0,a}^{ij}(\mathbf{w}) p^{k+1}, \qquad a = 1, 2.$$
(8)

Note that (8) is strictly related (but it is not equal) to the symbol of (7), and that the coefficients of (8) do not depend on the derivatives of  $\mathbf{w}$ . The rule (5) and  $\infty$  the following transformation on the pencil  $\pi_{\lambda}^{ij} = \pi_2^{ij} - \lambda \pi_1^{ij}$  under Miura transformation (3):

$$\tilde{\pi}^{ij}_{\lambda} = l^i_h(p)\pi^{hk}_{\lambda}l^j_k(-p), \tag{9}$$

where

$$l^i_j(p) = \sum_k \frac{\partial F^i_k}{\partial w^j_{(k)}} p^k.$$

Since by definition (3) we have that deg  $F_k^i = k$ , then the quantities  $l_j^i(p)$  may depend on **w** but not on the derivatives. Consider now the *characteristic polynomial* of the Poisson pencil,

$$\mathcal{R}(p,\lambda;\mathbf{w}) = \det\left(\pi_2^{ij} - \lambda \pi_1^{ij}\right) = \det\left(\sum_{k \ge -1} \left(A_{k,0;2}^{ij}(\mathbf{w}) - \lambda A_{k,0;1}^{ij}(\mathbf{w})\right) p^{k+1}\right), \quad (10)$$

which is a polynomial, of degree say M, with spece to  $\lambda$ . In general, M does not need to be equal to the dimension N. Due to the above construction, the functions  $\lambda^i(\mathbf{w}, p)$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, M$ , which are defined to be the  $\lambda$ -roots of the  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}}$  value  $\mathcal{R}(p, \lambda; \mathbf{w}) = 0$ , are invariant under Miura transformations of type (4), while they behave as scalars (0-tensors) with respect to the whole Miura group (3).

Example 1 Consider the Poiss' a pencu

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2\epsilon^{-1}(w^{1}-\lambda) & \epsilon^{-1}w^{2}-\partial_{x} \\ 2\epsilon^{-1}(u^{1}-\lambda) & -2\partial_{x} & -2\epsilon^{-1}w^{3} \\ -\epsilon^{-1}w^{2}-\partial_{x} & 2\epsilon^{-1}w^{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(11)

in the variables  $(w^1, v^2, u)$  (We will see in Section 5 that it is the particular case of (45) corresponding to  $\mathfrak{s}^{\mathfrak{r}}(2)$ ) The power series associated to this pencil is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2(w^{1} - \lambda) & w^{2} - p \\ 2(w^{1} - \lambda) & -2p & -2w^{3} \\ -w^{2} - p & 2w^{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(12)

so that the connecteristic polynomial (10), restricted at the points with  $w^3 = 1$ , is

$$\mathcal{R}(p,\lambda;w^{1},w^{2}) = 2p^{3} - 8\left(w^{1} + \frac{1}{4}\left(w^{2}\right)^{2} - \lambda\right)p.$$
(13)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that our definition of (8) differs from the one given in [16] by a multiplicative factor p.

We will see in Example 3 that it projects (up to a multiplicative constant) to the  $\epsilon$  arac 'ristic polynomial (24) of the KdV Poisson pencil.

In the remaining part of this section we will recall some important facts as out Poisson pencils of the form (2) admitting semisimple dispersionless limit. Some prolimine  $\nabla$  results of [9] suggest that part of this theory might be generalized in the non-semisir ple setting.

**Dispersionless limit.** We now consider a special class of Poisse 1 brack ts (2), namely the class

$$\{w^{i}(x), w^{j}(y)\} = \sum_{k \ge 0} \epsilon^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} A^{ij}_{k,l}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_{x}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{(l')}) \delta^{(k-i'-1)}(x-y),$$
(14)

where for consistency with the rest of the paper the number f components is now denoted by *n* rather than *N*, so that  $\mathbf{w} = (w^1, \ldots, w^n)$ . The class f brack its (14) admits the limit as  $\epsilon \to 0$ , known as *dispersionless limit*, and the leading term of the bracket,

$$A_{0,0}^{ij}(\mathbf{w})\delta'(x-y) + A_{0,1}^{ij}(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{w}_x)\delta(x-y) = g^{ij}(\mathbf{w}_{j,z'}(x-y) + \Gamma_k^{ij}(\mathbf{w})w_x^k\delta(x-y),$$
(15)

is called *Poisson bracket of hydrodynamic type*. Thus the dispersionless limit of a bracket of type (14) is a bracket of hydrodynamic type (1. In the case where the matrix  $g^{ij}$  is invertible, it defines a contravariant (pseudo)metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which is flat and has  $\Gamma_k^{ij}$  as contravariant Christoffel symbols [18].

For Poisson brackets of the form  $(1^A)$  an analogue of the classical Darboux theorem holds true, as these brackets can be reduced to the constant form  $\eta^{ij}\delta'(x-y)$  by means of Miura transformations (3). For Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type, the Darboux coordinates are flat coordinates of the metric g. In the case of general Poisson brackets (14), in order to reduce the bracket to constant to  $\gamma$  one needs to reduce the bracket to its dispersionless limit. The existence of this requiring transformation — which is of type (4) — was proved in [22, 8, 19].

In analogy to the general case, we also consider compatible Poisson brackets of the form

$$\{w^{i}(x), w^{j}(y)\} = \sum_{>0} \epsilon^{l} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1} A^{ij}_{k,l,a}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_{x}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{(l)}) \delta^{(k-l+1)}(x-y), \qquad a = 1, 2,$$
(16)

with

$$A_{0,0,a}^{ij}(\mathbf{w}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x - y_{j} + A_{0,1,a}^{ij}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_{x})\delta(x - y) = g_{a}^{ij}(\mathbf{w})\delta'(x - y) + \Gamma_{k,a}^{ij}(\mathbf{w})w^{k}{}_{x}\delta(x - y), \quad (17)$$

and the conversion ing pencil  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{(\lambda)} = \{\cdot, \cdot\}_2 - \lambda\{\cdot, \cdot\}_1$ . The dispersionless limit of this pencil is known  $\mathcal{P}_{O}$  is son pencil of hydrodynamic type. The compatibility of the Poisson brackets implies that the pencil of contravariant metrics

$$g_{(\lambda)}^{ij} = g_2^{ij} - \lambda g_1^{ij} \tag{18}$$

is flat for any  $\lambda$  and that the contravariant Christoffel symbols of  $g_{(\lambda)}^{ij}$  are given by the pencil  $\Gamma_{k;2}^{ij} - \lambda \Gamma_{k;1}^{ij}$  of the contravariant Christoffel symbols. A pencil of contravariant data metrics satisfying these conditions is called a *flat pencil of metrics*. In general, it is not possible to reduce a Poisson pencil (16) to its dispersionless limit by means of Miura transformations. If this happens, the pencil is said to be *trivial*.

**Example 2** Let us consider the second order deformations of the alar Poisson pencil of hydrodynamic type

$$2(u-\lambda)\delta'(x-y) + u_x\delta(x-y).$$

Using Miura transformations they can be reduced to the follow , forr ,

$$2(u-\lambda)\delta'(x-y) + u_x\delta(x-y) + \epsilon^2 (2c(u)\delta'''(x-y) + 3c_x\delta''(x-y) \neg c_{xx}\delta'(x-y)) + O(\epsilon^4),$$
(19)

where c(u) is an arbitrary function. It turns out that any n vanishing function c(u) defines a non trivial deformation [28].

