



# AESOP 2025 CONGRESS

Istanbul, 7-11 July



## PLANNING AS A TRANSFORMATIVE ACTION IN AN AGE OF PLANETARY CRISIS



**BOOK OF PROCEEDINGS**

**Colophon****Editors:**

Zeynep Enlil

İclal Dinçer

**Typesetting & Layout:**

Soykan Güler

Alev Yavuz

Asya Kuzu

İlayda Kuru

**Cover Design:**

Milk Agency

This volume contains the contributions presented at the conference "**37th AESOP Annual Congress**", held on **7-11 July 2025 in Istanbul, Türkiye**, and focused on the theme "***Planning as a Transformative Action in an Age of Planetary Crisis.***"

This publication is publicly available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC-BY 4.0). The editors of this publication are not responsible for opinions, statements or copyrights in relation to the content of this Book of Proceedings. These issues are the responsibility of the authors of the abstract submitted to the AESOP Congress 2025.

ISBN: 9789464981858

Published by AESOP

Istanbul 2025

# CONTENTS

## TRACK 1 - POSTGROWTH URBANISM

Varvara Toura, **From abandonment to critical conception: post-growth policies for the resilience of deindustrialised areas. The case-studies of Ile-de-Nantes and Docks-de-Seine in France**

[34](#)

Mark Groulx, Tara Clapp, Benjamin Bryce, Mikhaila Carr & Keone Gourlay, **Planning the Great Acceleration: Contributions of planning theory, ideology, and implementation to planetary transformation and social-ecological decline**

[49](#)

Abdalrahman T. Y. Alashi & Özhan Ertekin, **Deprivation Analysis in Turkey and Its Provinces: Insights for Post-Growth Urbanism**

[63](#)

Chiara Moretti, Roberto Bologna & Giulio Hasanaj, **Toward New Circular Urban Economies: Regeneration Models for the Ecological Transition of Cities. European Cases and Initiatives.**

[84](#)

Dila Toprak & Eren Kürkçüoğlu, **Post-growth Redefinition of Urban Textures: The Changing Faces of Human Scale through Eminonu and Maslak Central Business Areas in Istanbul**

[100](#)

Francesca Giangrande, Luciano De Bonis & Giovanni Ottaviano, **Alto Medio Sannio inner area between marginality, latent place knowledge and territorial heritage**

[115](#)

## TRACK 2 - PLANNING AND LAW

Fanny Tremblay-Racicot, Jérôme Couture École & Léa Béliveau, **Use of New Municipal Fiscal Powers for Sustainable Urban Development: Development and Application of a Strategic Financial Planning Method in the City of Mascouche**

[129](#)

Margherita Emilia Re, **Land Take and Logistics Hubs: Castel San Giovanni, the Milan Logistic Region's Gateway to Emilia-Romagna (Italy)**

[145](#)

YaZhen Cai & Tzuyuan Stessa Chao, **Exploring the Application and Challenges of Urban Planning Legal Systems Guided by Nature-Based Solutions: A Case Study of Kaohsiung City, Taiwan**

[159](#)

Burcu Soygüzeloğlu & Tüzin Baycan, **Legal Pathways for Urban Mobility Resilience : A Content Analysis of Policy Documents for Istanbul's Transport Systems**

[173](#)

Pierpaolo Cicconi, Giorgio Caprari & Elio Trusian, **Legislative innovations in times of crisis. The proposal for a new Italian law on territorial governance and the experimentation in the Marche Region**

[185](#)

İrem Ceylan, **Public Interest or Private Profit? A Case Study of How Public Interest is Redefined Through Political Power And Land Commodification in Istanbul**

[200](#)

### TRACK 3 - MOBILITY

Akkelies van Nes, **The walkability potential matrix – a new method for measuring sustainable destination configurations in town and city centres**

[213](#)

Tianxin Zhang & Mengde Zheng, **Optimisation of non-motorised facilities in urban fringe sheltered housing areas: Transport Accessibility and Equity Enhancement Based on Supply and Demand Analysis**

[234](#)

Emine Yetişkul & Metin Şenbil, **'15-minute city' in Turkey: a framework for sustainable urban planning and governance in mid-sized Turkish Cities**

[245](#)

Metin Şenbil & Emine Yetişkul, **is sump a panacea for dynamic cities? bridging ambition and reality: a case study of Istanbul**

[256](#)

Emine Yetişkul & Metin Şenbil, **Urban rail transit usage in developing countries: the case of Istanbul**

[268](#)

Omer Dogan & Sugie Lee, **Socioeconomic Disparities in Daily Activity Spaces: Insights from Smartphone Mobility Data on Non-Commuting Trips**

[281](#)

Zhoulanyi Xing, Junting Lin, enshan Chen, **Correlation Analysis Between Rail Network Nodal Attributes and Passenger Staying Rate: A Case Study of China's Yangtze River Delta Region**

[301](#)

Wendi Dong, **An Exploration of Route Synergy between Attractions in Xi'an's Main Urban Area Based on the Node-Place Model**

[310](#)

Wang Su, Wang Yuchen, Zhang Shangwu & Huang Jianzhong, **Evaluation and Optimization of Public Service Facility Accessibility in Planning Future Community Living Circles: A Case Study of Yangpu District, Shanghai, China**

[318](#)

Wang Yuchen, Chen Ge & Huang Jianzhong, **A Study on the Travel Characteristics of Rail Transit Passengers in Township Areas of Wuxi City**

[333](#)

Clément Hacquard, Maxime Hachette, Berk Celik & Alain L'Hostis, **Modelling Access Ergonomics to Daily Life Resources using Sustainable Modes within the Eurométropole de Strasbourg**

[348](#)

Yufei Qu, Yuying Zhu, Xiaoxi Zhang & Chuan Wang, **Impact of Multi-Station Aggregate Hub Redevelopment on Surrounding Urban Areas: A Case Study of London's King's Cross**

[364](#)

Jia Wei, Shangwu Zhang & Quan Yuan, **Urban freight mobility modes recognition classification and inclusive freight policy exploration based on truck trajectory data: A case study of Shanghai, China**

[379](#)

Caterina Villani, Toka Fahmy Aly, **GenAI Street Experiments: A Critical Framework for Urban Design Teaching and Learning**

[395](#)

Yutong Ma, Mengyue Mei & Tongyu Sun, **Exploring Pedestrian Comfort and Urban Mobility: A Multi-Modal Assessment of Walking Experiences at Popular Restaurant Destinations in Shanghai**

[408](#)

Aydin Furkan Terzi, Ayşenur Koçyiğit, Koray Aksu & Hande Demirel, **Performance Analysis of 15-Minute City Zones Through Spatial and Machine Learning Techniques**

[427](#)

Xinrui Guo & Yuan Zhu, **The Appropriate Intensity of Rail Transit Station Area near Historic Districts: A Case Study of Shanghai, Nanjing, Kyoto and Tokyo**

[439](#)

Ge Wan & Jianzhong Huang, **Travel Characteristic of Elderly People in Towns of Shanghai Metropolitan Area: An Urban Rural Mobility Network Analysis**

[458](#)

Jiayu Gu, Luyao Niu & Wenjia Zhang, **Why public transport interventions work or not? A meta-analysis based on the behaviour change wheel model**

[467](#)

Yanyun Mao & Jian Zhuo, **Planning amidst Planetary Crisis: Rethinking Theory and Practice through Adaptive Transformations in Public Transport**

[494](#)

Jennifer Jiang, Dayanna de Mello Sousa, Ágota Barabás, Jörg Rainer Noennig & Domokos Esztergár-Kiss, **Adapting the 15-Minute City Model for Suburban Areas: Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and Multimodal Mobility Strategies**

[509](#)

Yuxiao Ma, Qiao Zhang, Jing Deng & Jianzhong Huang, **«Beyond Growth» in China: Coordinated Optimisation Strategies for Rail Transit Networks and Regional Spatial Structure in the Post-Urbanisation Context**

[522](#)

Baekchan Jeon & Myounggu Kang, **Beyond Access: A Grid-Based Framework for Measuring Service Levels and Inequity in Multimodal Public Transit**

[537](#)

Elisabetta Vitale Brovarone, Francesca Blanc, Giulia Melis & Aida Shaneh, **Sustainable Urban Mobility Plans: a catalyst for transformative urban practices?**

[555](#)

Yoon Kyubin & Kang Myounggu, **Analysis of Regional Disparities in Public Transportation Accessibility: A Spatial Approach to Equal-Time Job Accessibility**

[566](#)

Kehao Li, Xiu Yang, Hu Yumin & Chao Liu, **Exploration of the Relationship between Travel Activity Chains and Self-Assessed Health of Rural Community Residents: Based on Multi-Source Data and Health Survey Questionnaire**

