

Article



Antonella D'Alessandro ¹, Denny Coffetti ^{2,3,4},*, Elena Crotti ^{2,3,4}, Luigi Coppola ^{2,3,4}, Andrea Meoni ¹ and Filippo Ubertini ¹

- 1 Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Perugia, 06123 Perugia, Italy; antonella.dalessandro@unipg.it (A.D.); andrea.meoni@unipg.it (A.M.); filippo.ubertini@unipg.it (F.U.)
- 2 Department of Engineering and Applied Sciences, University of Bergamo, 24129 Bergamo, Italy; elena.crotti@unibg.it (E.C.); luigi.coppola@unibg.it (L.C.)
- 3 UdR "Materials and Corrosion", Consorzio INSTM, 50121 Florence, Italy
- 4 Consorzio Interuniversitario per lo sviluppo dei Sistemi a Grande Interfase, CSGI, Sesto Fiorentino, 50121 Florence, Italy
- Correspondence: denny.coffetti@unibg.it

Received: 6 November 2020; Accepted: 25 November 2020; Published: 27 November 2020



Abstract: This paper is aimed at investigating the self-sensing properties of Portland-free alkali-activated binders doped with carbon-based nanofillers. Four different inclusions (carbon nanotubes, carbon nanofibers, carbon black and graphene nanoplatelets) were added into the matrix in the same amount. The physical and electromechanical properties were analyzed. The self-sensing capabilities of the samples were tested by applying a square wave voltage signal and measuring the variation of electrical resistance during cyclical compression tests. The results showed that the presence of nano-inclusions enhanced the sensing behavior of the materials, especially regarding the linearity and the hysteresis performances. Such results appear promising for the application of such novel and innovative nano-modified composites in the field of monitoring structures and infrastructures.

Keywords: alkali-activated materials; nanofillers; carbon inclusions; self-monitoring; conductive materials

1. Introduction

Concrete is the most widely used construction material in the world, and its consumption is estimated at more than 25 billion tons [1]. Due to this huge production, the cement industry is characterized by a very strong environmental impact in terms of CO₂ emissions, energy use and natural resource consumption. In particular, Portland cement (OPC) production requires a remarkable amount of energy (a modern cement plant requires from 2900 MJ to 3300 MJ for each ton of clinker produced) [2,3] and natural raw materials (on average, 1.22 tons of limestone and 0.31 tons of clay for each ton of clinker) [4], also contributing to global warming through an estimated 5–7% of CO_2 emissions [5,6].

The use of alternative binders to Portland cement seems to be a promising strategy to improve the sustainability of concrete and develop eco-friendly construction materials [7–10]. Between these, alkali-activated slag (AAS) cements, produced by mixing ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS) with alkaline activators, are widely investigated because they are able to combine outstanding binding capabilities and high durability [11-15] with an extremely low environmental impact in comparison to Portland cement [16]. In particular, it has been shown that AAS is characterized, at equal strength class, by reduced CO₂ emissions (GWP, Global Warming Potential) and energy requirements (GER, Gross Energy Requirement) vs. OPC-based mixtures, with a decrease generally close to 80-90% and 70-80%, respectively [10]. Additionally, the NRMC (Natural Raw Materials Consumption) of alkali-activated



slag-based mortars is about 30–40% lower in comparison with mixtures manufactured with traditional binders. This reduction in environmental impact is mainly due to the absence of the high temperature calcination step, whereas the calcination of Portland clinker not only consumes a large amount of fossil fuel-derived energy, but also releases CO_2 as a reaction product. Although the use of an alkaline hydroxide or silicate activating solution rather than water for cement hydration reintroduces some greenhouse gas (GHG) cost, the overall CO_2 saving due to widespread AAS utilization is expected

to be highly significant [17]. Moreover, the re-use of GGBFS, an industrial by-product derived from steel manufacturing, limits the consumption of limestone and clay employed as raw materials for OPC production.

In the last few years, AAS has received growing attention from industries and researchers as a promising candidate in different civil engineering applications, such as the restoration of existing structures [18,19], refractory systems [20–22], fire-retardant plasters [23] and concrete sewer pipes [24]. On the other hand, new smart cementitious materials can help the development of buildings and infrastructures with integrated sensing and health-monitoring capabilities, thus increasing both the structural safety and service life of reinforced concrete structures [25].