Semisimplicity and central invariants. A set pencil of metrics (18) and the corresponding Poisson pencil of hydrodynamic type are said to be *semisimple* if the  $\lambda$ -roots  $u^1(\mathbf{w}), \ldots, u^n(\mathbf{w})$  of

$$\det \left( g_2^{ij}(\mathbf{w}) \quad \lambda g_1^{ij}(\mathbf{w}) \right)$$

are pairwise distinct and nonconstrate. In this case, they form a set of coordinates  $\mathbf{u} = (u^1, \ldots, u^n)$ , known as *canonical cool* in which the two metrics are diagonal:

$$g_1^{ij}(\mathbf{u}) = f^{\prime}(\mathbf{u})\delta_{ij}, \qquad g_2^{ij}(\mathbf{u}) = u^i f^i(\mathbf{u})\delta_{ij}, \tag{20}$$

for some functions  $f^{i}(\mathbf{u})$ . Note that by construction the functions  $f^{i}(\mathbf{u})$  are invariant under Miura transformations of type (4). In the semisimple *n*-component case the triviality of the pencil (16) is controlled by *n* functions of a single variable, called *central invariants* and defined in the following way [16]. Due to (14) and (15), the determinant  $\mathcal{R}(p, \lambda; \mathbf{w})$  defined in (10) reads

$$\mathcal{R}(p, \boldsymbol{\cdot} : \mathbf{w}) = \operatorname{cet}\left(\pi_2^{ij} - \lambda \pi_1^{ij}\right) = \det\left(\sum_{k \ge 0} \left(A_{k,0;2}^{ij}(\mathbf{w}) - \lambda A_{k,0;1}^{ij}(\mathbf{w})\right) p^{k+1}\right)$$
$$= p^n \det\left(g_2^{ij}(\mathbf{w}) - \lambda g_1^{ij}(\mathbf{w})\right) + O(p^{n+1}).$$

As in  $\lambda$  general case, the roots  $\lambda = \lambda^i(p; \mathbf{w})$  of the equation  $\mathcal{R}(p, \lambda; \mathbf{w}) = 0$  are invariant with respect to Miura transformations of type (4). Under the semisimplicity assumption, it can be shown [16] that the formal power series obtained expanding  $\lambda^i(p; \mathbf{w})$  at p = 0 contain only even powers of p (this is not true anymore in the non-semisimple case [9]), and lot the leading terms  $u^i$  of the above series are the canonical coordinates:

$$\lambda^{i}(p; \mathbf{w}) = u^{i}(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda^{i}_{2}(\mathbf{w})p^{2} + O(p^{4}), \qquad i = 1, ..., n.$$
(21)

All coefficients appearing in the series above are invariant under Mir car  $a_i$  of type (4). Recall that the functions  $f^i$ , i = 1, ..., n, appearing in (20) are also a affected by Miura transformations. The central invariants of the Poisson pencil are defined as

$$c_i = \left. \frac{\lambda_2^i(\mathbf{w})}{3f^i(\mathbf{w})} \right|_{\mathbf{w}=\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{u})},\tag{22}$$

and are thus an equivalent set of invariants. Once written in terres of the canonical coordinates, the function  $c_i$  turns out to depend only on the coordinate  $u^i$ . Trivial Poisson pencils are characterized by the vanishing of all central invariants. If are generally, it turns out that in the semisimple case two Poisson pencils are related by Miura transformations if and only if they have the same dispersionless part (in canon. al coordinates) and the same central invariants [15] (see also [4] for an alternative pro.<sup>+</sup>).

Example 3 Let us consider the well known Fris. on pencil of the KdV hierarchy:

$$\{u(x), u(y)\}_1 = -2\delta'(x-y), \qquad \{u(x), u(y)\}_1 = -u_x\delta(x-y) - 2u\delta'(x-y) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2\delta'''(x-y).$$
(23)

We have that

$$\mathcal{R}(p,\lambda;u) = \pi_2^* \qquad \lambda \, \pi_1^{11} = -2up + \frac{1}{2}p^3 + 2\lambda p, \tag{24}$$

so that (21) takes the form  $\lambda = v - \frac{1}{4}$ . Since  $f^1(u) = -2$  and  $\lambda_2^i(u) = -\frac{1}{4}$ , the central invariant is given by  $c_1(u) = \frac{1}{24}$ . In the scalar case (see Example 2), up to a constant factor, the central invariant coincides we' the function c(u) appearing in formula (19).

Notice that (24) is 1/4 of the characteristic polynomial (13) obtained in Example 1, if  $u = w^1 + \frac{1}{4} (w^2)^2$ . As we will show in Section 6 (in the general context of the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction), the reason is that the Poisson pencil in Example 3 is the reduction of the one in Example 1.

## 3 Sor taus about bi-Hamiltonian reduction

In this sect. n we lecall a general reduction theorem for bi-Hamiltonian manifolds (see [7, 30, 36] for teta in nd proofs), and we prove a crucial result in order to show the equality between the characteristic polynomials (10) of the reduced and unreduced bi-Hamiltonian structures of the form (6).

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_1, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_2)$  be a bi-Hamiltonian manifold. The first step is to longitor the (generalized) integrable distribution  $D = P_2(\operatorname{Ker} P_1)$ , where  $P_a$  is the Poissen constant near associated with  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_a$  by means of  $\{F, G\}_a = \langle dG, P_a dF \rangle$ . Then we choose a symplectic leaf S of  $P_1$  and we introduce the distribution on S given by  $E = D \cap TS$ . If the muotient space  $\mathcal{N} = S/E$  (whose points are the integral leaves of the distribution E) is reputer, then it is a bi-Hamiltonian manifold. We call  $(P'_1, P'_2)$  the reduced Poisson pair, and  $(\{\cdot, \cdot\}'_1, \{\cdot, \cdot\}'_2)$  the corresponding Poisson brackets. They are given by

$$\{f,g\}'_a(\pi(\mathbf{w})) = \{F,G\}_a(\mathbf{w}), \qquad a = 1,2, \qquad \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{S}$$
(25)

where  $\pi : S \to \mathcal{N}$  is the projection on the quotient manifold and 7, G are extensions of  $f \circ \pi, g \circ \pi$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $(dF)_{\mathbf{w}}, (dG)_{\mathbf{w}}$  vanish on the tanges  $\mathbf{v}$  to constant  $D_{\mathbf{w}}$  for all  $\mathbf{w} \in S$ .

If an explicit description of the quotient manifold is not available, the following technique can be very useful. Suppose Q to be a submanifold of S, buch no many results to the distribution E, in the sense that

$$T_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{Q} \oplus E_{\mathbf{w}} = T_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{S}$$
 for all  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{Q}$ . (26)

Then Q also inherits a bi-Hamiltonian structure 1 or  $\mathcal{M}$ . The reduced Poisson brackets on Q are given by

$$\{f,g\}'_a(\mathbf{w}) = \{F,G\}_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{w}), \quad a = 1,2, \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{Q},$$
(27)

where F, G are extensions of f, g on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $(dF)_{\mathbf{w}}, (dG)_{\mathbf{w}}$  vanish on the tangent vectors in  $D_{\mathbf{w}}$  for all  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{Q}$ . If the quotient  $\mathcal{M}$  is a manifold, then there is a local diffeomorphism from  $\mathcal{Q}$  to (an open subset of)  $\mathcal{N}, \subset$  uncertine, the Poisson pairs of the two manifolds. Notice however that we can define a reduced Poisson pair directly on  $\mathcal{Q}$ , even in the case where the quotient  $\mathcal{N}$  is not a manifold.

In terms of the pencil of 'oisson tensors  $P_{(\lambda)} = P_2 - \lambda P_1$ , the construction of the reduced Poisson structure on  $\mathcal{Q}$  goes as follows. Given  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathbf{v} \in T^*_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{Q}$ , we look for an extension  $\hat{\mathbf{v}} \in T^*_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathbf{v}$  such that  $(P_{\lambda})_{\mathbf{w}} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \in T_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{Q}$ . The existence of such a  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$  has been shown in [7]. The proof of its unique  $(P_{\lambda})_{\mathbf{w}} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \in T_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{Q}$ . The existence of such a  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$  has been shown in [7]. The proof of its unique  $(P_{\lambda})_{\mathbf{w}} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \in T_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{Q}$ . The existence of such a  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$  has been shown in [7]. The proof of its unique  $(P_{\lambda})_{\mathbf{w}} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \in T_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{Q}$ . The existence of such a  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$  has been shown in [7]. The proof of its unique  $(P_{\lambda})_{\mathbf{w}} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \in (P_{\lambda})_{\mathbf{w}} \hat{\mathbf{v}}$ .

Now let us h 'rodu e coordinates on  $\mathcal{M}$  adapted to the transversal submanifold  $\mathcal{Q}$ . More precisely, ne first part of the coordinates can be seen as coordinates on  $\mathcal{Q}$ , which is found by setting to z broth second part of them. Correspondingly, the matrix representing  $P_{(\lambda)}$  can be decomposed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{(\lambda)} & B_{(\lambda)} \\ \\ C_{(\lambda)} & D_{(\lambda)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For simplicity, we will sometimes use the same notations for geometric object and matrices/vectors representing them in the chosen coordinate system.

**Proposition 4** Suppose that  $(\ker P_1)_{\mathbf{w}} \cap (\ker P_2)_{\mathbf{w}} = \{0\}$  for all  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{Q}$ . Then

- a) The matrix  $D_{(\lambda)}$  is invertible.
- b) The matrix representing the reduced Poisson pencil  $P'_{(\lambda)}$  is given by  $A_{(\lambda)} B_{(\lambda)}D_{(\lambda)}^{-1}C_{(\lambda)}$ .
- c) The identity

$$P_{(\lambda)} = \begin{pmatrix} P'_{(\lambda)} & B_{(\lambda)} \\ 0 & D_{(\lambda)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Id & 0 \\ D_{(\lambda)}^{-1}C_{(\lambda)} & Id \end{pmatrix}$$
(28)

holds true, where Id is the identity matrix of the appropria. order.