[579](#)

**TRACK 4 - GOVERNANCE**

Byeongsun Ahn & Yuri Kazepov, **Rethinking Policy Learning in Urban Policy Mobility**

[602](#)

Xin Li & Christa Reicher, **Actors in power networks: an institution framework of historic urban district renovation actors in China**

[617](#)

Han Gao, **Typologies and Dynamics of Urban Growth Projects in Ethiopia: A Discursive Analysis**

[629](#)

Bin Liu, **Institutional Supply and Practical Pathway for Self-Renewal of Old Residential Areas in Hangzhou from a Multi-Agent Collaboration Perspective: A Case Study of Zhegong New Village**

[635](#)

Gülşah Tırış & Hilal Erkuş, **Cross-Scale Transactions and Multi-Level Governance on Spatial Gains of Commons: A Comparative Study from Türkiye**

[649](#)

Zhuyang Liu & Guiqing Yang, **Pursuing total volume or efficiency? A study on the coupling pattern of rural population and space: A case study from Huangyan District, Zhejiang Province, China**

[657](#)

Lu Chenyan & Huang Yi, **Research on Demand-Side Oriented Spatial Governance in Innovation Districts: A Case Study of Hangzhou West Sci-Tech- Innovation Corridor**

[670](#)

Bilgesu Sever, **Redefinition of Urban-Rural Boundaries Under Centralized Governance: Urban Ecological Movements in the Case of a Coastal Town, Ayvalık, Turkey**

[688](#)

Guyue Sun & Hong Jiang, **Construction of Regional Multi-dimensional Proximity Network from the Perspective of Collaborative Agglomeration — Analysis of Geographical Proximity Networks in Contiguous Rural Spaces**

[702](#)

Alankrita Sarkar, **Are you a Stakeholder or Streekholder? Formal and informal planning in the Flemish-Dutch Delta**

[718](#)

Palмира Ndesihala de Almeida, **The Bioeconomy, Experimental Governance, and Spatial Planning**

[738](#)

Yuhang Rao, **Rural Revitalization Beyond Administrative Boundaries: A Case Study of Villages Surrounding Taihu Lake, China**

[753](#)

Iuliia Kozlova, Elisa Conticelli & Serena Sgallari, **Zero Net Land Take Policy Mapping: A Multi-Level Analysis in the Context of Italy and Emilia-Romagna**

[765](#)

Luqiao Zheng & Zichao Xiong, **Participatory Community Planning and Collaborative Governance in the Post-Growth Era: A Case Study of the Nanning Love-U Garden Network in China**

[790](#)

Beyza Kurt, **Participation After Disaster: Central Government Approach to Participation Planning**

[799](#)

Rola Abu Hilal, Gillian Brady, Philip Crowe & Brian Crowley, **Identifying Barriers to Compact Urban Growth in the Irish Housing Sector: Insights from Industry Experts**

[813](#)

Doriana Musaj, **Navigating Conflicts and Paradoxes: Analyzing the Interaction of Urban Regeneration, Local Governance, and Public Policy in the Tirana Riverside Project**

[829](#)

Paul van den Bragt, **Public-Private Joint Ventures in Urban Area Development: Identifying and Governing Value Tensions**

[846](#)

Stefan Lazarevski & Rudoff Giffinger, **Designing A Comprehensive Indicator System For an Evidence-based Spatial Planning System Integration in Fringe Regions of the EU: A South Balkan Case Study**

[856](#)

Stefano Magaudda, Federica Di Pietrantonio, Serena Muccitelli & Carolina Pozzi, **Multi-Level Collaborative Governance for Environmental Protection and Climate Adaptation: Insights from EU-funded Projects**

[874](#)

Romina D'Ascanio, Elisa Avellini, Stefano Magaudda, Anna Laura Palazzo & Giancarlo Gusmaroli, **Collaborative governance through the Mediterranean. Framing a Community of Practice for Wetland Contracts**

[884](#)

Ana Escario Chust, Óscar M. Blanco, Guillermo Palau Salvador, Kawa Nazemi, Elena Correll, Uliana Eliseeva & Tanya Zerbian, **Enhancing resilience in water management agriculture: A Social Network Analysis approach to identify Leverage Points for climate adaptation**

[895](#)

Philipp Kerschbaum, **How meaningful is formal participation in environmental assessments? Examining the case of large-scale linear infrastructure projects in Germany.**

[911](#)

Céline Janssen, **Building institutional capacity for social value – reflections from the 'Back to the Neighbourhood'-project in the Netherlands**

[927](#)



Giovanni Ottaviano, Luciano De Bonis & Francesca Giangrande, **Self-government processes of the urban bioregion: insights from a study on the Metropolitan City of Rome Capital**

[935](#)

Ivan Blečić, Anna Maria Colavitti, Giulia Giliberto & Virginia Onnis, **Assessing the effectiveness of participation in urban planning processes: a dual perspective on civic engagement and process efficiency**

[949](#)

Anila Bejko, Fiona Imami & Besnik Aliaj, **The Risks of Purposive Transitions in Albania: Navigating the Just Green Transition in Light of Post-Socialist Legacies**

[960](#)

Federico Camerin & Juan Luis de las Rivas Sanz, **Redeveloping military land into affordable housing through a public-public partnership. The case of Valladolid's La Rubia barracks**

[974](#)

#### TRACK 5 - ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE

Yufei Quan, **Inspiration for Pathways towards Multi-Scale Green Urban Regeneration and Planning Construction under Dual Carbon Goals**

[989](#)

Zhaopeng Cheng & Chenxi Wang, **Return of Mythical Bird · Reborn of Wetland — Conservation and Restoration Planning & World Heritage Site Application Preparation for Fujian Minjiang River Estuary**

[1007](#)

Lihua Chen & Jing Gan, **The Thresholds of Urban Parks' Characteristics on Heat Mitigation Effect: Case Study of 120 Parks in Foshan, China**

[1022](#)

Lin Lin & Jin Xing, **Research on Energy-saving Renovation and Energy Consumption Correlation of Historical Residential Districts under the Background of Urban Renewal: A Case Study of Hehua Tang District in Nanjing City**

[1042](#)

Senmeng Hao, Taoling Li, Chunhui Zhang, Yanyan Xia & Zhaokui Wu, **Urban Wilderness Protection and Creation in China**

[1052](#)

Zhihan Zhang, Yuyue Huang, Xun Zhang, Qingxin Yang & Junyan Yang, **Enhancing Sustainable Cities Through Comparative Carbon Sink Benefits of Blue-Green Spaces: Evidence from Multi-Source Data**

[1064](#)

Maria Pizzorni, Irina Karaselnikova, Clotilde Havard, Amit Bhattacharya & Esra Kut Görgün, **Preserving the past or protecting the future? Harmonizing Cultural Heritage and Climate Adaptation in Siena**

[1075](#)

Shumin Wang, Guoao Liu, Jianyu Li, Xinyu Lin & Mingxing Hu, **Exploring Low-Carbon Urban Development at the Plot Scale: A Study of Carbon Emission Mechanisms and Empirical Exploration of Micro-Renovation for Emission Reduction**

[1087](#)

Asli Eylem Kolbas & Oswald Devisch, **Retracing Critical Energy Fictions with Youth through a Comparative Analysis of Community-Based Interventions**

[1106](#)

Zimeng Kong, Wenjing Dong & Yanhui Wang, **Hydraulic Heritage and Community Identity: Examining Socio-Ecological Resilience and Heritage Transformation in Huaibei Area, China**

[1129](#)

Yuanhong Qiu, Ting Zhang & Yuequn Cao, **The Expansion of High-Tech Zone Development and Regional Carbon Emissions: A Synergistic Exploration of Spatial Heterogeneity and Environmental Justice**

[1145](#)

Yucel Can Severcan, Nese Aydin, Ozlem Ozden Uzmez, Eftade O. Gaga, Aybuke Balahun Coban, Gonenc Ozarli & Goksun Yildirim, **Linking outdoor particulate pollution to children's negative experiences of their neighbourhoods: Findings from an interdisciplinary research project in Ankara**

[1157](#)

Ting Zhang, Yuanhong Qiu & Jianzhong Huang, **Coordinated Development and Coupling Mechanisms of Capital Flows and Carbon Emissions from the Perspective of Environmental Justice**

[1174](#)

Tian Haoran, Jin Xing, Niu Shengnan & Ma congchao, **Research on the Outdoor Wind Environment of Buildings under the Influence of Hills: The Beigushan Hill in Nanjing**

[1190](#)

Yi Hsuan Shen & Tzuyuan Stessa Chao, **Seeking a possible Positive Energy Districts (PEDs) location choice from a local context, a case study in Tainan City, Taiwan**