Several studies have evaluated the self-sensing abilities of Portland cement-based concretes and mortars containing conductive fillers such as carbon nanotubes, carbon nanofibers, graphite powders and steel microfibers [26–29]. Research, including previous work by the authors, has demonstrated the monitoring capabilities of cement-based materials doped with conductive fillers and their promising application in structural health-monitoring [25,30–35], where issues related to the fillers' dispersion and to the electrical setup represented the main bottlenecks to solve [36,37]. Of the notable literature about smart cementitious materials, only a few studies focus on the use of conductive fillers on geopolymers [38,39] or alkali-activated slag cement-based mixtures [40–43]. Recently, Rovnanik et al. [41] showed that AAS mortars are characterized by enhanced electrical conductivity properties compared to OPC-based mixtures due to the presence of mobile hydrated alkali ions and metallic microparticles. The reduced electrical resistivity of alkali-activated slag cement-based materials allows us to observe just enough piezoresistivity and consequent self-sensing properties, even without the addition of conductive materials. In order to improve the electrical conductivity of AAS, Vilaplana et al. [43] used carbon fibers at different dosages, highlighting gage factors (GF) for compressive cycles equal to 52 and 661 for the 0.29 and 0.58 vol.%, respectively. Rovnanik et al. [42] studied the effect of graphite addition (from 1 to 30 wt.% respect to GGBFS) on the mechanical properties and self-sensing capability of AAS mortars, identifying an optimum filler content to maximize the smart properties equal to 10 wt.%. However, the addition of an excessive amount of conductive fillers requires higher water dosages to ensure an adequate workability, strongly reducing the compressive strength of mortars.

The purpose of this work is the evaluation of the self-sensing capability of one-part alkali-activated slag cement-based mortars doped with different carbon-based nanofillers (carbon nanotubes, carbon nanofibers, carbon black and graphene nanoplatelets). Physical, mechanical and electromechanical tests have been carried out. The results show that all nano-modified materials exhibited enhanced properties, with the best sensitivity demonstrated by carbon black and carbon nanotubes.

2. Materials and Methods

The tested samples were beams with the dimensions $40 \times 40 \times 160$ mm³. The matrix material was the same, while the added fillers, all carbon-based, had different dimensional, physical and chemical characteristics, and were added in the amount of 1% by weight with respect to the slurry. This percentage derives from a previous investigation conducted by the authors on cementitious composites doped with carbon inclusions. The same percentage of addition has been adopted for all the carbon fillers, in order to obtain comparable nano-modified composites. In particular, carbon nanotubes (CNT), carbon nanofibers (NF), carbon black (CB) and graphite nanoplatelets (GNP) have been investigated due to their good electrical capabilities.

2.1. Materials

2.1.1. Matrix

In this research, a ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS, provided by Ecocem Ltd., Dublin, Ireland) was used as a precursor to manufacture one-part alkali-activated slag-based mortars. The density of the slag and specific surface was 3.13 g/cm^3 and $345 \text{ m}^2/\text{kg}$, respectively, while the average size of GGBFS particles was $12.42 \mu m$ (Mastersizer 3000, Malven Instruments Ltd., Cambridge, UK). The chemical composition (Inspect, FEI company Ltd., Hillsboro, OR, USA) of slag reported in Table 1 allowed us to calculate the K_b (basicity coefficient) and the K_q (quality coefficient) as reported by Wang et al. [44]:

$$K_{b} = \frac{CaO + MgO}{SiO_{2} + Al_{2}O_{3}} = 1.20$$
(1)

$$K_{q} = \frac{CaO + MgO + Al_{2}O_{3}}{SiO_{2} + TiO_{2}} = 1.77$$
(2)

Table 1. Chemical composition of ground granulated blast furnace slag.

Component	CaO	SiO ₂	Al_2O_3	MgO	TiO ₂	SO_3	K ₂ O	Fe ₂ O ₃
wt.%	45.6	32.9	10.4	6.4	2.3	1.6	0.7	0.2

The XRD pattern (Rigaku Miniflex 60, Japan, X-ray source Cu K α 0.15418 nm, 40 kV 15 mA, theta step 0.02, rate 1 °/min) showed the typical amorphous hump at 2 θ = 25–35° of GGBFS (Figure 1) related to the short-range order of CaO-Al₂O₃-MgO-SiO₂ glass structure according to Wang and Scrivener [45].



Figure 1. XRD pattern of ground granulated blast furnace slag.