**Proof.** a) As we wrote above, it was shown in [6] that there is a unique extension  $\hat{\mathbf{v}} \in T^*_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{M}$  of a given  $\mathbf{v} \in T^*_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{Q}$  such that  $(P_{(\lambda)})_{\mathbf{w}} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \in T_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{Q}$ , under the assumption that  $(\ker P_1)_{\mathbf{w}} \cap (\ker P_2)_{\mathbf{w}} = \{0\}$ . In [10] it is shown that the uniqueness of the extension is equivalent to the invertibility of  $D_{(\lambda)}$ . For the ease of the reader, we report here the proof.

Given  $\mathbf{v} \in T^*_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{Q}$ , let v be its components and  $(v \in T^*_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{M})$ such that  $(P_{(\lambda)})_{\mathbf{w}} \widehat{\mathbf{v}} \in T_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{Q}$ . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} P'_{(\lambda)}v\\0 \end{pmatrix} = P_{(\lambda)}\begin{pmatrix}v\\V \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}A_{(\lambda)} & B_{(\lambda)}\\C_{(\lambda)} & D_{(\lambda)}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}v\\V \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}A_{(\lambda)}v + B_{(\lambda)}V\\C_{(\lambda)}v + D_{(\lambda)}V\end{pmatrix}$$

so that  $P'_{(\lambda)}v = A_{(\lambda)}v + B_{(\lambda)}V$  and  $r = C_{(\lambda)}v + D_{(\lambda)}V$ . This shows that V is unique if and only if  $D_{(\lambda)}$  is invertible.

- b) follows from the previous equations.
- c) follows from b).

Remark 5 As we virote in the previous proof, in [10] it was shown that the uniqueness of the extension is equivalent to the invertibility of  $D_{(\lambda)}$ . Moreover, in the same paper it was proved that these priditions are equivalent to item b), and that its meaning is that the bi-Hamiltonian reduction amounts to a Dirac reduction. In the particular case of the Drinfeld-Sokolov and attaction, this is related to the paper [1], where the DS reduction is shown to be a D rac reduction. For the purposes of our paper, it is more convenient to start with the hypothe. At the kernels of the Poisson tensors have trivial intersection, and the most import at result in Proposition 4 is the identity (28). We will use it in Section 6 to show that, in the DS base, the  $\lambda$ -roots of the characteristic polynomials of the reduced and unreduced bi-Hamiltonian structures coincide. We end this section by noticing that (28) entails a general result in linear algebra, 'nown as Schur determinant identity:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A_{(\lambda)} & B_{(\lambda)} \\ C_{(\lambda)} & D_{(\lambda)} \end{pmatrix} = \det \left( A_{(\lambda)} - B_{(\lambda)} D_{(\lambda)}^{-1} C_{(\lambda)} \right) \det D_{(\lambda)}.$$
(29)

In our setting, it means that the determinants of (the matrices represe + ng)  $P_{(\lambda)}$  and  $P'_{(\lambda)}$  are related by

$$\det P_{(\lambda)} = (\det D_{(\lambda)})(\det P'_{(\lambda)}). \tag{30}$$

## 4 Reduction of exact bi-Hamiltonian ran folds

A bi-Hamiltonian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}, P_1, P_2)$  is said to be *exact* if the exists a vector field Z on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $L_Z P_1 = 0$  and  $L_Z P_2 = P_1$ . In terms of the corresponding Poisson brackets, this means that

$$Z\{F,G\}_1 - \{ZF,G\}_1 - \{F,ZG\}_1 = 0, \qquad Z\{F,G\}_2 - \{\angle,F,G\}_2 - \{F,ZG\}_2 = \{F,G\}_1 \quad (31)$$

for all functions F, G on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The vector field  $\mathbb{Z}$  is called the *Liouville vector field* of the exact bi-Hamiltonian manifold. Exact bi-Hamiltonian malifolds have been studied in, e.g., [11, 40].

We now show that under a mild  $\operatorname{assum}_{F}$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ . 7, the reduced bi-Hamiltonian manifold is exact too. Combining this with a result of [20], stating that the central invariants of an exact semisimple Poisson pencil are constant, we betain a criterion for proving the constancy of the central invariants of the reduced pencil provided that it admits a semisimple dispersionless limit. This in particular applies to the case of Drinfeld-Sokolov considered in [16], for which we obtain an alternative proof on the constancy of the central invariants (relying on the fact, proved in [16], that the reduced DS pencil has a semisimple dispersionless limit — see next section).

**Proposition 6** Suppose  $(A, P_1, P_2)$  to be an exact bi-Hamiltonian manifold, and the Liouville vector field  $Z^{-1}$  be tangent to the symplectic leaf S. Then

- a) The restriction of Z to S projects onto a vector field Z' on the quotient manifold  $\mathcal{N}$ .
- b) The redu ed bi-H miltonian manifold  $(\mathcal{N}, P'_1, P'_2)$  is exact, with Liouville vector field Z'.

**Proof.**  $\varepsilon$  We denote with  $Z^{(S)}$  the restriction of Z to S, and we recall that  $Z^{(S)}$  can be projected  $\varepsilon$  ong the (integral leaves of the) distribution E if and only if  $L_{Z^{(S)}}(E) \subset E$ . This inclusion is consequence of the fact that Z is tangent to S and that  $L_Z(D) \subset D$ . The last assertion on be checked as follows. If F is a Casimir of  $P_1$ , then

$$L_Z(P_2dF) = (L_ZP_2)\,dF + P_2d\,(L_ZF) = P_1dF + P_2d\,(L_ZF) = P_2d\,(L_ZF) \in D,\tag{32}$$

since it is easily seen that  $L_Z F$  is also a Casimir of  $P_1$ . Indeed,  $P_1 d (L_Z F) = I_1 (L_Z {}^{-1}F) = L_Z (P_1 dF) - (L_Z P_1) dF = 0$ . Hence there exists a vector field Z' on  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $Z'f) \circ \pi = Z^{(S)}(f \circ \pi)$  for all functions f on  $\mathcal{N}$ .

b) Let f, g be functions on  $\mathcal{N}$ , and let F, G be extensions on  $\mathcal{M}$  as explained in Section 3. First, we notice that ZF is an extension of  $(Z'f) \circ \pi$  and that its differential equivibration of the tangent vectors in  $D_{\mathbf{w}}$ , for all  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{S}$ . Indeed,  $(Z'f)(\pi(\mathbf{w})) = (Z^{(\mathcal{S})}(f \circ -1)(\mathbf{w}) = (ZF)(\mathbf{w})$  for all  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{S}$ , meaning that ZF extends  $(Z'f) \circ \pi$ . Moreover, if Y is a vector field in D, then  $L_Y(ZF) = (L_YZ)F + Z(L_YF) = 0$  since  $L_YZ = -L_ZY$  is also in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Hence, for a = 1, 2, we have that

$$(Z'\{f,g\}'_{a})(\pi(\mathbf{w})) - \{Z'f,g\}'_{a}(\pi(\mathbf{w})) - \{f, \Sigma' \gamma\}'_{a}(\gamma))$$

$$= (Z^{(S)}(\{f,g\}'_{a} \circ \pi))(\mathbf{w}) - \{ZF,G\}_{*}(\mathbf{w}) - \{\gamma, ZG\}_{a}(\mathbf{w})$$

$$= (Z\{F,G\}_{a})(\mathbf{w}) - \{ZF,G\}_{a}(\mathbf{w}) - \gamma^{\gamma} ZG\}_{a}(\mathbf{w}),$$
(33)

so that

$$Z'\{f,g\}'_1 - \{Z'f,g\}'_1 - \{f,Z'g\}'_1 = 0, \qquad Z'\{f,j\}'_2 - \{Z'f,g\}'_2 - \{f,Z'g\}'_2 = \{f,g\}'_1.$$
(34)

As we will see in the next section, an in portant case where this result can be applied is the Drinfeld-Sokolov (DS) reduction.

### 5 Drinfeld-Sokolov survetures

In this section we recall the b. He nilteraian structure related to the (untwisted) Drinfeld-Sokolov (DS) hierarchies [12], and the corresponding result about its central invariants [16]. We will not use the original DS reflection, but an alternative (although equivalent) procedure based on the general reflection in theorem for bi-Hamiltonian manifolds discussed in Section 3. We refer to [24] for the based on the based on the general reflection is concerning simple Lie algebras (see also the Appendix).