[1200](#)

Shuxian Feng & Tengfei Yu, **Land suitability modelling for Integrated Mangrove Aquaculture to adapt to salinity intrusion using Machine Learning and Deep Learning approaches in southwestern Bangladesh**

[1211](#)

Kathrin Meyer, **Optimizing Decision-Making for Climate-Neutral Post-War Buildings: The Architect's Role in Sustainable Transformation**

[1229](#)

Yihuan Wang & Junyan Yang, **Investigating the impact of Urban Form Elements on carbon emissions at Different Development Stages: Based on GWR Models**

[1240](#)

Qingxin Yang, Zhihan Zhang, Yuyue Huang, Xun Zhang & Junyan Yang, **Exploring the Carbon Emission Impact of Community Life Circle Shared Clusters: A Case Study of Nanjing, China**

[1254](#)

Cemre Betul Ay & Erblin Berisha, **Toward Equitable Carbon Markets: Spatial Planning for Climate Justice in Uganda**

[1265](#)

Siyang Li & Zeyin Chen, **Temporal-Spatial Distribution Characteristics of PM2.5 and Noise in the Under-Viaducts Space**

[1279](#)

Adriana Galderisi, Giada Limongi & Alessandra Scognamiglio, **Agricultural landscapes and agrivoltaic systems: the key role of landscape planning**

[1302](#)

Beyzanur Uzun & Gökçen Kılınc Ürkmez, **An Examination of the Environmental Impacts of Mega Projects on Rural Areas: A Case Study of Two Villages in the South Marmara Region of Türkiye**

[1317](#)

Havva Elmalı & Seung-Nam Kim, **Deep Learning-Based Commercial Building Energy Consumption Prediction Model Considering Occupant Density Using Mobile Network Big Data: A Case Study of Seoul**

[1337](#)

Deshayes Eloïse & Brown Will, **Accounting beyond boundaries: Exploring consumption-based carbon approaches in Paris and Gothenburg**

[1347](#)

Ana Lucia Britto, Thêmis Amorim Aragão & Jorge Nassar Fleury, **Social movements and collaborative climate adaption: the case of Campo do Bomba, in Duque de Caxias-RJ- Brazil**

[1360](#)

Ozlem Edizel Tasci, **Addressing Climate Equity Through Community Engagement on Virginia's Eastern Shore**

[1371](#)

Hualing Xu, Jing Wu & Kingsley Dogah, **Feminization of Energy Poverty in China: Contrasting Urban and Rural Experiences**

[1383](#)

Yuan Gao & Shenjing He, **Simulating Urban Green Space's Cooling Impact on School-age Children' Sleep Quality Using Diverse Planning Approaches**

[1402](#)

Başak Demireş Özkul, Pınar Gökçe Kılıç, Ali Yılmaz, Fatih Uzun, Aliye Ahu Gülümser & Lara Su Baykan, **Using Participatory GIS for Sustainable Campus Planning: Insights from GreenHack Hackathon**

[1420](#)

Anna Bertolazzi, Michelangelo Fusi, Giovanni Maifredi, Michela Nota, Michela Tiboni & Claudia Zani, **Exploring the link between biodiversity, health, and climate action through the URBioPark Project**

[1433](#)

Lekha Samant, **Between Walls and Water: Technocratic planning of stormwater infrastructure and the reshaping of everyday life in flood-prone Mumba**

[1444](#)

Emanuele Garda, Gregorio Pezzoli & Marco Tononi, **The Legacy of Experience: Reflections on Climate Transition Strategies in Medium-Sized Italian Cities**

[1457](#)

Angel Burov, Angel M. Dzhambov, Donka Dimitrova, Iana Markevych, Kostadin Kostadinov, Marco Helbich & Mark J Nieuwenhuijsen, **Reintroduction of health impact assessment in Bulgarian city planning – why and how?**

[1467](#)

Carolina Giamo, Giulio Gabriele Pantaloni, Federico Farina & Andrea Nino, **SimulSoil: Ecosystem Service Modelling for Sustainability-Oriented Planning in the Metropolitan City of Turin**

[1486](#)

Anna Kaczorowska, **Urbanization, Biodiversity, and Ecosystem Services in Norway: Challenges and Strategies for Sustainable Land Use**

[1499](#)

Marco Carlotti, **Exploring Two Interpretative Models of Eco-cities in the United Arab Emirates: Masdar City and The Sustainable City Dubai**

[1502](#)

Lia Fedele & Angelica Nanni, **From Vulnerability to Resilience: Coastal Heritage as Environmental Infrastructure**

[1518](#)

**TRACK 6 - URBAN CULTURES AND LIVED HERITAGE**

Asma Mehan, **Reimagining Postgrowth Urbanism: Adaptive Reuse of Industrial Heritage for Sustainable Futures**

[1531](#)

Ye Sun, Chen Chen, **Understanding the cultural potential of rural history: A conceptual framework of cultural capital development**

[1539](#)

Sijie Liu, **The inheritance mechanism of rural living heritage in China based on the model of “rural governance - policy supply - protective activation”**

[1550](#)

Sidra Khokhar, **A Critical Ethnographic of Ziddi Feminist Socio-Spatial Practices in a Modern-Mohalla of Islamabad**

[1565](#)

Hou Rui, Sun Xi-jia, **The Intersection of National Image, Urban Development, and Civic Life: A Study on the 70-Year Evolution of Large-Scale Sports Architecture Heritage in Beijing**

[1595](#)

Xin Li, Christa Reicher, **Challenges and outlooks of incremental historic urban district renovation in China – take Beijing Hutong Dongsinan case as an example**

[1612](#)

Wendy Jo Mifsud, Alexander Farrugia, **Lived Heritage through the Changing Gaze: A Views and Vistas Analysis for Valletta**

[1628](#)

Özlem Tepeli Türel, Ahmet Türel, **Measuring Cultural Participation: A Comprehensive Study in Kayseri**

[1638](#)

Antonella Contin, **Metropolitan Cities as Living Monuments: Resilient Territories between Culture, Justice and Innovation**

[1653](#)

Zhe Wang, **Study on evaluation of liveliness level and promotion strategy for Intangible Cultural Heritage in Qinghai Province**

[1670](#)

Giuseppe Fraddosio, **The Mountain of Gargano Where the Sacred Dwells in the Forms of the Earth**

[1685](#)

Neslişah Kesici, Nilgün Çolpan Erkan, **(Re)Production of Urban Space through Cultural Events: Legacy of the Bergama Festival**

[1707](#)

Wenjing Dong, Zimeng Kong, Yanhui Wang, **Heritage Perception and Identity Construction Among Residents in Industrial Community Transformation: A Case Study of National Creative Park, Nanjing, China**

[1717](#)

Yanqi Chen, Yunning Ren, **Research on Cultural Adaptation Mechanisms of the Duku Heritage Corridor Based on Heritage Ecosystems**

[1729](#)

Xiaohan Zhang, Yan Wang, Wei Wu, **A Study on the Evolution Characteristics of the Spatial Layout of The Mountain Fortress System of Sichuan and Chongqing in China during the Song and Yuan Wars**

[1739](#)

Diana Amorim dos Santos da Silva, Melisa Pesoa Marcilla, **Drawn City: the effects of women's graffiti in public spaces of Rio and Barcelona through cartography**

[1759](#)

Shuyin Li, **The Dilemma of Authenticity: The Conservation and Reconstruction of Traditional Residences in China –Taking Pingyao, Shanghai and Suzhou as Examples**

[1769](#)

Jianren Zhi, Qun Zhang, **Exploring Spatial Evolution of Traditional Settlement Landscapes in the Southern Taihang Region of China: A Study on Cultural Ecosystem Dynamics and Reconstructive Strategies**

[1782](#)

Giada Limongi, Adriana Galderisi, Chiara Pisano, **Reversing cultural marginality in inner peripheries: the key role of community mapping**

[1797](#)

Ludovico Centis, **Silent evidence. On nuclear mounds, craters and caves**

[1804](#)

Zihan Ke, Yongjie Cai, **From Large-scale Modernist Planning to Small-scale Urban Blocks: Spatial Transformation Experiments in China's Large Gated Communities**

[1814](#)

Li Xin fei, Wei Qi, **The Manifestation of Patterns and the Reconstruction of Meaning: The Reproduction of Spatial Patterns and Role Interpretation Along Xi'an's Historical Urban Axis**

[1835](#)

Elena Guidetti, Adnan Pašić, Alessandro Massarente, **Overlapping urban processes: Research by design experiences in a Sarajevo city central military campus**

[1841](#)

Guangfeng Zhai, Zuyue Liu, Yan Wang, **A Study on the Identification of Historical and Cultural Resource Carriers Oriented by Value: A Case Study of the Taihu Lake Basin**