The solid alkaline activator was a blend of sodium silicate, potassium hydroxide and sodium carbonate (provided by Carlo Erba Spa, Italy, industrial grade) with a relative mass ratio equal to 7:3:1, as already used in previous studies by Coppola et al. [10,19]. As aggregates, five different natural siliceous sands (Table 2, provided by Sataf Srl, Italy) with a maximum diameter of 2.5 mm were combined according to the ASTM C33 limits. Finally, 20 °C deionized water was used to manufacture mortars.

Min/Max Size [mm]	Specific Mass [g/cm ³]	Water Absorption s.s.d. [%]	Dosage [%]
< 0.25	2.40	0.20	25
0.25/0.50	2.70	0.76	30
0.50/1.00	2.58	0.77	25
1.00/1.50	2.63	0.93	10
1.50/2.50	2.62	1.02	10

Table 2. Properties of aggregates.

2.1.2. Fillers

The fillers chosen for the composites were all carbon-based, but with different structures and aspect ratios. The carbon nanotubes (CNT) were Multi Walled, type Graphistrength C100, from Arkema (Germany) [46]. They are 1-dimensional multiple concentric cylinders with an average external diameter of 10 nm and length up to 10 μ m. They possess a specific surface of the order of 200 m²/g and low apparent density, of the order of 100 kg/m³. The carbon nanofibers used in this research were type Pyrograf-III Carbon Nanofiber PE-19-XT-LHT (USA), considered 1-dimensional even if consisting of stacked cup linear structures. Their dimensions are greater than those of CNTs, with average diameters of 100 nm and lengths up to 200 μ m. The Carbon black fillers adopted in this study were type Printex XE-2B produced by Orion (Luxemburg). They are 0-dimensional, as colloidal spherical particles of carbon. They were cheaper than the two previous fillers, and of the same typology of printer's powder. The fourth carbon-based fillers were graphene nanoplatelets (GNP), produced by Cheap Tubes Inc. (USA), consisting of stacks of graphene layers of a final thickness up to 10 nm. They were 2-dimensional, with a visual appearance of grey powder, different from the other fillers which looked black. Table 3 summarizes the main characteristics declared by the producers of the four carbon-based fillers.

Carbon Filler	SEM Image	Property		
CNT		Apparent density Length Specific surface	50–150 kg/m ³ 0.1–10 μm ~210 m ² /g	
NF		Density Diameter Specific surface	1000 kg/m ³ 150 nm 20–30 m ² /g	
СВ		Density Particle size Specific surface	1800 kg/m ³ 30 nm ~1000 m ² /g	
GNP		Density Diameter Specific surface	1800 kg/m ³ 15 μm 100 m ² /g	

Table 3. Carbon fillers' characteristics (data provided by suppliers).

2.2. Mix Design

Five different one-part alkali-activated slag-based mortars were produced by varying the carbon filler type. The alkali content (A_c) and the silica modulus (M_s), calculated through Equations (3) and (4), were fixed at 0.0076 and 0.48, respectively, by using an activator to GGBFS ratio equal to 0.16.

$$A_{c} = \frac{Na_{2}O + K_{2}O}{GGBFS} = 0.0076$$
 (3)

$$M_{\rm s} = \frac{{\rm SiO}_2}{{\rm Na}_2{\rm O} + {\rm K}_2{\rm O}} = 0.48 \tag{4}$$

The carbon fillers used for manufacturing the AAS composites, as explained before, are at the amount of 1% by slag mass. Furthermore, the water to slag ratio was set at 0.65, while the sand to slag ratio was 3. The composition of mortars is reported in Table 4.

Composition [g]	NEAT	СВ	GNP	CNT	NF
GGBFS	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
Activator	160	160	160	160	160
Sand s.s.d.	3000	3000	3000	3000	3000
Water	650	650	650	650	650
Carbon black		10			
Graphene nanoplatelets			10		
Carbon nanotubes				10	
Carbon nanofibers					10

Table 4. Composition of	f mortars
-------------------------	-----------

2.3. Sample Preparation

The reference AAS mortar (NEAT) was manufactured in accordance with the "Dry mixing method" proposed by Bayuaji et al. [47]. In particular, the procedure is composed of five steps:

- (i) Ground granulated blast furnace slag, alkali activator in powder or flakes and deionized water are placed into the steel bowl;
- (ii) The mixer starts at low speed (140 rpm for the revolving action, 62 rpm for the planetary action) for 30 s;
- (iii) The mixing proceeds at high speed (285 rpm for the revolving action, 125 rpm for the planetary action) for 60 s;
- (iv) The mixing stops for 90 s;
- (v) The mixer completes the procedure with a further 60 s at high speed.