To obtain the (edu ed) DS Poisson pair, the starting point is the loop algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  of functions w(x) from  $\ldots$  our i circle  $S^1$  to a simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We choose a Cartan subal gebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we consider the corresponding principal gradation, and we select Chevalley (som, times also called Weyl) generators  $\{X_i, H_i, Y_i\}_{i=1,...,n}$  (where n is the rank of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) with degree 1,0, and -1, respectively. One can identify the cotangent spaces and the tangent spaces at every point  $w \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mathcal{M}$  itself, by means of the ad-invariant bilinear form  $(-1, \ldots) = \int_{S^1} \langle w_1(x), w_2(x) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} dx$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the normalized Killing form. Then one introduce the Poisson pair

$$(P_1)_w v = \epsilon^{-1}[v, A], \qquad (P_2)_w v = \epsilon^{-1}[v, w] + v_x,$$
(35)

where  $A \in \mathfrak{g}$  is an element of maximal degree. It is easily checked, see (45), that the Poisson pair (35) has the form (6). Moreover, the symplectic leaves of  $P_1$  are affine at paces over the vector space of maps from  $S^1$  to  $\ker(\operatorname{ad} A)^{\perp}$ , where  $\ker(\operatorname{ad} A)$  is the isotoperalgebra of A and the orthogonal is taken with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . An explicit description  $\mathfrak{c} \ker(\operatorname{ad} A)^{\perp}$  is provided in the Appendix.

We choose the symplectic leaf S containing the element  $I = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{N}$ . Then the reduced bi-Hamiltonian manifold  $\mathcal{N}$  turns out to be parametrized by n sc har-valued functions, and the reduced Poisson pair coincides [7, 37] with the DS one. We refer to [10] or an extension of this result to the so-called generalized DS structures (an example will be discussed in Section 7).

**Example 7** Let us consider the simplest case,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$  leading  $\mathfrak{o}$  the bi-Hamiltonian structure of the KdV hierarchy. The normalized Killing form is imply the trace of the product, and we choose the Chevalley generators

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (36)

Hence

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}w^2 & w^1 \\ w^3 & -\frac{1}{2}w^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} \prime & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (37)$$

so that the generic element w of tt symple tic leaf S and the vectors of the distribution D(at w) are given by

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}r^2 & ..., 1\\ 1 & -\frac{1}{2}w^2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-1}k & k_x - \epsilon^{-1}w^2k\\ 0 & -\epsilon^{-1}k \end{pmatrix}, \tag{38}$$

where  $k: S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$  is an function. In this particular case,  $D \subset TS$  and so E = D. Then it is easily shown that the projection  $\pi: S \to \mathcal{N}$  is given by  $u = \pi(w^1, w^2) = w^1 + \frac{1}{4} (w^2)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon w^2_x$ and that the reduce Pc sson pair turns out to be

$$(\dot{r}_{1/u} = 2\partial_x, \qquad (P_2')_u = u_x + 2u\partial_x - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2\partial_{xxx}, \tag{39}$$

that is, the one soci ied to the Poisson brackets (23).

In the DS case the choice of a transversal submanifold Q corresponds to the choice of a "canonical form" of the matrix Lax operator [12]. For example, in the KdV case, we can take

$$Q = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in C^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$
(40)

**Example 8** To illustrate the use of a transversal submanifold in a more complice ed e. mple, we recall the case of  $\mathfrak{so}(5)$ , already treated in [7]. Notice however that the choice of the Chevalley generators is different here — it is the same as in [12]. In particular,  $\mathfrak{so}(5)$  is the Lie algebra of  $5 \times 5$  matrices such that  $wS = -Sw^T$ , where  $S = \operatorname{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1, -1)$ . A set of Chevalley generators is given by

$$X_1 = e_{21} + e_{54}, \qquad Y_1 = X_1^T, \qquad H_1 = -e_{11} + e_{22} - \hat{}_{44} + \hat{}_{44},$$
  

$$X_2 = e_{32} + e_{43}, \qquad Y_2 = 2X_2^T, \qquad H_2 = 2(e_{44} - e_{22}),$$
(41)

where  $e_{ij}$  is the matrix whose unique nonzero entry is (i, j), which is \* The principal gradation is completed by the 1-dimensional subspaces with degrees 2,-2,0,-3, generated respectively by

$$X_3 = -e_{31} + e_{53}, \quad Y_3 = -2X_3^T, \quad X_4 = e_{11} + e_{52}, \quad Y_4 = 4X_4^T$$

The normalized Killing form is  $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{so}(5)} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(w_1 w_2)$ . To define the Poisson pair (35), we can choose  $A = X_4$ . Its isotropy algebra is spanne<sup>1</sup> by  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$ ,  $H_1$ ,  $Y_1$ , so that the symplectic leaf S is the space of maps

$$x \mapsto w^{1}(x)X_{4} + w^{2}(x)X_{2} + w^{3}(x)X_{2} + v^{4}(x)(H_{1} + H_{2}) + Y_{1} + Y_{2}$$

A possible choice for the transversal submative  $Q \subset S$  of the maps with  $w^3(x) = w^4(x) = 0$ . Then the reduced structures turn out to be given by

$$P_{1}' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{2}\partial_{x}^{3} - 2w^{2}\partial_{x} - w_{x}^{2} & 2 \rangle_{x} \\ 2\partial_{x} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad P_{2}' = \begin{pmatrix} (P_{2}')_{11} & (P_{2}')_{12} \\ (P_{2}')_{21} & (P_{2}')_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{42}$$

with

$$\begin{split} (P_2')_{11} &= -\frac{1}{16} \epsilon^6 \partial_x^7 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^4 w^2 \beta_x^5 - \frac{5}{2} \epsilon^4 w_x^2 \partial_x^4 + \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} w^1 - (w^2)^2 + 2\epsilon^2 w_{xx}^2\right) \partial_x^3 \\ &+ \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{3}{4} w_x^1 - 3w^2 w_x^2 + \frac{7}{4} \epsilon^2 w_{xxx}\right) \partial_x^2 + \left(-2w^1 w^2 + \epsilon^2 (-\frac{3}{4} (w_x^2)^2 + \frac{3}{4} w_{xx}^1 - 2w^2 w_{xx}^2) + \frac{3}{4} \epsilon^4 w_{xxxx}^2\right) \partial_x \\ &- w_x^1 w^2 - w^1 w_x^2 + \epsilon^2 (-\frac{5}{4} \epsilon^2 w_{xx}^2 + \frac{1}{4} w_{xxx}^1 - \frac{1}{2} w^2 w_{xxx}^2) + \frac{1}{8} \epsilon^4 w_{xxxx}^2 \\ (P_2')_{12} &= -\frac{1}{4} \epsilon^4 \partial_x^5 + \epsilon^2 v \cdot \partial_x^3 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 w_x^2 \partial_x^2 + 2w^1 \partial_x + \frac{1}{2} w_x^1 \\ (P_2')_{21} &= -\frac{1}{4} \epsilon^4 \epsilon^4 x + \epsilon^2 v^2 c_x^3 + \frac{5}{2} \epsilon^2 w_x^2 \partial_x^2 + \left(2w^1 + 2\epsilon^2 w_{xx}^2\right) \partial_x + \frac{3}{2} w_x^1 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 w_{xxx}^2 \\ (P_2')_{22} &= -\frac{5}{4} \epsilon^2 c_x^3 + w^2 \partial_x + \frac{1}{2} w_x^2 \end{split}$$

The following important results on the DS structures were shown in [16]:

 the (cauced) DS Poisson pair has the form (14), and its dispersionless part is given by a emisimple flat pencil of metrics (described in [38]) on the orbit space h/W of the Weyl group W of the Lie algebra g;

2. its central invariants are constant; more precisely, they are given by

$$c_i = \frac{1}{48} \langle H_i, H_i \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$
(43)

From our point of view, the constancy of the central invariants can be proved with the help of the results of Section 4 and item 1 above. Indeed, it is easily seen one, the Poisson pair (35) is exact, with the Liouville vector field simply given by  $Z_w = A$ . This vector field is tangent to every symplectic leaf of  $P_1$ , since A = [h, A] for a suitable element  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ . (Notice that this property is true for every root vector in  $\mathfrak{g}$ ). Hence we can conclude that the reduced bi-Hamiltonian manifold is exact too. Thanks to the results of [20] on corresponding central invariants are constant.

**Example 9** Using the same notations as in Example 1, the Lie will vector field Z on the (unreduced) manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $Z_w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . It is immediate  $\cdots$  verify that it is tangent to  $\mathcal{S}$ , can be projected to  $\mathcal{N}$ , and its projection is  $Z'_u = 1$ . It is use easily checked that Z' is a Lieuville vector field for the (reduced) manifold  $\mathcal{N}$ .

## 6 Invariants of DS structures

In this section we use the same notations a. In the previous one, and we show the equality of the characteristic polynomials (10) of the reduced and unreduced DS bi-Hamiltonian structures. In Section 7 we will discuss the examples of a generalized DS hierarchy and of the Camassa-Holm equation, showing that the quality holds in more general contexts than the DS reduction.