[1845](#)

Chunye Ma, Lan Wang, **Public emotions and visual perception of historic district : An AI approach using social media data**

[1856](#)

Gyuna Hwang, Jina Park, **The Spatial Dynamics of Cafés as Everyday Urban Spaces: Exploring Socialscapes through Streetscapes**

[1865](#)

Shaomin Zhang, Zimeng Kong, **Research on the Tourism Development Path of Human-Habitat World Heritage from the Perspective of Space Production: A Case Study of Pingyao Ancient City**

[1892](#)

Altea Panebianco, Ali Yaser Jafari, Barbara Caselli, **Digital Platform for Accessibility and Cultural Enhancement of Inner Areas in Italy**

[1907](#)

Ying Yuning, **The Value of Suqian Water Culture heritage from the Perspective of Cultural Landscape**

[1922](#)

Dan Ye, Yu Zhuang, Xin Li, **Research on the Revitalization Modes and Mechanisms of Historical Buildings in High-density Cities: A Case Study of Hong Kong**

[1933](#)

Paloma Guzman, Ole Fredrik Unhammer, Mesut Dinler, **Exploring Policy Frameworks for Cultural Heritage in Climate Adaptation and Disaster Risk Management: A Cross-Case Comparison**

[1953](#)

Gizem Ak, Murat Cemal Yalçın, **Exploring the Emotional Dynamics of Beyoğlu's Transformative Urban Atmosphere Through Music Ecosystems**

[1969](#)

Busra Nur Gundogdu, Imge Akcakaya Waite, **Participatory living heritage planning in practice: Lessons from Balıkçıköy, Istanbul**

[1984](#)

Iman Batita, Justin McGuinness, **Digital platforms, regulatory planning and heritisation: Airbnb and discourses around courtyard houses in the medina of Tunis (2011 to 2024)**

[2000](#)

Marcos Marina-Castello, Gabriel Marro-Gros, Ana Ruiz-Varona, **Canal Imperial de Aragón, the functionality of a water infrastructure and the inheritance of a traditional agriculture landscape in Spain**

[2007](#)

Andrea Di Cinzio, Lorenzo Morelli, **Heritage and Community. Strategies for a sustainable change of the mid-Adriatic coastline**

[2022](#)

Chao Ma, Zuobin Wu, Yimin Wei, **Complex System Conservation Technology for Spatial Cultural Heritage Networks: A Case Study of Qinghai Province, China**

[2035](#)

**TRACK 7 - INCLUSION**

Mao Weifeng, **Spatial Development Strategies and Policy Recommendations for Social Development in Shenzhen**

[2051](#)

Melis Oğuz Çevik, Safiye Özge Subaşı, Emre Söylemez, **Gender-Responsive Approaches in Post-Disaster Temporary Settlement Planning: Insights from Malatya and Adıyaman**

[2063](#)

Emre Çelebi, Elif Kısar Koramaz, **The Role of Spatial Alienation in the Journey of Existence as an Actor in Contested Urban Areas: The Case of Kasımpaşa, Istanbul**

[2076](#)

Duygu Cihanger Ribeiro, Paulo Silva, **Multiculturalism in Lisbon: Migration, Integration Policies, and Urban Space**

[2094](#)

Kaixuan Wu, **Inclusive Renovation Strategies for Aging Residential Communities — A Case Study of Daguan Subdistrict in Hangzhou**

[2103](#)

Lale Görgülü, Menelaos Gkartzios, **A Capital-Based Assessment of the Livelihood Vulnerability of Syrian Refugees**

[2117](#)

Tzu Hsuan Huang, Hsien Hsin Cheng, **Exploring Environmental Perception, Cognition, and NIMBY Sentiments Toward Psychiatric Hospitals: A Spatial Planning Perspective**

[2149](#)

Hilay Atalay, Nuran Zeren Gülersoy, **A Model for Measuring and Assessing Social Sustainability: Ankara Dikmen Valley Case Study**

[2160](#)

Pranavi Kasula, **Rethinking Social Inclusivity. The Case of Urban Villages in Delhi**

[2180](#)

Jiang Shengbin, Zhang Yuyun, **A Study on the Spatiotemporal Patterns and Influencing Factors of Urban-Rural Integrated Development: A Case Study of the Chengdu Plain Economic Zone**

[2202](#)

Shuyan Guo, Shijie Sun, **Transformation of Consumption Space in Urban Regeneration: Spatial Characteristics and Influencing Factors of Youth Subcultural Consumption Space**

[2222](#)



Sun Keyi, Wang Xingping, **The Demand-Supply Measurement of Youth Development-Oriented Cities Based on the Characteristics of Generation Z: A Case Study of Shanghai, Nanjing, and Hangzhou**

[2238](#)

Sin Yu Wang, Tzuyuan Stessa Chao, **Just smart? A Preliminary Study on the correlation of Smart City Infrastructure and Gentrification**

[2254](#)

Xun Zhang, Qingxin Yang, Zhihan Zhang, Yuyue Huang, Geyang Xia, **Unveiling travel communities of people with disabilities using smart card data: A case study of Nanjing**

[2262](#)

Chen Ge, Wang Yuchen, Huang Jianzhong, **What kind of shrinkage differences do urban agglomerations at different stages of development lead to in the era of negative population growth?**

[2272](#)

Chen Ge, Wang Yuchen, Huang Jianzhong, **Equity of pediatric diagnosis and treatment hospital allocation from the perspective of supply and demand: a case study from Shanghai, China**

[2283](#)

Binita Mahato, Arindam Roy, **Grassroots Organization and Informal Economy: Learnings from Hawker Sangram Committee**

[2291](#)

Ge Wan, Jianzhong Huang, **Floating Population Structure in Shrinking Area of Shanghai Metropolitan Area: An Urban-Rural Mobility Network Analysis**

[2312](#)

Yi Zhong, **Transformative Planning for Sustainable Futures: Bridging Global Goals and Local Actions through Adaptive Frameworks**

[2324](#)

Ruotong Zhang, Yi Wang, Zhongjie Lin, **Equity-Oriented Optimisation of Public Space Supply-Demand in Ageing Communities: A Behavioural Perspective on Elderly Residents**

[2330](#)

Jing Guo, De Wang, **Inclusive Urban Planning Based on Time-Use Analysis: A Markov Chain Approach Across Family Life Cycles**

[2342](#)

Huan Dong, Haixiao Pan, Zizhan Wang, Zhendong Wang, Kun Li, **Neighborhood walkability for older adults and their travel – Shanghai Anshan area case study**

[2359](#)

Huan Liu, **Study on the Construction and Inheritance of Rural Models in Shaanxi North from the Perspective of Human-Land Emotional Bonds**

[2375](#)

JiaQian Zhang, XiaoDong Xu, **Inequalities in Public Cultural Facility Distribution: A Comparative Analysis Across Demographic Groups in Wenzhou, China**

[2385](#)

Cui Bowen, **A Study on the Optimization of Public Service Facilities in the Inclusive '15-Minute Community Life Circle' Based on Equity: A Case Study of Wujiaochang Sub-district, Shanghai**

[2400](#)

Jennifer Minner, Jocelyn Poe, Felix Heisel, Ash Kopetzky, Maya Porath, Dylan Stevenson, Gretchen Worth, **Embodying Justice in the Built Environment: Transatlantic Reflections on Carbon Neutrality, Circularity, and Justice**

[2416](#)

Yuxing Zhao, Lan Wang, **How Spatial Factors Affect Respiratory Health in Coal Resource-based Cities: a Case-control Study in Eastern Yunnan Province, China**

[2429](#)

Yunzheng Zhang, Fubin Luo, **Who gets less urban greenness: A case study of Sydney**

[2441](#)

Stefania Butti, Emanuele Garda, Michela Cameletti, Marta Rodeschini, Gregorio Pezzoli, Francesca Morganti, **Beyond the ideal: rethinking Aging in (urban) Place through the lens of vulnerability. Insights from Italian CASA Project**

[2452](#)

Büşra Tilki, Elif Kısar Koramaz, **A Bibliometric Analysis on the Relationship Between Migration and Urban Design**

[2463](#)

Hülya Saçın, Ahmet Burak Büyükcivelek, **The Urban Factors in Highly Skilled Migration Motivation: The Case of Turkish Professionals in the Netherlands**

[2475](#)

Pavĺína Suchá, **Urban Form and Social Exclusion: A Spatial Perspective on Residential Segregation in Czech Cities**

[2493](#)

Carlotta Caciagli, Paola Piscitelli, Stefania Sabatinelli, Paola Savoldi, Aubrey Toldi, **Counteracting digital divides through a place-based inclusion process. Insights from an ongoing research project**

[2509](#)