In alkali-activated-based mortars containing carbon fillers, an aqueous solution containing deionized water and fillers was used instead of pure water (Figure 2a). In particular, the fillers were uniformly dispersed in the aqueous solution by means of a Branson 1510 (Branson Ultrasuoni Srl, Italy) sonicator for 15 min (Figure 2b). Then, the sonicated suspension was added to the mixtures and the mortars were prepared in accordance with the "Dry mixing method" (Figure 2c,d). Finally, the mortars were poured into $40 \times 40 \times 160$ mm³ steel molds and protected from water evaporation with a plastic film (Figure 2e). After 24 h at 21 ± 1 °C, the specimens were demolded and cured in a climatic chamber at 21 ± 1 °C and R.H. $60 \pm 5\%$ until the mechanical tests.





2.4. Experimental Setup

The workability of mortars was evaluated through a flow table according to EN 1015-3, and the specific mass at fresh state was measured in accordance with EN 1015-6. Moreover, the specific mass at hardened state, flexural and compressive strength were determined at 1, 7 and 28 days from casting

(EN 1015-11). For the evaluation of the electrical properties of AAS-based composites, the samples were equipped with two external electrodes made of 5 mm long ribs in copper, and applied in the perimeter of each sample at a distance of 80 mm by the use of a conductive epoxy resin with 0.75% of graphite. The samples were tested after the resin polymerization. Figure 3 shows the sketch of the instrumented specimens. The electrical tests were carried out by applying a voltage to the samples with a model RIGOL DG1022 generator (Italy), and measuring the current signal by using a DAQ NI PXIe-1073 (USA) equipped with a digital multimeter, model NI PXI-4071. The copper strips were directly connected to the function generator and the acquisition system (Figure 3a). The electromechanical tests consisted of compressive cycles of loading/unloading in the range of 1–3 kN, carried out in the longitudinal direction by the use of a Controls press with a maximum load of 15 kN. Three LVDTs were placed at 120° in order to evaluate the displacement (Figure 3b).



Figure 3. Setup of electromechanical tests: (a) Sketch and (b) detail of sample and LVDTs.

The electrical and electromechanical tests were carried out by applying a square wave voltage signal with a duty cycle of 50% between the values of -10 V and +10V, with a frequency of 1 Hz, and recording the electrical current with a sampling frequency of 10 Hz. Then, the outputs were sampled at 1 Hz, taking the point placed at about 80% of the positive part of each period of the square voltage signal [37]. The electrical resistance was computed using Ohm's law:

$$R(t) = \frac{V}{I(t)} \tag{5}$$

where *V* is the applied constant voltage in the positive part of the square wave, equal to +10 V, *I* is the current intensity, and *t* represents the instant of acquisition of the signal.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. General Characterization of Mortars

The workability of mortars is in the range of 190–240 mm and it decreases when the carbon fillers are added to the mixture, as reported in Table 5. This is primarily due to the large surface area of nano-fillers, which demands a great amount of water to wet the surface, thereby reducing the free water content required for lubrication at a fixed water/binder ratio [48]. Moreover, mortars containing carbon fillers are characterized by a lower density, both at fresh and hardened states, in comparison to NEAT mortar. This circumstance is probably due to voids forming within the matrix due to air entrapment associated with the addition of the fillers. The samples with CNT were too inhomogeneous

and defective for electromechanical testing, and therefore not reliable enough to be considered for further investigation.

	NEAT	СВ	GNP	CNT	NF
Workability [mm]	240	210	220	200	190
Specific mass at fresh state [kg/m ³]	2200	2095	1925	1770	1770
28-day specific mass [kg/m ³]	1980	1920	1840	1690	1670
1-day compressive strength [MPa]	7.1	9.3	7.6	4.8	6.1
7-day compressive strength [MPa]	14.8	12.4	11.3	9.5	9.8
28-day compressive strength [MPa]	19.0	17.4	16.9	15.3	16.2

Table 5. General properties of mortars.

Mortar manufactured without carbon fillers (NEAT) shows a compressive strength of about 7 MPa after 24 h, and 15 MPa and 19 MPa at 7 and 28 days, respectively (Figure 4). The addition of carbon fillers decreases the compressive strength of mixtures both at early and late ages up to 25%. The strength loss can be mainly ascribed to the reduction in specific mass (Figure 5) due to excessive air entrapment which occurred during the mixing of carbon fillers.



Figure 4. Compressive strength development of mortars.



Figure 5. The 28-day compressive strength of mortars vs. specific mass.