Let us introduce a basis  $\{ l \}$  c. the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , with  $l = 1, \dots, N$ . If

$$[e^i, e^j] = \sum_{l=1}^N c_l^{ij} e^l, \qquad g^{ij} = \langle e^i, e^j \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}, \tag{44}$$

then we can write the Poi. on pencil (35) in the form, analogous to (6),

$$\{w^{i}(x), y^{\prime}(y)_{(\lambda)} = -\epsilon^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{N} c_{l}^{ij}(w^{l} - \lambda A^{l})\delta(x-y) - g^{ij}\delta'(x-y),$$
(45)

where  $v^l = \langle v, l \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$  for any  $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ . According to (8) and (10), we associate to the DS (unreduced) Po<sup>\*</sup> son pencil (45) on  $\mathcal{M}$  the  $\lambda$ -polynomial

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(p,\lambda;w) = \det\left(-\sum_{l=1}^{N} c_l^{ij}(w^l - \lambda A^l) - g^{ij}p\right).$$
(46)

Note that the above polynomial is explicitly written in terms of Lie algebra objects; this is in general not so for the characteristic polynomial of the reduced pencil. However, we have:

**Theorem 10** The  $\lambda$ -roots of the characteristic polynomial are preserved by the bi Aam<sup>''</sup> onian reduction. More precisely, if  $w \in S$ , then

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N}}(p,\lambda;\pi_0(w)) = F \,\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(p,\lambda;w),\tag{47}$$

where  $\pi_0 : S \to \mathcal{N}$  is the  $\epsilon$ -independent part of the projection  $\pi : S \to \mathcal{J}$  on the reduced bi-Hamiltonian manifold,  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is the characteristic polynomial of the ( $\sim$  uced) DS Poisson pair on  $\mathcal{N}$ , and F is a non vanishing function, independent of  $\lambda$ .

In terms of the reduced Poisson pair on a transversal manifold  $\xi$ . The rem 10 admits the following equivalent form:

**Theorem 11** Let Q be a transversal submanifold of the  $D_{\mathcal{L}}$  sym<sub>p</sub> Letic leaf S, and  $\mathcal{R}_{Q}$  the characteristic polynomial associated with the reduced Poirson pair in Q. Then, for all  $w \in Q$ ,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}(p,\lambda;w) = F_{\mathcal{Q}} \,\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(p,\lambda,\mathbb{N}). \tag{48}$$

where  $F_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is a non vanishing function, independent of  $\Lambda$ .

In the examples we considered, F and  $F_Q$  do  $\gamma'$  even depend on p and on w, i.e., they are constant depending only the choice of the variable  $\{e\}$ . We guess that this is a general fact. **Proof of Theorem 11.** Proposition  $\langle \neg \varphi \rangle = \{e\}$ . We guess that this is a general fact. **Proof of Theorem 11.** Proposition  $\langle \neg \varphi \rangle = \{e\}$ . We guess that this is a general fact. (ker  $P_1)_w \cap (\ker P_2)_w = \{0\}$  for all  $w \in Q$  is satisfied. This was shown in [6, Proposition 3.2]. (In that paper, the case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(x)$  is considered, but the proof is the same for every simple Lie algebra. For the reader's ponvenience, we explicitly provide such general proof in Appendix A.2). Then formula (28) holds, and for the corresponding power series in p, see (8), we obtain

$$\pi_{(\lambda)} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi'_{(\lambda)} & \beta_{(\lambda)} \\ 0 & \delta_{(\lambda)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Id} & 0 \\ \delta_{(\lambda)}^{-1} \gamma_{(\lambda)} & \mathrm{Id} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(49)

Indeed, let P and R b N > N matrices of differential operators with entries

$$P^{ij} = \sum_{k \geq -1} \epsilon^k \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} A^{ij}_{k,l} \partial_x^{k-l+1}, \qquad R^{ij} = \sum_{k \geq -1} \epsilon^k \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} B^{ij}_{k,l} \partial_x^{k-l+1}$$

where  $A_{k,l}^{ij}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}_x, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{(l)})$  and  $B_{k,l}^{ij}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_x, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{(l)})$  are differential polynomials of degree l, as in (2). If  $\pi$  and a is the corresponding matrices with entries

$$\pi^{ij} = \sum_{k \ge -1} A^{ij}_{k,0}(\mathbf{w}) p^{k+1}, \qquad \rho^{ij} = \sum_{k \ge -1} B^{ij}_{k,0}(\mathbf{w}) p^{k+1},$$

then it is cashy shown that the matrix associated to PR is  $\pi\rho$ . This implies that if P is invertible ( is a matrix differential operator), then also  $\pi$  is invertible (as a matrix), and the matrix associated to  $P^{-1}$  is  $\pi^{-1}$ .

Therefore, from (49) it follows that

$$\det \pi_{(\lambda)} = (\det \delta_{(\lambda)})(\det \pi'_{(\lambda)}),$$

where det  $\delta_{(\lambda)}$  never vanishes. Hence

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(p,\lambda;w) = (\det \delta_{(\lambda)})\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}(p,\lambda;w)$$
(51)

for all  $w \in \mathcal{Q}$ , and we are left with showing that det  $\delta_{(\lambda)}$  does not depend on  $\lambda$ . This follows from the fact that the degree in  $\lambda$  of both  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(p,\lambda;w)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}(p,\lambda,w)$ : equal to the rank n of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . As far as  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}(p,\lambda;w)$  is concerned it is a reneral property of nfield semisimple flat pencils of metrics. The result concerring  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(r,\lambda;w)$  is proved in the Appendix and makes use of Lemma 15, which in turn follows from [32] (see also [33]).

(50)

**Example 12** Consider once more the case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$  as in Example 7. In the coordinates  $(w^1, w^2, w^3)$  (that is, using the basis  $e^1 = Y$ ,  $e^2 = H$ ,  $e^2 = X$ ) the matrix polynomial (8) associated to the Poisson pencil (45) is given by (12, see Example 1, so that the corresponding characteristic polynomial, evaluated at the points f the symplectic leaf S, is

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(p,\lambda;w^{1},w^{2}) = 2p \left( \left( w^{1} + \frac{1}{4} \left( w^{2} \right)^{2} - \lambda \right) p.$$
(52)

Since  $\pi_0: (w^1, w^2) \mapsto u = w^1 + \frac{1}{4} (v_1)^2$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{N}}(p, \lambda; u)$  is given by (24), we see that (47) is satisfied with  $F = \frac{1}{4}$ .

**Example 13** In the case of  $\mathfrak{so}(\mathcal{O})$  see I xample 8, we have that the characteristic polynomial  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}}$ , evaluated at the points of the transversal manifolds  $\mathcal{Q}$ , is

$$256 \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}(p,\lambda;w^{1},w^{2}) = 4 \left( -32\lambda + p^{4} - 8p^{2}w^{2} + 32w^{1} + 16\left(w^{2}\right)^{2} \right) \left( 8\lambda + p^{4} - 4p^{2}w^{2} - 8w^{1} \right)$$
(53)

# 7 Beyond 29 structures

In this final set ion we discuss two examples, in order to support the claim that Theorem 11 holds in more general contexts than the DS reduction. The first one is related to the  $W_3^{(2)}$  conformal algebra of [34], i.e., to the so called fractional KdV hierarchy  $\mathfrak{sl}_3^{(2)}$  discussed in [2]. It has been the linearly treated from the bi-Hamiltonian point of view in [5], and belongs to the class of generalized DS bi-Hamiltonian structures (see [2, 10]). The second example is the Poisson peir of the Camassa-Holm (CH) equation [3].