## TRACK 8 - EDUCATION AND SKILLS

Tara Lynne Clapp, Mark Groulx, **Pedagogy for the future: Equipping students for complex and climate-relevant practice**  
[2529](#)

Sarah Isabella Chiodi, Lorenzo Liguoro, **Research-Driven Pedagogy: Advancing Transformative Skills in Spatial Justice and Urban Sustainability through Educational Innovation. Insights from the REPLACE Project**  
[2537](#)

Edmond Hajrizi, Binak Beqaj, Skender Kosumi, Shqiprim Ahmeti, **UBT "SMART City": A Small-Scale City Ecosystem for Experimentation in Knowledge-Driven Urban Planning**  
[2551](#)

Giuffrè, M., Benigni M.S., Fontana, C. Tomassoni, V., **Sismopoli, playing cities in risk**  
[2568](#)

Begüm Eser, T.Kerem Koramaz, **Epistemological Uncertainties in Planning Education: The Transformative Role of Studio Pedagogy**  
[2582](#)

Azza Kamal, **AI + Geospatial Models for Engagement and Performance Measures in the Pedagogy of Sustainable Planning Studio**  
[2589](#)

## TRACK 9 - URBAN FUTURES

Esin Ozkilic, Fatih Terzi, **Local Appropriation Model for Circular Maker Spaces (CMS) in Istanbul**  
[2602](#)

Caterina Juric, **Unveiling Hidden Potential. A Typological Approach for the Underground Landscape.**  
[2624](#)

Alexandros Mpantogias, Varvara Toura, **Reimagining the future of peri-urban spaces: planning narratives for the sustainability of these areas. The case study of "Stena tou Nestou" in Xanthi, Greece**  
[2639](#)

Weiping Cao, Su Wang, Han Tao, **Spatiotemporal Evolution Characteristics and Planning Strategies of Population and Construction Land in Shrinking Chinese Counties**  
[2655](#)

Dzheylyan Safet Karaulan Sozuer, Yasin Çagatay Seçkin, **Planning for Play-Friendly City: Global Narratives and Local Interpretations in the Context of Istanbul**  
[2666](#)

Chen Gongda, **Research on the Distribution and Typological Characteristics of Urban Office Centres from a Fine-Grained Perspective: A Case Study of Yangpu District in Shanghai, China**

[2674](#)

Lea Petrović Krajnik, Damir Krajnik, Ivan Mlinar, Teodora Jelić, **Research on the Potential for Future Development of Labin and Raša in Istria**

[2695](#)

Aida Arik, **Towards transformative actions through perceptions research: Q-methodology as a tool for identifying ideological dimensions of planning problems**

[2715](#)

Yasmine Abdul Ghani, Imdat As, **From Masdar City, Abu Dhabi to Florya, Istanbul: What Can We Learn from Smart Cities?**

[2725](#)

Jan Schreurs, **Co-Producing the Future: Imaginaries at Work in Spatial Planning Practice**

[2741](#)

Manuel Caldeira, **Sensemaking In Urban Experiments: The Case of Barcelona's Superblocks**

[2755](#)

Katharina Mayer, Martin Berchtold, **The fictional municipality of "Zwirgberg" as a model for spatial planning in rural Rhineland-Palatinate**

[2771](#)

Giovanni Caudo, **Next Generation EU The Case of Rome, two strategic actions within a list of projects**

[2795](#)

## TRACK 10 - THEORIES

Aksel Hagen, **Should planning and policy gather around the concept of "community of disagreement"?**

[2805](#)

Chandrima Mukhopadhyay, **Progressive Urbanism**

[2817](#)

Liangwei Du Xinwen Wang, **Localization and Application of Scene Theory in the Context of Historic Town Preservation in China: A Case Study of Shannxi Mizhi Ancient Town**

[2829](#)

Zhejun Wang, **The Role and Value of Theory in Urban Design: Can They Truly Shape the City?**

[2846](#)

Ruggero Signoroni, **Rethinking Promethean planning: An epistemological enquiry of technoscientific-centrism in planning theories**

[2855](#)

Liudmila Slivinskaya, **What planning needs from theorizing on place in times of global challenges**  
[2868](#)

Paula Freire Santoro, Felipe Suzuki Ursini, Carolina Heldt D'Almeida, Débora Ungaretti, Amanda Silber Bleich, Gabriela Prado Filipe, **Different rental housing policies models and the ongoing difficulty of overcoming the housing crisis**  
[2879](#)

Esen Gökçe Özdamar, **Pathways to Urban Resilience: Tactical Urbanism vs. Transdisciplinary Approaches**  
[2895](#)

Grégoire Picard, Giovanni Fusco, Ornella Zaza, **The Insufficient Role of Urban Form and Its Uses in Baseline Assessments of Urban Plans in France**  
[2914](#)

#### TRACK 11 - EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES

Izzy Yi Jian, Xiaosheng Zhu, Yangyang Pan, Kar Him Mo, **"Love the Specific Scene of Life": A Visual Analysis of User-Generated Narratives on Walkable City Spaces**  
[2935](#)

Yun Ling, Jing Gan, **Spatiotemporal perception of disasters based on social media data in the context of climate change: A case study of Shanghai**  
[2948](#)

Yuyue Huang, **Intelligent Urban Design: Self-organized Block Form Generation Using Reinforcement Learning - An Empirical Study from Nanjing, China**  
[2967](#)

Xinzhuo Zhao, **Unravelling the Complexity of Urban Functional Organization Based on Explainable GeoAI**  
[2976](#)

Ayşe Velioğlu, Fatih Terzi, **Monitoring the Impact of Urban Regeneration on Green Infrastructure: Istanbul Case**  
[2987](#)

Songming Li, Xiliu He, Shijie Sun, **Exploring the Emotional Spatial Patterns and Functional Perceptions of Internet-Famous Streets Based on Large Language Models: A Case Study of Nanjing Old City**  
[3006](#)

Yangyang Pan, Zilu Wang, Peter Hasdell, Izzy Yi Jian, **Gamifying Participatory Design: A Digital Platform for Urban Renewal in Gangxia Urban Village, Shenzhen**  
[3026](#)

Jian Chen, Guy Garrod, Menelaos Gkartzios, **Using Social Media Big Data and ChatGPT for Identifying Counter-urbanisation Hot Spots in China**  
[3038](#)

Qianhui He, Shijie Sun, **Unraveling the relationship between the digital platforms and gentrification: Evidence from Nanjing, China**

[3064](#)

Abdallahman T. Y. Alashi, Özhan Ertekin, **Towards Drone-Based 3D City Information Modeling for Urban Analysis and Planning**

[3077](#)

Mustafa Raşit Şahin, Sıla Özdemir, Emine Yetişkul Şenbil, **Advanced Quantification of Urban Complexity and Adaptive Capacity: Sub-Fractal Analysis and Spatial Statistics in Izmir**

[3099](#)

Shih-Hung Yang, Han-Liang Lin, Yu-Tung Lin, Ya-Zhen Cai, Mei-Kuan Li, Hsiao-Tzu Hsiung, Wen-Ying Chen, Yen-Lin Chen, Yu-Han Nien, **Geographically weighted machine learning for modeling spatial heterogeneity in off-campus student housing rents**

[3127](#)

Sıla Özdemir, Mustafa Raşit Şahin, Emine Yetişkul Şenbil, **Exploring Regionalization and Centralization in Izmir: A Dual-Phase Analysis of Urban Morphology Using Sub-Fractal and Space Syntax Methods**

[3144](#)

Yu Zhuoyu, Li Chuanying, Wang Chenghao, Zhangwei, **Planning and Design Methods for Robot Work spaces in Smart Elderly Care Communities**

[3159](#)

Mani Dhinra, Aphra Kerr, **Towards Ethical Implementation of Urban Digital Twins: Addressing Key Challenges & Recommending Best Practices**

[3172](#)

Yılma Karatuna, Eren Kürkçüoğlu, **The Impact of Environmental Design Elements on Corporate Site Selection of Information Industry Companies in the Metaverse**

[3194](#)

Ayşenur Koçyiğit, Aydın Furkan Terzi, Koray Aksu, Hande Demirel, **Mapping Positive Energy: A Geographic Information System Approach to Defining Positive Energy Districts**

[3218](#)

Luyao Niu, Yurun Wang, Wenjia Zhang, **Leveraging Social Media Big Data and Large Language Models to Quantify the Temporal Dynamics of Cross-Border Spatial Identity: Evidence from Shenzhen-Hong Kong Region**

[3230](#)

Jintian Xu, Dujuan Yang, Qi Han, **Leveraging Digital Platforms for Circular Economy Implementation in the AECO Industry: Insights from Public-Private Partnerships**

[3256](#)