3.2. Electrical Tests

Electrical tests were conducted on unloaded samples. Each element was simply resting on the worktable, while the voltage was applied at the two electrodes and recorded for 600 s (Figure 6a). The electrical resistance has been obtained using Equation (5), considering the current intensity measured at the end of the tests. Figure 6b shows the results of the electrical tests. The samples with CB and NF appear slightly more conductive than the normal ones, while the samples with GNP exhibit a higher resistance, maybe due to the apparently higher porosity of the composite.



Figure 6. Electrical tests: (a) sample during the tests; (b) electrical resistance of mortars.

3.3. Sensing Tests

Electromechanical tests were conducted by applying triangular cyclical loads, as reported in Figure 7. Each sample was instrumented as in Figure 7a. Figure 7b reports the stress variation on the samples during the tests. Electrical tension was applied at the copper electrodes, and the current was measured through the 2-probe method. Loads and electrical signals were recorded separately through two different data acquisition systems, with no perfect synchronization. The displacement was evaluated through the average value obtained from the LVDTs of the axial testing machine.



Figure 7. Electromechanical tests: (a) an instrumented sample during the tests; (b) load and stress history.

Figure 8a shows the normalized variation of electrical resistance obtained from the electromechanical tests for the different composites. All the samples showed a certain sensitivity, even if the neat ones exhibited a slight drift of the signal. The sensitivity of the samples has been better analyzed through the computation of the gauge factor (GF), reported in Figure 9. The figure shows that the neat samples and the samples with CB and NF appeared more sensitive than the samples with GNP. However, the results of the neat samples were less repeatable and exhibited a greater variability.



Figure 8. Results of the electromechanical tests on normal and nano-modified samples: outputs of normalized variation of electrical resistance (**a**); strain variation (**b**).



Figure 9. Gauge factors obtained from electromechanical tests on all the samples.

Table 6 summarizes the results of the electromechanical tests, comparing the values of initial electrical resistance, GF and Sensitivity, defined as the product of the two previous features (Equation (6)):

$$S = GF \cdot R_0 = -\frac{\Delta R/R_0}{\epsilon} = -\frac{\Delta R}{\epsilon}$$
(6)

where ΔR is the incremental variation in electrical resistance of the composites, R_0 is the initial internal electrical resistance, GF is the gauge factor and ϵ is the axial strain, considered positive in compression. Table 6 confirms that the nano-materials with highest sensitivity are the composites with CB and NF. These last samples also appear to be the best performing ones in the analyses of the linearity of the signals, as reported in Figure 10 and Table 7. The results appear consistent with those obtained in previous research on cement composites with carbon-based fillers obtained with similar preparation procedures [31,33,49,50], even if some deviations are observed, which is due to the different setup and shape of the samples.

Table 6. Initial resistance R₀, Gauge Factor GF, Sensitivity S and linearity of the tested samples.

Sample	R ₀ [Ω]	GF	S [Ω]	R ² [-]
NEAT	3.02×10^5	64	1.93×10^7	0.8577
CB	2.21×10^{5}	65	1.43×10^7	0.9852
GNP	2.55×10^{5}	39	0.98×10^7	0.9636
NF	2.36×10^5	62	$1.46 imes 10^7$	0.9825



Figure 10. Analysis of the linearity of the signals.

Table 7. Evaluation of the linearity of the signal of all the samples.

Sample	R ² [-]
NEAT	0.8577
CB	0.9852
GNP	0.9636
NF	0.9825

Figure 11 shows, for all the sensors, the variation of fractional change in electrical resistance versus strain, for loading and unloading phases of the triangular cyclical loads. The results exhibit the enhanced performance of the nano-modified samples in comparison to the normal samples. The graphs also report the values of the hysteresis areas of all the samples: the numerical comparison indicates that the presence of nano carbon fillers in the composite determines the reduction in the non-linear behavior and in the hysteresis.



Figure 11. Fractional change in electrical resistance vs. applied strain for loading and unloading phase under cyclic compression loads for samples: (**a**) NEAT, (**b**) with carbon black (CB), (**c**) with graphite nanoplatelets (GNP), (**d**) with carbon nanofibers (NF).