We consider again the Poisson tensors (35), with  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$  and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (54)

We choose the symplectic leaf S of  $P_1$  containing the point

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (55)

It can be checked that its elements are

$$\begin{pmatrix}
p_0 & u_1 & u_3 \\
p_1 & u_0 - p_0 & u_2 \\
1 & -p_1 & - & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(56)

and that a transversal submanifold Q is given by  $\gamma_0 = p_1 = 0$ . The reduced Poisson pencil is<sup>2</sup>

$$P_{(\lambda)}' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3}\partial_x & \epsilon^{-1}(u_1 - \lambda) & \epsilon^{-1}(-u_2 + \lambda) & -\frac{1}{3}\left(\epsilon\partial_x^2 + u_0\partial_x + u_{0x}\right) \\ * & 0 & \left(\frac{i}{\prime_{(\lambda)}}\right)_{23} & 2(u_1 - \lambda)\partial_x + u_{1x} + 2\epsilon^{-1}u_0(u_1 - \lambda) \\ * & * & 0 & (u_2 - \lambda)\partial_x + u_{2x} - 2\epsilon^{-1}u_0(u_2 - \lambda) \\ * & * & & (P_{(\lambda)}')_{44} \end{pmatrix},$$
(57)

where

$$(P'_{(\lambda)})_{23} = \epsilon \partial_x^2 + u_0 \delta + 2u_{0x} + \epsilon^{-1} \left( 2u_0^2 - u_3 \right) (P'_{(\lambda)})_{44} = -\frac{2}{3} \left[ {}^2 \partial_x^3 - \frac{4}{3} \epsilon u_{0x} \partial_x + 2 \left( u_3 + \frac{1}{3} u_0^2 \right) \partial_x - \frac{2}{3} \epsilon u_{0xx} + \frac{2}{3} u_0 u_{0x} + u_{3x} \right]$$
(58)

Notice that the real points on pair, like the unreduced one, is exact but it does not admit a dispersionless limit. However, one can check that the characteristic polynomial (46), computed at the points of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , coir ides with  $-3\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}(p,\lambda;u_0,u_1,u_2,u_3)$ , so that Theorem 11 holds in this case with  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{Q}} = -\frac{1}{3}$ .

Now w pass t the CH case. First of all, we need a brief summary of the fact [29] that the CH Poisson pair can also be obtained by reduction from a Poisson pair on loop algebras, very  $\sin^3\varepsilon$  to (35).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The formulae written in [5] contain a misprint. Those given in the present paper are correct.

Consider again the loop algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  of functions w(x) from  $S^1$  to  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ , endowed new with the Poisson pair

$$(P_1)_w v = \epsilon^{-1} [v, w], \qquad (P_2)_w v = \epsilon^{-1} [v, A] + v_x, \tag{59}$$

where  $A = X + Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Also in this case, the Poisson pair has the form (c). We choose the symplectic leaf of  $P_1$  given by  $S = \{w \in \mathcal{M} \mid \det w = 0\}$ . Since the case int  $\mathcal{N}$  is not easy to parametrize, we introduce the transversal submanifold

$$Q = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in C^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{R}), u(x) \neq 0 \,\forall r \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}$$
(60)

The reduced Poisson brackets turn out to be the ones of the Can. Lsa-Holm hierarchy, that is,

$$\{u(x), u(y)\}_1 = -u_x \delta(x-y) - 2u\delta'(x-y), \qquad \{u(x), u(y)\}_1 = -2\delta'(x-y) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2 \delta'''(x-y), \tag{61}$$

to be compared with (23). The corresponding class toristic polynomial is thus

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}(p,\lambda;u) = -\sum_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\mu^{3} + 2\lambda up.$$
(62)

Let us compute now the characteristic portronant of the (unreduced) CH structure. In the same coordinates  $(w^1, w^2, w^3)$  used in Example 7, the matrix polynomial (8) associated to the Poisson pencil (59) is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \lambda w^{1} - 1 \end{pmatrix} & -\lambda w^{2} - p \\ -2(\lambda w^{-1}) & -2p & 2(\lambda w^{3} - 1) \\ \lambda ^{2} - p & -2(\lambda w^{3} - 1) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(63)

so that the correspondir  $_{5}$  c1 tracteristic polynomial is

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(p,\lambda;w^{1},w^{2},w^{2}) = 2p^{3} - 2p\left[\left(4w^{1}w^{3} + \left(w^{2}\right)^{2}\right)\lambda^{2} - 4(w^{1} + w^{3})\lambda + 4\right].$$
 (64)

Since Q is defined by  $u^{1} = u, w^{2} = w^{3} = 0$ , we have that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}(p,\lambda;u) = \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(p,\lambda;u,0,0).$$
(65)

We can conclude that also in the CH case a relation of the form (48) holds.

## App 511,1:w

In this appendix we collect some facts, concerning simple Lie algebras, used in the paper.

#### A.1 The symplectic leaves of $P_1$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple Lie algebra of rank n over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Fix a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ , denote by  $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$  the root space of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and for every  $\alpha \in \Delta$  denote by  $E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}$  a norzero poter in the corresponding root space, so that  $\mathfrak{g}$  decomposes as

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{C} E_{\alpha}.$$

Fix a base of simple roots  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \subset \Delta$ , and denote by  $\Delta_+$  (resp.  $\Delta_-$ ) the corresponding set of positive (resp. negative) roots. Denote by  $\mathfrak{n}_- \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_\pm} \mathbb{C}E_\alpha$ , from which the decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$  follows. Given  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , a mode by  $\mathfrak{h}(\alpha)$  the height of  $\alpha$  relative to  $\Pi$ , and extend this to  $\mathfrak{g}$  by setting  $\mathfrak{h}(E_\alpha) = \mathfrak{h}(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , and  $\mathfrak{h}(h) = 0$ ,  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $h^{\vee}$  be the dual Coxeter number of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and introduce the normalized Killing form

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \frac{1}{2h^{\vee}} \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{ad} x \operatorname{ad} y), \qquad x \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Let  $\theta \in \Delta$  be the highest root of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and consider the corresponding root vector  $E_{\theta}$  (this is a possible choice of the element A entering definition.  $\gamma$  the Poisson structure  $P_1$  in Section 5). To describe the symplectic leaf

$$\ker(\operatorname{ad} E_{\theta})^{\perp} = \{ x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid y \in \operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{ad} E_{\theta}) \}$$

in more detail, we introduce the following gradation. Let  $\nu : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h}^*$  be the isomorphism of vector spaces given by  $\langle \nu(x), y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle_{\mathfrak{l}}, x, y \in \mathfrak{h}$ , and let  $\theta^{\vee} = \nu^{-1}(\theta) \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}$ decomposes as follows,

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i=-2}^{2} \mathfrak{g}^{i},$$

where  $\mathfrak{g}^i = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [\theta^{\vee}, x] = i x\}$ . The subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}^0$  is a reductive subalgebra which contains  $\mathfrak{h}$ , while  $\mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2$ , (resp  $\mathfrak{g}^- \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-2}$ ) is a nilpotent subalgebra contained in  $\mathfrak{n}_+$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{n}_-$ ). Moreover, it can be shown. 31] that dim  $\mathfrak{g}^1 = \dim \mathfrak{g}^{-1} = 2(h^{\vee} - 2)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^{\pm 2} = \mathbb{C}E_{\pm\theta}$ .

**Lemma 14** We have  $hat' \operatorname{er}(\operatorname{ad} E_{\theta})^{\perp} = \mathbb{C}\theta^{\vee} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2$ . In particular, dim  $(\operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{ad} E_{\theta})^{\perp}) = 2h^{\vee} - 2$ .

**Proof.** We first describe the subspace ker(ad  $E_{\theta}$ ). It is clear that for  $x \in \mathfrak{n}_+$  then  $x \in \ker(\operatorname{ad} E_{\theta})$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{h}$ , since  $x \in \ker(\operatorname{ad} E_{\theta})$  if and only if  $\langle \theta, x \rangle = 0$ , and since  $\langle \theta, \theta^{\vee} \rangle = 2$ , it follows that the Cartan subalgebra decomposes into the (orthogonal) direct sum  $\mathfrak{h} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathbb{C} \theta^{\vee}$ , where  $x = x \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \langle x, \theta \rangle = 0$ . If  $x \in \mathfrak{g}^0 \cap \mathfrak{n}_-$ , then we have both  $[x, E_{\theta}] \in \mathfrak{g}^2 = \mathbb{C} E_{\theta}$  and ht  $([x, E_{\theta}]) < \operatorname{ht}(E_{\theta})$ , which implies  $[x, E_{\theta}] = 0$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{g}^i$ , i = -1, -2, then  $[E_{-\theta}, [x, E_{\theta}]] =$ 

 $-[x, [E_{\theta}, E_{-\theta}]] - [E_{\theta}, [E_{-\theta}, x]] = [x, \theta^{\vee}] = -ix$ , so that  $[x, E_{\theta}] = 0$  if and only if z = 1. Thus for i = -1, -2 we have  $\mathfrak{g}^i \cap \ker(\operatorname{ad} E_{\theta}) = \{0\}$ , and we proved that

$$\ker(\operatorname{ad} E_{\theta}) = \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2, \tag{66}$$

where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^0 = (\mathfrak{g}^0 \cap \mathfrak{n}_-) \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus (\mathfrak{g}^0 \cap \mathfrak{n}_+)$ . Note in particular that  $\mathfrak{g}^0 = \mathfrak{g}^{\vee} \oplus \mathbb{C}\theta^{\vee}$ , and that dim ker(ad  $E_{\theta}$ ) = dim  $\mathfrak{g}^0 - 1 + \dim \mathfrak{g}^1 + \dim \mathfrak{g}^2$  = dim  $\mathfrak{g}^0 + \dim \mathfrak{g}^1$ . From  $\langle \zeta^{\vee} \rangle$  and the properties of the Killing form it is easy to show that  $\mathbb{C}\theta^{\vee} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2 \subset \ker(\operatorname{ad} \cup_{\theta})^{\perp}$ . It remains to prove that the latter inclusion is in fact an equality. Since dim  $\mathfrak{g} = \dim \mathfrak{r}^0 + 2\operatorname{cd} \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{g}^1 + 2$  we have dim (ker(ad  $E_{\theta})^{\perp}$ ) = dim  $\mathfrak{g} - \dim (\ker(\operatorname{ad} E_{\theta})) = (\dim \mathfrak{g}^0 + 2\operatorname{dir} \mathfrak{g}^1 + 2) - (\dim \mathfrak{g}^0 + \dim \mathfrak{g}^1) =$ dim  $\mathfrak{g}^1 + 2$ , from which the thesis follows. Moreover, since  $\zeta^{\vee} \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{g}^1 = 2(h^{\vee} - 2)$ , we get dim (ker(ad  $E_{\theta})^{\perp}) = 2h^{\vee} - 2$ .