Berna Gürkan, Fatih Terzi, **Intersection of Digital and Physical: Phyigital Urban Public Spaces**

[3270](#)

Zeynep Gülce Aydın, Fatih Terzi, **Digitalization, Culture and Urban Space Interaction : A Design Fiction Approach**  
[3292](#)

Husain Vaghjipurwala, Katharina Borgmann, Maryam Hosseinzadeh, Agota Barabas, Jörg Noennig,  
**From Semantic to Thematic analysis: Extracting Research trends and topics from Text Data**  
[3311](#)

Yu Yinqi, Liu Liu, **AI-Empowered Research on Healthy Streets: An Iterative Path of Streetscape Perception, Evaluation, and Optimization**  
[3326](#)

Tianxin Zhang, Mengde Zheng, **The Study on the Measurement and Enhancement Strategies of Urban Street Space Quality Based on Street View Images: A Case Study of Streets in the Central Urban Area of Xi'an**  
[3346](#)

Balázs Cserpes, Rachele Vanessa Gatto, **A Digitalised Tourism Sector: Impact on Occupations and Geographies**  
[3360](#)

Edmond Hajrizi, Binak Beqaj, Skender Kosumi, Shqiprim Ahmeti, **UBT "SMART City" Model as a Testbed for Emerging Digital Technologies and Transformative Urban Planning**  
[3378](#)

Tarek Al-Rimawi, Michael Nadler, **Harnessing Smart Technologies in Real Estate: A New Paradigm for Spatial Planning and Urban Development**  
[3393](#)

Rui Jiang, Bige Tunçer, Cem Ataman, **LLM-enabled Ontology Alignment to Support Complex Decision-Making in the Built Environment**  
[3408](#)

#### TRACK 12 - DISASTER-RESILIENT PLANNING

Sun Xijia, Li Xiangfeng, Hou Rui, Li Xiaoming, **Floods and Livelihoods: A Multi-dimensional Comparative Study of Territorial Space Planning Policies for Flood Detention Areas in China**  
[3425](#)

Adnan Oğuzhan, Bora Yerliyurt, **Industry 5.0 as a Tool for Strengthening Urban Resilience Against Disaster Risks**  
[3438](#)

Dayeon Shin, Taeyeong Kim, Dakota Aaron McCarty, **Exploring the Feasibility of Sponge City Solutions for Flood Resilience in Gangnam, Seoul**  
[3454](#)

Miao-Ching Shen, Hsueh-Sheng Chang, **Flood Risk Perception Under the Levee Effect: Adaptive Management Strategies for Heterogeneous Individuals**  
[3466](#)

Gözde İdil Özcan, **What Are the Obstacles in the Recovery Process Considering the Role of Actors? The Case of the Kahramanmaraş Earthquake in Turkey**

[3478](#)

Francesca Paola Mondelli, Marta Rabazo Martin, Maria Grazia Cianci, **How the coastal cities are transforming? The disaster as catalysts of change**

[3494](#)

Tuğba Kütük, Cansu Güller, **Post-Disaster Population Mobility: Considerations for the February 2023 Earthquakes in Türkiye**

[3513](#)

Cora Fontana, Valentina Tomassoni, Margherita Giuffrè, Massimiliano Moscatelli, **Why should we bother about risk reduction at the urban scale? The Early Recovery System (ERS) framework to assess the impact of prevention instruments on post-quake urban functionality**

[3528](#)

Adem Sakarya, **Investigation Of Earthquake Damage in Terms of Different Land Covers: 2023 Kahramanmaraş Earthquakes**

[3543](#)

Aydan Ege Güven, Giulio Breglia, Marco Modica, **Critical Infrastructures and Their Role in Regional Resilience: Mapping EU Regions**

[3550](#)

#### TRACK 13 - HOUSING AND SHELTER

Jiaqi Zhao, Wenzhu Zhou, Wen Xiong, **A Study on Per Capita Housing Status and Living Quality Enhancement in Dashilan Historical and Cultural Block**

[3565](#)

Tolga Levent, **An Assessment on Provincial Differentiation of Housing Problem in the Turkish Case**

[3579](#)

Elifsu Şahin, Elif Alkay, **The Social Reflections of Neoliberal Policies, Planning Legislation, and Housing in the Istanbul Metropolitan Area**

[3592](#)

Mengjia Qiu, Li Bao, **Housing Justice in Urban Regeneration from a Hierarchical Perspective: Practice and Reflection on the Case of Nanjing, China**

[3605](#)

Pietro Bonifaci, Andrea Fantin, Jacopo Galli, Nicolò Genovese, Giulia Piacenti, Klarissa Pica, Marco Turcato, Elisa Vendemini, **Transitional housing. Adaptive and Sustainable Design Strategies for Gaza Strip Reconstruction**

[3629](#)



Ivana KaturiĆ, Lucijan ČerneliĆ, **Planning for Compact Cities: The Interplay of Housing Provision and Urbanisation**

[3644](#)

Duygu Çayan, Özgöl Burcu Özdemir Sarı, **Predicting Housing Prices: The Case of Ankara Housing Market**

[3656](#)

Oluwapelumi Obayanju, **Nigerian Housing Development Policies Impact on Lagos Housing Deficit (1960 – 2020) A Critical Literature Review)**

[3670](#)

Amin Alipour, Mahmoud Sharepour, **Ownership Dynamics of Low-Income Households Under Iran's Mehr Housing Policy (IMHP) (The Case Study of 13 IMHP Residential Complexes in Babol and Babolsar, Iran)**

[3688](#)

#### TRACK 14 - ETHICS, VALUES AND PLANNING

Kimberley Kinder, Sophia Spence, **Planning for gender health justice: A scoping review and research agenda**

[3709](#)

#### TRACK 15 - PROPERTY MARKET ACTORS

Alberto Bortolotti, **The financialisation of actors, practices and instruments. Insights from Milano's urban market**

[3722](#)

Semanur Özcan, Oya Akin, **The State as an Entrepreneur Who Transforming Public Spaces: The Case of Zeytinburnu Fabrika-i Humayun**

[3727](#)

#### TRACK 16 - FOOD

Luanxuan Zang, **Dynamic Analysis of Cultivated Area-Food-Population System in Shrinking Rural Areas of Northeast China and Its Planning Strategies**

[3743](#)

İrem Özdemireli, Ekin Güneş Şanlı Aydın, **Informing Sustainable Regional Food Chains through Serious Games: The Play Marmara "Food"**

[3753](#)

Luanxuan Zang, Weiping Cao, Su Wang, Han Tao, **The Evolution Characteristics and Planning Regulation of the Population-cultivated land-food (PCF) system in Rural Counties of China**

[3767](#)

Alessandra Manganelli, **Exploring the food-climate nexus through a justice lens: insights from urban experimentations.**

[3781](#)

Andrea Lulovicova, Philemon Germanique, Stephane Bouissou, **How Local Policies Transform Foodscapes, Influence Dietary Shifts, and Reduce Emissions: Insights from Six Mediterranean Sub-Regions in France**  
[3794](#)

Sophia Arbara, **Reconfiguring Food Systems in Egypt's Nile Delta An Agroecological Approach to Delta Urbanism**  
[3810](#)

Ayşe Burcu Kısacık, Merve Deniz Tak, **Empowering Women through Food Production from the Perspective of Cooperatives**  
[3827](#)

Ana Moragues Faus, Alessandra Manganell, Tanya Zerbian, **Understanding the role of diverse cities for sustainable food security: a socio-ecological governance framework**  
[3836](#)

Augusto Fabio Cerqua, **Urban Agriculture and Multispecies Commoning in Public Housing Regeneration: Rethinking Ecologies of Care in Scampia**  
[3850](#)

#### TRACK 17 - PUBLIC SPACE

Asma Mehan, **Operationalizing Justice: Ethical Frameworks for Adaptive Planning in the Age of Planetary Crisis**  
[3858](#)

Jiang Shengbin, Lin Roulan, **A Study on the Supply-Demand Relationship of Recreational Services Supported by Ecological Public Spaces: A Case Study of Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China**  
[3866](#)

Marichela Sepe, **Evaluating Public Space Resilience: an index and a case study**  
[3878](#)

Mengde Zheng & Tianxin Zhang, **Urban Street Space in Planning Transformation: Analyzing and Optimizing the Quality Differences between Subjective and Objective Perspectives—A Case Study of Xi'an**  
[3892](#)

Ying Sun, Xiangfeng Li, Zhizhe Sun, **Designing Accessibility Public Spaces in Old City Communities Based on the Outdoor Activity Characteristics of People with Disabilities: A Case Study of Chengxian Street Community in Nanjing**  
[3904](#)