4. Conclusions

This paper has presented the investigation of the physical, mechanical and electromechanical properties of low environmental impact alkali-activated slag (AAS) cement-based mortars doped with different carbon-based nanofillers, i.e., nanotubes, nanofibers, carbon black and graphene nano-platelets. The development of these types of nano-modified materials is quite recent, but their possible applications appear promising. As a matter of fact, literature on doped AAS composites is still scarce, above all for smart conductive materials. The samples investigated in this research are produced by adding the same percentage of fillers, namely 1% of the weight of the slag. The mixes with carbon fillers exhibit acceptable workability, density and mechanical performances, albeit slightly lower than those characterizing the plain material. Electrical and electromechanical tests demonstrate the good performance of the nano-modified samples in sensing changes in stresses and strains. The composites with CB and CNF appear the most promising for applications in the monitoring of civil engineering structures.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.D. and D.C.; methodology, A.D., D.C.; investigation, A.D., D.C., E.C.; data curation, A.M.; writing—original draft preparation, A.D., D.C.; writing—review and editing, L.C., F.U.; visualization, A.M., E.C.; supervision, L.C., F.U.; funding acquisition, F.U. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The research received no external funding

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Miller, S.A. Supplementary cementitious materials to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions from concrete: Can there be too much of a good thing? *J. Clean. Prod.* **2018**, *178*, 587–598. [CrossRef]
- 2. Schneider, M.; Romer, M.; Tschudin, M.; Bolio, H. Sustainable cement production-present and future. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2011**, *41*, 642–650. [CrossRef]
- 3. Schneider, M. The cement industry on the way to a low-carbon future. Cem. Concr. Res. 2019. [CrossRef]
- 4. Chen, C.; Habert, G.; Bouzidi, Y.; Jullien, A. Environmental impact of cement production: Detail of the different processes and cement plant variability evaluation. *J. Clean.* **2010**. [CrossRef]
- 5. Barcelo, L.; Kline, J.; Walenta, G.; Gartner, E. Cement and carbon emissions. *Mater. Struct.* **2014**, 47, 1055–1065. [CrossRef]
- 6. Habert, G.; Billard, C.; Rossi, P.; Chen, C.; Roussel, N. Cement production technology improvement compared to factor 4 objectives. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2010**, *40*, 820–826. [CrossRef]
- Miller, S.A.; Myers, R.J. Environmental Impacts of Alternative Cement Binders. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2020, 54, 677–686. [CrossRef]
- 8. Miller, S.A.; John, V.M.; Pacca, S.A.; Horvath, A. Carbon dioxide reduction potential in the global cement industry by 2050. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2018**, *114*, 115–124. [CrossRef]
- Tataranni, P.; Besemer, G.M.; Bortolotti, V.; Sangiorgi, C. Preliminary Research on the Physical and Mechanical Properties of Alternative Lightweight Aggregates Produced by Alkali-Activation of Waste Powders. *Materials (Basel Switz.)* 2018, 11, 1255. [CrossRef]
- 10. Coppola, L.; Coffetti, D.; Crotti, E. Pre-packed alkali activated cement-free mortars for repair of existing masonry buildings and concrete structures. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2018**. [CrossRef]
- 11. Provis, J.L.; Palomo, A.; Shi, C. Advances in understanding alkali-activated materials. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2015**, 78, 110–125. [CrossRef]
- 12. Thomas, R.J.; Peethamparan, S. Alkali-activated concrete: Engineering properties and stress–strain behavior. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2015**, *93*, 49–56. [CrossRef]
- 13. Coppola, L.; Coffetti, D.; Crotti, E.; Gazzaniga, G.; Pastore, T. The durability of one-part alkali activated slag-based mortars in different environments. *Sustainability* **2020**, *12*, 3561. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, H.; Qi, Z.; Yilmaz, E.; Han, J.; Qiu, J.; Dong, C. Effectiveness of alkali-activated slag as alternative binder on workability and early age compressive strength of cemented paste backfills. *Constr. Build. Mater.* 2019, 218, 689–700. [CrossRef]