We remark that the characterization of the symplectic soft  $\operatorname{er}(\operatorname{ad} E_{\theta})^{\perp}$  provided in the above lemma is not necessary for the results of present parts. We believe however that it is a nice piece of information, which easily follows from the results of [31] and which to our knowledge has never appeared in the literature between Table 1 the dimension of ker(ad  $E_{\theta})^{\perp}$  is summarized for every finite-dimensional simple L. algebra.

Table 1: Coxeter numbers, dual Coxeter numbers  $\uparrow$  d the dimension of ker(ad  $E_{\theta})^{\perp}$  for simple Lie algebras.

g	$A_n$	$B_n$	$C_n$	$D_n$	$E_6$	$E_7$	$E_8$	$F_4$	$G_2$
h	n+1	2n	2n	2n - 2	12	18	30	12	6
$h^{\vee}$	n+:	2n - 1	n+1	2n-2	12	18	30	9	4
$\dim \left( \ker(\operatorname{ad} E_{\theta})^{\perp} \right)$	n <sub>n,</sub>	4n - 4	2n	4n - 6	22	34	58	16	6

### A.2 The intersection of the kernels in the DS case

Let us denote by l the Coxeter number of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and by N its dimension. Then N = n(h + 1). Let  $\{X_i, Y_i, H_i\}_{i=1,...,n}$  because generators of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , satisfying the relations

$$[H_i, I_i] = 0, \quad [H_i, X_j] = C_{ij}X_j, \quad [H_i, Y_j] = -C_{ij}Y_j, \quad [X_i, Y_j] = \delta_{ij}H_i,$$

where  $C := (C_{ij})_{i, =1,...,n}$  is the Cartan matrix of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Define the principal gradation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  by setting deg  $Y = deg Y_i = 1$ , for every i = 1, ..., n, and extending it uniquely to a gradation of the lager  $\Box$  'y setting deg[x, y] = deg x + deg y. Then we have

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i=1-h}^{h-1} \mathfrak{g}_i, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathfrak{g}_i = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \deg x = i\}.$$

Note in particular that for every i = 1, ..., n we have  $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}_1, Y_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  and that d'm  $\mathfrak{g}_h \cdot = 1$ . The principal gradation is induced by the adjoint action of the element

$$\rho^{\vee} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \left( C^{-1} \right)_{kj} H_j \in \mathfrak{h},$$

so that we can equivalently write  $\mathfrak{g}_i = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \mathrm{ad}_{\rho^{\vee}} x = ix\}.$ 

Denote  $I = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$  the principal nilpotent element and let  $A = E_{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . The other notations are as in Sections 5 and 6. We will show that the intersection betwhere  $(\ker P_1)_w$  and  $(\ker P_2)_w$  is trivial for all  $w \in S$  (more generally, for all  $w \in I : \bigoplus_{i=0}^{-1} v_i$ ). Indeed, suppose that  $v \in (\ker P_1)_w \cap (\ker P_2)_w$  and decompose it with respect to the principal gradation:  $v = \sum_{i=1-h}^{h-1} v_i$ . Since  $v \in (\ker P_1)_w$ , every  $v_i$  commutes with A and therefore  $v_{1-h} = 0$ . Decomposing also  $w = I + \sum_{j=0}^{h-1} w_j$ , imposing that  $v \in (\ker P_2)_w$ , and considering the minimal degree element, we obtain that  $[v_{2-h}, I] = 0$ . It follows that  $v_{2-h}$  commutes both with A and I, so that  $v_{2-h} = 0$  (see [7]). In the source  $v_i$ , one proves that  $v_i = 0$  for all  $i = 3 - h, \dots, h - 1$ .

### A.3 The $\lambda$ -degree of the characteristic polynomial

This part of the appendix is devoted to the proof that the degree in  $\lambda$  of the characteristic polynomial  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(p,\lambda;w)$  is equal to the rank n of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , if w belongs to the symplectic leaf  $\mathcal{S}$ . First of all, we notice that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(p,\lambda;w) = \operatorname{Cr}\left(g^{ij}\right) \det\left(-p\operatorname{Id} - \operatorname{ad}(w - \lambda A)\right),$$

so that we just need to compute the degree of det  $(p \operatorname{Id} + \operatorname{ad}(w - \lambda A))$  in the adjoint representation.

Denote  $I = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$  the provided number of the element, let  $E_{\theta} \in \mathfrak{g}_{h-1}$  and denote  $\Lambda_{\lambda} = I - \lambda E_{\theta} = I - \lambda A$ . We first compute the degree in  $\lambda$  of the characteristic polynomial

$$C_{\lambda}(p) = \det(p \operatorname{Id} + \operatorname{ad} \Lambda_{\lambda}).$$

It is known [25] that be tement  $\Lambda_1 = I - E_{\theta}$  is a regular semisimple element of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The following easy temma is a special case of [32, Lemma 4.1]:

**Lemma 1**<sup>F</sup> If p is an eigenvalue for  $\Lambda_1$ , then  $\lambda^{\frac{1}{h}}p$  is an eigenvalue for  $\Lambda_{\lambda}$ .

**Proof.** Since  $I \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  and  $E_{\theta} \in \mathfrak{g}_{h-1}$  we have

$$\lambda^{\frac{1}{h}ad\rho^{\vee}}\Lambda_{1} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{h}ad\rho^{\vee}}I - \lambda^{\frac{1}{h}ad\rho^{\vee}}E_{\theta} = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{h}}I - \lambda^{\frac{h-1}{h}}E_{\theta} = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{h}}\Lambda_{\lambda}$$

proving the lemma.

Now  $\Lambda_1$  is semisimple and therefore diagonalizable, and its characteristic polynomial  $\Lambda_1$  of the form

$$C_1(p) = p^n \prod_{i=1}^{hn} (p - p_i)$$

for certain  $p_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , i = 1, ..., hn. In particular, the contribution h the polynomial above is due to the fact that  $\Lambda_1$  is regular. Due to the previous len. The characteristic polynomial  $C_{\lambda}(p)$  of  $\Lambda_{\lambda}$  is given by

$$C_{\lambda}(p) = p^n \prod_{i=1}^{hn} (p - \lambda^{\frac{1}{h}} p_i),$$

which is a polynomial of degree  $\frac{nh}{h} = n$  with respect to  $\lambda$ .

ι

Now consider the general case, i.e., the element  $\Lambda_{\lambda} + w_+ = I \neg w_+ - \lambda A = w - \lambda A$ , where  $w \in S$  and

Then we obtain

$$\lambda^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}\operatorname{ad}\rho^{\vee}}(\Lambda_{\lambda}+w_{+}) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{\hbar}} - \lambda^{-\frac{\hbar}{\hbar}} E_{\theta} + \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \lambda^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}} w_{i}$$
$$= \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} (\Lambda_{1} - \lambda^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}} M(\lambda; w_{+})), \qquad (67)$$

where for fixed  $w_+$  the function  $M(\lambda \cdot \omega_+)$  is a polynomial in  $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{h}}$ . It then follows that — for a fixed value of  $\lambda - p(\lambda)$  is an eigenvalue of  $\lambda_+ w_+$  if and only if  $\lambda^{\frac{1}{h}} p(\lambda)$  is an eigenvalue for  $\Lambda_1 + \lambda^{-\frac{1}{h}} M(\lambda; w_+)$ . From [23, Theorem 6.3.12] we obtain that for any simple eigenvalue p of  $\Lambda_1$ , then there exists a unique  $e_{15}$  m alus  $p(\lambda)$  of  $\Lambda_1 + \lambda^{-\frac{1}{h}} M(\lambda; w_+)$ , admitting the expansion

$$p(\lambda) = p + O(\lambda^{-\frac{2}{h}})$$
 as  $\lambda \to \infty$ .