Varvara Toura, Alexandros Mpantogias, **Public spaces as agents of inclusive and sustainable urban transformations. The case study of "Xersonisos tis Panagias" in Kavala, Greece**  
[3916](#)

Ruohan Yin, **Reshaping Urban Spaces: Exploring the Role of Temporary Public Open Spaces in Alleviating Land Pressure in Hong Kong**

[3934](#)

Marta Rodeschini, Emanuele Garda, Marco Tononi, Gregorio Pezzoli & Alessandro Filomeno, **Urban Green Areas as a Tool for City Care: Insights from Medium-Sized Italian Cities**

[3949](#)

Yan Xinhang, Huang Yi, **Fostering Multicultural Integration in Transforming Context: Community Participatory Museum as a Bridge**

[3964](#)

Aleksandra Stupar, Jelena Ivanovic Vojvodic, Aleksandar Grujicic, **Over the rainbow: Urban oasis as an inclusion hub**

[3979](#)

Han Tao, **The Path of Land Use Control for Urban Development and Construction Projects in Germany**

[3989](#)

Han Tao, **Comparative Analysis of Legal Frameworks and Reform in Global Urban Planning Systems: Insights from 'Planning Regulation-Constrained' and 'Development Order-Guided' Models in the Stock Era**

[4000](#)

Derya Koçaş, Elif Kısar Koramaz, **Comprehensive Evaluation of Child-Friendly Public Spaces: The Zümrütevler Case as Istanbul's First Permanent Two-Stage Street Transformation**

[4013](#)

Fei Kong, Ruoshi Zhang, **Evaluation of Emotional Attachment Characteristics of Urban Vitality Complexes Based on EW-GRA-TOPSIS Model in the Context of Stock Renewal: A Case Study of Five Typical Urban Complexes in Beijing and Shanghai**

[4034](#)

Burcu H. Ozuduru, L. Hilal Ozcebe, **Rethinking Urban Living Environments: Investigating the Influence of Built Environment Features on Physical Activity Levels – Evidence from a Cross-Sectional Study in Ankara, Türkiye**

[4058](#)

Ceren Kocer Gul, Ipek Akpınar, **Bridging Rural and Urban Dynamics within the Framework of Rural Gentrification: Urladam as a Transformative Public Space**

[4067](#)

Xinkai Yang, Li Bao, **Community Reading Spaces as Social Infrastructure: A Case Study of Nanjing**

[4079](#)

Leung Lik Fong, JIAN Yi Izzy, Wen Zhuoyi Vincent, **The Role of Urban Green Spaces Attributes in Enhancing Leisure Opportunities and Psychological Well-Being Among Older Residents**

[4103](#)

Qingyun Luo, Wenjun Xu, Mengling Jiang, Anqi Xia, **Temporary Urbanism in Action: Theme-Neighborhood (Mis) alignment and Visitor Response in Beijing Pop-up Markets**

[4119](#)

Mengyue Mei, Tongyu Sun, **Integrating Energy Performance and Public Space Metrics: A Study from the Perspective of Urban Design**

[4135](#)

Sezen Türkoğlu, Fatih Terzi, **Exploring Meanings and Experiences of Public Spaces: Topic Modelling Insights from Museum Gazhane**

[4147](#)

Emanuele Garda, Francesca Morganti, Michela Cameletti, Marta Rodeschini, Stefania Butti, Gregorio Pezzoli, **The age-friendly public city: initial reflections of a medium-size city as Bergamo**

[4163](#)

Merve Deniz Tak, Ayşe Burcu Kısacık, **Public Drinking as a Transformative Practice of Placemaking**

[4178](#)

Gregorio Pezzoli, **The Italian Integrated Water Service as a Promoter of Soil Desealing Processes**

[4186](#)

Vasiliki Fraggaki, **Public Space as Social Infrastructure for Urban Wellbeing: Transformation and resistance in Protomagias Square, Athens under persistent austerity**

[4197](#)

Cansu Kislal Kol, Esin Ozkilic, Imge Akcakaya Waite, **Exclusion of the vulnerable from public space in the shadow of urban transformation: the case of sex workers in Istanbul's Pera**

[4210](#)

Ángel Aparicio, **Planners and the moral power of the “unpleasant”**

[4231](#)

Anja Pejović, Riccardo Pollo, **From Car-Centric to People-Centric: Developing Street Transformations Through Environmental and Community Involvement Approaches**

[4241](#)

Necdet Ayik, **Reclaiming or excluding? The evolution of waterfront redevelopment and public space in Genoa**

[4251](#)

Aygül Demir, Zeynep Aslı Gürel, **Quests for Improving Visual Quality in Streets**

[4262](#)

Jiaxin Qi, Yuhang Rao, **Informal Utilization Characteristics of Underpass Public Spaces in Megacities from the Perspective of Co-Production Theory: A Case Study of Elevated Highway Underpasses in Guangzhou**

[4273](#)

Karla Barrantes Chaves, Nidia Cruz Zúñiga, Erick Centeno Mora, **After COVID-19: Urban Parks as Catalysts of Hope for the Common Good – The Costa Rican Case**

[4290](#)

Buse Ceren Ener, Erhan Kurtarir, **Visual Perception of Universal Design Interventions for World Heritage Sites: Case of Istanbul Historic Peninsula**

[4302](#)

#### TRACK 18 - TOURISM

Konstantina Nikoletta Karasarini, **Mass Tourism in Malia: Towards a Decolonial Model for the Lassithi Plateau**

[4310](#)

Maryam Farash Khiabani, **Exploring the genuine flavours of Tehran's cafes: a postmodern destination for tourism**

[4333](#)

Yixin Liu, Zhimin Li, Yixin Tian, Hao Wang, Ruqin Wang, **Research on the Correlation Between Tourists' Facial Emotion Perception and Street Spatial Elements Through Deep Learning: A Case Study of Traditional Tourism-Oriented Villages in China**

[4349](#)

Zeijing Zou, Xiaojian Chen, Yixin Liu, Yuxin Wang Leihao Liu, **Correlation Between Street Space and Tourist Behavior in Tourism-Oriented Traditional Villages Under Distinct Development Models: A Case Study of Yuanjia Village, Shaanxi Province**

[4359](#)

Qinghang Cheng, **Research on the Construction of City Walk Tourism Routes from the Perspective of Cultural Heritage Conservation: A Case Study of Beiyuanmen Historic and Cultural District in Xi'an**

[4377](#)

Yixuan Zhang, Shijie Sun, **Identification of Spatial Conflicts between Tourists and Local Residents: Based on "Nanjing Travel Pitfall Avoidance" Posts on Rednote**

[4388](#)

Chao Zhang, **Assessment and Optimization of Sustainable Tourism Planning for Suburban Rural Areas of Metropolitan Fringe Areas Based on Ecological Landscape Unit Division: Evidence from Huanglongxian, Nanjing**

[4406](#)

Jing Zhang, **Research on the Measurement and Evaluation of the Development Performance of the Red Cultural Tourism Space in Hadapu Town based on AHP and Fuzzy Comprehensive Evaluation Method**

[4422](#)

Yifan Song, Paulina Maria Neisch, **Planetary Gentrification of Rural China: the case of yangjiale**

[4441](#)

Aida Arik, Emmanuelle George, **The messy interplay between forces of resistance and forces for change in territorial transitions: A case study of an Alpine ski resort**

[4460](#)

Rümeysa Kuduban, Bora Yerliyurt, **Examining the Phenomenon of Overtourism in the Context of Local Community Perception: The Case of Bodrum.**

[4474](#)

Yuxiao Ma, Yuhan Zhou, Jianzhong Huang, **Traveling for Celebrities: Fandom Tourism and the Creatively Repurposing of Urban Spaces**

[4482](#)

Sarah E. Braun, Angelica De Vito, **Navigating the University-Tourism Nexus: Employing Participatory Strategies for Liveability in Sorrento**

[4495](#)

Sadullah AKSOY, Mehmet Penpecioğlu, **Exploring the Politics of Gastronomy Tourism and Gentrification Nexus: Gastro-Driven Development and Peri-Urban Geographical Change in the case of Urla Izmir**

[4512](#)

Wei-ning Shi, Zuo-bin Wu, Chao Ma, **Cultural route perspective: heritage tourism value evaluation and route optimization of the Silk Road (Shaanxi section )**

[4523](#)

#### **SPECIAL SESSION 1**

Lena Verlooy, Laura Shlakku, Tim Devos, **Energy Justice in Underprivileged Neighbourhoods: Reimagining Energy Transitions Through Community Storytelling**

[4535](#)

#### **SPECIAL SESSION 2**

Renzo Sgolacchia, **A Community Out of the Box: Understanding Flexibility and Justice through Self-organised Housing in the Netherlands**

[4550](#)