- 15. Coppola, L.; Coffetti, D.; Crotti, E.; Candamano, S.; Crea, F.; Gazzaniga, G.; Pastore, T. The combined use of admixtures for shrinkage reduction in one-part alkali activated slag-based mortars and pastes. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2020**, *248*. [CrossRef]
- 16. Habert, G.; D'Espinose De Lacaillerie, J.B.; Roussel, N. An environmental evaluation of geopolymer based concrete production: Reviewing current research trends. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2011**, *19*, 1229–1238. [CrossRef]
- 17. Duxson, P.; Provis, J.L.; Lukey, G.C.; van Deventer, J.S.J. The role of inorganic polymer technology in the development of "green concrete". *Cem. Concr. Res.* 2007, *37*, 1590–1597. [CrossRef]
- 18. He, J.; Bu, X.; Bai, W.; Zheng, W.; Gao, Q.; Wang, Y. Preparation and properties of self-compacting alkali-activated slag repair mortar. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2020**, 252, 119034. [CrossRef]
- 19. Coppola, L.; Coffetti, D.; Crotti, E.; Marini, A.; Passoni, C.; Pastore, T. Lightweight cement-free alkali-activated slag plaster for the structural retrofit and energy upgrading of poor quality masonry walls. *Cem. Concr. Compos.* **2019**, *104*, 103341. [CrossRef]
- Manjunath, R.; Narasimhan, M.C.; Umesha, K.M. Studies on high performance alkali activated slag concrete mixes subjected to aggressive environments and sustained elevated temperatures. *Constr. Build. Mater.* 2019, 229, 116887. [CrossRef]
- 21. Coppola, B.; Tardivat, C.; Richaud, S.; Tulliani, J.-M.; Montanaro, L.; Palmero, P. Alkali-activated refractory wastes exposed to high temperatures: Development and characterization. *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* **2020**, *40*, 3314–3326. [CrossRef]
- 22. Horvat, B.; Ducman, V. Influence of particle size on compressive strength of alkali activated refractory materials. *Materials (Basel)* **2020**, *13*, 2227. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Virendra, K.; Amit, K.; Brajkishor, P. Influence of elevated temperature on alkali-activated ground granulated blast furnace slag concrete. *J. Struct. Fire Eng.* **2019**, *12*, 2040–2317.
- 24. Khan, H.A.; Khan, M.S.H.; Castel, A.; Sunarho, J. Deterioration of alkali-activated mortars exposed to natural aggressive sewer environment. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2018**, *186*, 577–597. [CrossRef]
- 25. Han, B.; Ding, S.; Yu, X. Intrinsic self-sensing concrete and structures: A review. *Measurement* **2015**, *59*, 110–128. [CrossRef]
- 26. Donnini, J.; Bellezze, T.; Corinaldesi, V. Mechanical, electrical and self-sensing properties of cementitious mortars containing short carbon fibers. *J. Build. Eng.* **2018**, *20*, 8–14. [CrossRef]
- 27. Belli, A.; Mobili, A.; Bellezze, T.; Tittarelli, F. Commercial and recycled carbon/steel fibers for fiber-reinforced cement mortars with high electrical conductivity. *Cem. Concr. Compos.* **2020**, *109*, 103569. [CrossRef]
- 28. Belli, A.; Mobili, A.; Bellezze, T.; Tittarelli, F.; Cachim, P. Evaluating the self-sensing ability of cement mortars manufactured with graphene nanoplatelets, virgin or recycled carbon fibers through piezoresistivity tests. *Sustainability* **2018**, *10*, 4013. [CrossRef]
- 29. Kim, G.M.; Nam, I.W.; Yang, B.; Yoon, H.N.; Lee, H.K.; Park, S. Carbon nanotube (CNT) incorporated cementitious composites for functional construction materials: The state of the art. *Compos. Struct.* **2019**, 227, 111244. [CrossRef]
- 30. Camacho-Ballesta, C.; Zornoza, E.; Garcés, P. Performance of cement-based sensors with CNT for strain sensing. *Adv. Cem. Res.* **2016**, *28*, 274–284. [CrossRef]
- 31. D'Alessandro, A.; Ubertini, F.; García-Macías, E.; Castro-Triguero, R.; Downey, A.; Laflamme, S.; Meoni, A.; Materazzi, A.L. Static and dynamic strain monitoring of reinforced concrete components through embedded carbon nanotube cement-based sensors. *Shock Vib.* **2017**, 2017, 3648403. [CrossRef]
- 32. Coppola, L.; Buoso, A.; Corazza, F. Electrical properties of carbon nanotubes cement composites for monitoring stress conditions in concrete structures. *Appl. Mech. Mater.* **2011**, *82*, 118–123. [CrossRef]
- Meoni, A.; D'alessandro, A.; Downey, A.; García-Macías, E.; Rallini, M.; Materazzi, A.L.; Torre, L.; Laflamme, S.; Castro-Triguero, R.; Ubertini, F. An experimental study on static and dynamic strain sensitivity of embeddable smart concrete sensors doped with carbon nanotubes for SHM of large structures. *Sensors (Switzerland)* 2018, 18, 831. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Park, H.M.; Park, S.M.; Lee, S.M.; Shon, I.J.; Jeon, H.; Yang, B.J. Automated generation of carbon nanotube morphology in cement composite via data-driven approaches. *Compos. Part B Eng.* 2019, 167, 51–62. [CrossRef]
- 35. Kim, G.M.; Park, S.M.; Ryu, G.U.; Lee, H.K. Electrical characteristics of hierarchical conductive pathways in cementitious composites incorporating CNT and carbon fiber. *Cem. Concr. Compos.* **2017**, *82*, 165–175. [CrossRef]