Therefore  $\lambda^{\frac{1}{h}} p(\lambda)$  is an eiger value of  $\Lambda_{\lambda} + w_{+}$ , with the asymptotic behaviour

$$\lambda^{\frac{1}{h}} p(\lambda) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{h}} p + O(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{h}}) \quad \text{as } \lambda \to \infty.$$

Since  $\Lambda_{\lambda} + w_{+} = I + v$ , then  $\Lambda_{\lambda} + w_{+}$  is a regular element [25]. Then, its characteristic polynomial in the adjoint representation is given by

$$\det(p \operatorname{Id} + \Lambda_{\lambda} + w_{+}) = p^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{nh} (p - \lambda^{\frac{1}{h}} p_{i}(\lambda)),$$
(68)

where  $_{I} (\lambda) - _{I} + O(\lambda^{-\frac{2}{h}})$  and the  $p_i$  are simple eigenvalues of  $\Lambda_1$ . From the representation (68), it for the tit is a polynomial in  $\lambda$  of degree n.

#### Acknowledgments

A.R. and M.P. thank the Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni of Un<sup>2</sup> vers<sup>1</sup> à Milano-Bicocca for its hospitality. This work was carried out under the auspices of the GNFM Section of INdAM. We would like to thank the anonymous referee whose attent, a reading of the manuscript helped to improve the presentation.

## References

- J. Balog, L. Fehér, L. O'Raifeartaigh, P. Forgács, A. Wip<sup>\*</sup>, 1'oda theory and W<sub>n</sub>-algebra from a gauged WZNW point of view, Ann. Physics 203 (10° J), 7 −136.
- [2] N. J. Burroughs, M.F. DeGroot, T.J. Hollowood, J.L. Miramentes, Generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov Hierarchies. II. The Hamiltonian structures, Comp. Math. Phys. 153 (1993), 187-215.
- [3] R. Camassa, D.D. Holm, An integrable shallow water guation with peaked solitons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1993), 1661–1664.
- [4] G. Carlet, R. Kramer, S. Shadrin, Central inv. viants revisited, J. Éc. polytech. Math. 5 (2018), 149–175.
- [5] P. Casati, G. Falqui, F. Magri, M. Pedroni, A note on fractional KdV hierarchies, J. Math. Phys. 38 (1997), 4606–4 )28.
- [6] P. Casati, G. Falqui, F. Me<sub>3</sub>ri, <sup>\*</sup>I. Pedroni, Bihamiltonian reductions and W<sub>n</sub>-algebras,
   J. Geom. Phys. 26 (1998), 2<sup>\*\*</sup>-310
- [7] P. Casati, M. Pedron; Dr. feld-Sokolov reduction on a simple Lie algebra from the bi-Hamiltonian point of www. Lett. Math. Phys. 25 (1992), 89–101.
- [8] L. Degiovanni, F. Maz<sup>-i</sup>, V. Sciacca, On deformation of Poisson manifolds of hydrodynamic type, C mm Ma<sup>+</sup>h. Phys. **253** (2005), 1–24.
- [9] A. Della V.aova. P. Lorenzoni, A. Savoldi, Deformations of non-semisimple Poisson pencils of 'ydrody amic type, Nonlinearity 29 (2016), 2715–2754.
- [10] Y.I. J inar, V. -algebras and the equivalence of bihamiltonian, Drinfeld-Sokolov and Dirac reduct. ns, J Geom. Phys. 84 (2014), 30–42.
- [11] I. Lor'man, Dirac Structures and Integrability of Nonlinear Evolution Equations, Wiley, Chiche ter, 1993.

- [12] V.G. Drinfeld, V.V. Sokolov, Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg-de Vies me, J. Sov. Math. 30 (1985), 1975–2036.
- [13] B. Dubrovin, Flat pencils of metrics and Frobenius manifolds. In: Integrable "stems and algebraic geometry (Kobe/Kyoto, 1997), M.H. Saito et al., eds. World Sci. "tific, 1998, pp. 47–72.
- [14] B.A. Dubrovin, Differential geometry of the space of orbits of a Coxeter group. In: Surveys in differential geometry: integrable systems, C.L. Terng et al. eds, In . Press, 1998, pp. 181–211.
- [15] B. Dubrovin, S.Q. Liu, Y. Zhang, Hamiltonian peturbations c hyperbolic systems of conservation laws I. Quasi-triviality of bi-Hamiltonian perturbations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 59 (2006), 559-615.
- [16] B. Dubrovin, S.Q. Liu, Y. Zhang, Frobenius and central invariants for the Drinfeld-Sokolov bihamiltonian structures, Adv. Math. 219 (2008), 780–837.
- [17] B. Dubrovin, S.Q. Liu, Y. Zhang, Bihamiltonia Cohomologies and Integrable Hierarchies II: the Tau Structures, arXiv:1701.03222.
- [18] B. Dubrovin, S.P. Novikov, On Poiss prackets of hydrodynamic type, Soviet Math. Dokl. 279 (1984), 294–297.
- [19] B. Dubrovin, Y. Zhang, Nor al form of integrable PDEs, Frobenius manifolds and Gromov-Witten invariants, 1ath.D. J108160.
- [20] G. Falqui, P. Lorenzoni, Exu. Po<sup>i</sup> son pencils, τ-structures and topological hierarchies, Phys. D 241 (2012), 2<sup>\*</sup>, <sup>2</sup>-2187.
- [21] E. Ferapontov, Cor parble Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type, J. Phys. A 34 (2001), 2377–2388.
- [22] E. Getzler, A Dark sux theorem for Hamiltonian operators in the formal calculus of variations, Duke Max. J 111 (2002), 535–560.
- [23] R.A. Horn C.R. . ohnson, *Matrix Analysis*, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [24] J.E. L. umphr ys, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, Springer-Verlag, 1, 10.
- [25] B. Ke tant, On Whittaker Vectors and Representation Theory, Inv. Math. 48 (1978), 101–184.

- [26] S-Q. Liu, Y. Zhang, Deformations of Semisimple Bihamiltonian Structures of 1. drodynamic Type, J. Geom. Phys. 54 (2005), 427–453.
- [27] S-Q. Liu, Y. Zhang, On quasi-triviality and integrability of a class of scalar volutionary PDEs, J. Geom. Phys. 57 (2006), 101–119.
- [28] P. Lorenzoni, Deformations of bihamiltonian structures of hydrou, variate type, J. Geom. Phys. 44 (2002), 331–375.
- [29] P. Lorenzoni, M. Pedroni, On the bi-Hamiltonian structures of the Camassa-Holm and Harry Dym equations, Int. Math. Res. Notices 75 (2004), 401 (2029).
- [30] F. Magri, P. Casati, G. Falqui, M. Pedroni, *Eight lectures in integrable systems*. In: Integrability of Nonlinear Systems, Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach et al., eds. Lecture Notes in Physics, 2nd edn., vol. 495, 2004, pp. 209–250.
- [31] D. Masoero, A. Raimondo, Opers for Higher Stress of Quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov Systems, https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.00228.
- [32] D. Masoero, A. Raimondo, D. Valeri, Bet and the Spectral Theory of Affine Lie Algebra-Valued Connections I. The simply-incel Case, Comm. Math. Phys. 344 (2016), 719–750.
- [33] D. Masoero, A. Raimondo, D. Va' Bethe Ansatz and the Spectral Theory of Affine Lie algebra-Valued Connections II The New Simply-Laced Case, Comm. Math. Phys. 349 (2017), 1063-1105.
- [34] P. Mathieu, W. Oevel, The result of an informal algebra and the Boussinesq hierarchy, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 6 (1991) 1397–2404.
- [35] O. Mokhov, Compo ion flat metrics, J. Appl. Math. 8 (2002), 337–370.
- [36] J.M. Nunes da Costa, '-M. Marle, Reduction of bi-Hamiltonian manifolds and recursion operators. In: 'Jiffe ential geometry and applications (Brno, 1995), Masaryk Univ., Brno, 1996, pp. 523–536.
- [37] M. Pedron', Equiv lence of the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction to a bi-Hamiltonian reduction, Lett. March. Phys. 35 (1995), 291–302.
- [38] K. Sa. o, On a linear structure of a quotient variety by a finite reflection group, Publ. R w. or (1993), 535-579.
- [39] K. Sa. o, T. Yano, J. Sekiguchi, On a certain generator system of the ring of invariants of a finite reflection group, Comm. Algebra 8 (1980), 373–408.

[40] A. Sergyeyev, A Simple Way of Making a Hamiltonian System Into a Bi- Ian. "onian One, Acta Appl. Math. 83 (2004), 183–197.