- 36. Wen, S.; Chung, D.D.L. Damage monitoring of cement paste by electrical resistance measurement. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2000**, *30*, 1979–1982. [CrossRef]
- 37. Downey, A.; D'Alessandro, A.; Ubertini, F.; Laflamme, S.; Geiger, R. Biphasic DC measurement approach for enhanced measurement stability and multi-channel sampling of self-sensing multi-functional structural materials doped with carbon-based additives. *Smart Mater. Struct.* **2017**, *26*, 65008. [CrossRef]
- 38. Candamano, S.; Sgambitterra, E.; Lamuta, C.; Pagnotta, L.; Chakraborty, S.; Crea, F. Graphene nanoplatelets in geopolymeric systems: A new dimension of nanocomposites. *Mater. Lett.* **2019**, *236*, 550–553. [CrossRef]
- 39. MacKenzie, K.J.D.; Bolton, M.J. Electrical and mechanical properties of aluminosilicate inorganic polymer composites with carbon nanotubes. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2009**, *44*, 2851–2857. [CrossRef]
- 40. Rovnaník, P.; Míková, M.; Kusá, K. Electrical properties of alkali-Activated slag composite with combined graphite/CNT filler. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2017**, 251. [CrossRef]
- 41. Rovnaník, P.; Kusák, I.; Bayer, P.; Schmid, P.; Fiala, L. Comparison of electrical and self-sensing properties of Portland cement and alkali-activated slag mortars. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2019**, *118*, 84–91. [CrossRef]
- 42. Rovnaník, P.; Kusák, I.; Bayer, P.; Schmid, P.; Fiala, L. Electrical and self-sensing properties of alkali-activated slag composite with graphite filler. *Materials (Basel)* **2019**, *12*, 1616. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vilaplana, J.L.; Baeza, F.J.; Galao, O.; Zornoza, E.; Garcés, P. Self-sensing properties of alkali activated blast furnace slag (BFS) composites reinforced with carbon fibers. *Materials (Basel)* 2013, *6*, 4776–4786. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Wang, S.D.; Scrivener, K.L.; Pratt, P.L. Factors affecting the strength of alkali-activated slag. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **1994**, 24, 1033–1043. [CrossRef]
- 45. Wang, S.-D.; Scrivener, K.L. Hydration products of alkali activated slag cement. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **1995**, 25, 561–571. [CrossRef]
- Page, M.M.; Laurent, P.; Havel, M.; Roger, C. Arkema graphistrength multi-walled carbon nanotubes. In Proceedings of the 2008 NSTI Nanotechnology Conference and Trade Show, Boston, MA, USA, 1–5 June 2008; pp. 47–50.
- 47. Bayuaji, R.; Yasin, A.K.; Susanto, T.E.; Darmawan, M.S.; Darmawan, S. A review in geopolymer binder with dry mixing method (geopolymer cement). *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2017**, *1887*, 20042. [CrossRef]
- 48. Chuah, S.; Pan, Z.; Sanjayan, J.G.; Wang, C.M.; Duan, W.H. Nano reinforced cement and concrete composites and new perspective from graphene oxide. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2014**, *73*, 113–124. [CrossRef]
- 49. D'Alessandro, A.; Ubertini, F.; Laflamme, S.; Rallini, M.; Materazzi, A.L.; Kenny, J.M. Strain Sensitivity of Carbon Nanotube Cement-based Composites for Structural Health Monitoring. In Proceedings of the SPIE—The International Society for Optical Engineering, Las Vegas, NV, USA, 21–24 March 2016; Volume 9803, p. 980319.
- D'Alessandro, A.; Meoni, A.; Ubertini, F.; Luigi Materazzi, A. Strain Measurement in a Reinforced Concrete Beam Using Embedded Smart Concrete Sensors, Lecture Notes in Civil Engineering. In Proceedings of the Conference on Italian Concrete Days, Lecco, Italy, 14–15 June 2018; pp. 289–300.

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).