Nicola Russolo, **Water justice, scarcity and environmental flows. The case of Marseille**

[4557](#)

Luis Martin Sanchez, Elena Longhin, **Re-mining Punta Corna. A Laboratory on the Local Impacts of the Critical Raw Materials Act in the Alpine Region**

[4571](#)

Elena Marchigiani, Teresa Frausin, Valentina Novak, Sara Basso, **Designing Good Life for All. Explorations on a Fragile Neighbourhood in Trieste**

[4584](#)

Gabriele Leo, **The Old Town of Taranto. Reclaim neglected spaces to challenge narratives of decline**  
[4617](#)

Emanuel Giannotti, Luca Velo, Maria Chiara Tosi, **Mobile justice in the face of climate change on the North Adriatic coast.**  
[4638](#)

Nicola Fierro, Enrico Formato, **Civic peri-urban: repositioning peri-urban urbanization in new forms of inter-generational co-ownership.**  
[4646](#)

#### SPECIAL SESSION 6

Joaquín Farinós Dasí, Moneyba González Medina, Alankrita Sarkar & Paul Gerretsen, **Spatial Planning and Metropolitan Governance to face Flood risk in the new Climate Change context: A comparison between Eurodelta Megaregion and Valencia metropolitan area**  
[4656](#)

#### SPECIAL SESSION 8

Pitkänen, M. & Hollmén, S. H., **Decolonial Strategies for Urban Greenery: Insights from Reimagining Planning Through Epistemic Pluralism in Kumasi**  
[4675](#)

#### SPECIAL SESSION 9

Deshayes Eloïse, Brown Will, **Accounting beyond boundaries: Exploring consumption-based carbon approaches in Paris and Gothenburg**  
[4688](#)

#### SPECIAL SESSION 12

Özge Yalçiner Ercoşkun, Ebru V. Ocalir, Ceren Ercoşkun, Hilal Tulan Isildar, Buse Erbas, Janina Welsch & Anna-Lena van der Vlugt, **Evaluating the 15-Min City Concept in Ankara: Resident Perceptions of Accessibility in Çankaya and Keçiören**  
[4703](#)

#### SPECIAL SESSION 13

Benedetta Grieco , Sabrina Sacco, Maria Cerreta , **A More-Than-Human Approach to Storytelling for Landscape Planning: Interactions Between Artificial Intelligence and Problem Structuring Methods**  
[4713](#)

Ozge Ogut, Benedetta Cavalieri, Elisa Conticelli, Giulia Marzani, Claudia de Luca, **Assessing Climate Change Adaptability and Risk in Italian Territories Using Open Data**  
[4726](#)

**SPECIAL SESSION 18**

Kirsten Mangels, Marvin Stiewing, **Smart regions in an ageing society - new challenges for spatial planning in relation to healthcare**  
[4742](#)



**Beyond the ideal: rethinking Aging in (urban) Place through the lens of vulnerability.  
Insights from Italian CASA Project**

**Stefania Butti<sup>1,3</sup>, Emanuele Garda<sup>2,3</sup>, Michela Cameletti<sup>4</sup>, Marta Rodeschini<sup>2</sup>,  
Gregorio Pezzoli<sup>5</sup>, Francesca Morganti<sup>1,3</sup>**

**Affiliation:**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Human and Social Sciences, University of Bergamo

<sup>2</sup> Department of Engineering and Applied Sciences, University of Bergamo

<sup>3</sup> CHL - Centre for Healthy Longevity, University of Bergamo, Italy

<sup>4</sup> Department of Economics, University of Bergamo, Italy

<sup>5</sup> University of Bergamo

Email: stefania.butti@unibg.it

**Abstract**

Aging in Place is increasingly central to debates on urban aging, especially in the context of demographic transitions and endless urbanization. While often framed as a desirable goal both for individuals and welfare systems, it can also expose older adults to hidden vulnerabilities. Remaining in the same setting over time may exacerbate forms of exclusion, isolation, or distress. Aging must therefore be seen as a situated and dynamic process, shaped by the evolving interaction between individuals and their environments. Reframing Aging in Place through this lens reveals the need to move beyond static, universal models. This is the premise of the CASA project, which explores how urban and housing contexts influence aging trajectories, offering tools to support more inclusive, context-sensitive policies for healthy longevity.

**Keywords:** Aging in place, age-friendly city, healthy longevity, empowerment, inclusion

**Introduction**

In the near future, cities will become the stage for a dual, epochal transformation. On one hand, the global population aged 60 and over is rapidly increasing, with projections suggesting it will double in the coming decades (WHO, 2023). On the other hand, for the first time in history, the majority of people are choosing to live in urban areas—a trend that, since 2008, has been profoundly reshaping human geography (UNFPA, 2007; UN, 2024) and, along with it, people's lifestyles.

Italy offers a clear illustration of this dual shift. The country is experiencing a dramatic decline in birth rates—reaching new historical lows—alongside a steady rise in life expectancy (ISTAT, 2024a). These trends are significantly altering the population structure, leading to an increasingly aging society, with a notable rise in the proportion of people aged 65 and over. In this context, medium-sized cities play a crucial role, acting as true pillars of Italian life and hosting a significant portion of the national population (OECD, 2014).

The Italian city of Bergamo is a perfect example of this reality: a complex and layered territory, where the historic urban fabric coexists with new expansions, and where residents over the age of 65 represent a significant proportion, higher than the national average (ISTAT, 2024b). Here, stories of urban growth, strategic connectivity, and the challenges of demographic change converge, prompting new reflections on the cities of the future.

In this scenario, it becomes essential to understand how health and the urban environment can mutually influence each other, and how population aging might transform the way we design and experience our cities. The World Health Organization (2017) calls for an integrated approach that places the well-being of older adults at the center, promoting a model of “active aging” in which health and quality of life are closely interwoven with the

urban environment.

Within this framework, the concept of Aging in Place (AIP)—growing older in one's own home and community—has emerged as a key focus in contemporary debates on aging, particularly in urban contexts. As populations age and cities evolve, scholars and policymakers increasingly promote AIP as a pathway to healthier, more independent, and economically sustainable aging (Pynoos, 2008). This model aligns closely with older adults' preferences to remain in familiar surroundings, maintaining their routines, identity, and social networks (Wiles et al., 2012). However, although the AIP paradigm holds great potential, it is not without complexities or criticisms. As noted by Golant (2015) and reaffirmed by other scholars, remaining in one's own home may, in some cases, exacerbate rather than alleviate vulnerability—effectively keeping individuals stuck in place (Cadmus et al., 2023), rather than supporting healthy longevity.

This article aims to present the reflections emerging from the analysis of these tensions within the Italian PNRR CASA Project. It begins by exploring the evolution and appeal of Aging in Place (AIP), then delves into the critiques that challenge its long-term sustainability. The discussion is framed through the multidimensional concept of vulnerability, which—rather than being viewed as a sign of failure—should be recognized as a crucial dimension to be actively addressed in AIP-related policies and practices

### **Toward a multidimensional understanding of aging**

In today's demographic landscape, aging is no longer a marginal issue: it is one of the structural features of our time. The steady global increase in the older population marks a turning point that challenges traditional conceptions of age, productivity, and social roles. Older adults do not represent a homogeneous group, but rather a population that is increasingly diverse in social, economic, cultural, and functional terms. This complexity calls for a critical reconsideration of aging—not as a problem to be solved (Phillipson, 2013), but as a structural condition of modern societies to be addressed with foresight.

For many years, medical sciences have described aging as an “innate and immutable” process (Powell & Hendricks, 2009), contributing to the construction of a medicalized paradigm of old age. This paradigm still persists today as a deeply rooted cultural legacy, evident in everyday life through the ongoing prevalence of ageist stereotypes (Butler, 1975).

Morganti's theoretical perspective aligns with a much-needed shift in the study of aging. In her model of “Longevity as Responsibility”, Morganti (2024) describes aging within a situated life course perspective—not merely as a biological process, but as a multidimensional experience influenced by personal, social, and environmental factors. As Morganti (2022) asserts, “To study aging is to accept the challenge of understanding the ultimate complexity of human beings”. This vision resonates with the New Map of Life, launched by the Stanford Centre on Longevity (2022), which encourages a rethinking of life stages through a map in which the final phase remains to be drawn—not as a time of fragility and loss of self-determination, but as a space of potential.

These models help to overcome deficit-based views of aging, proposing theoretical frameworks rooted in agency, responsibility, and potential. They represent a true paradigm shift, inviting us to recognize older adults as active agents and valuable contributors, rather than passive recipients of care. At the same time, we must acknowledge the profound inequalities that shape the experience of aging. These intersect with factors such as class, gender, ethnicity, geography, and disability, producing vastly different conditions of later life. While some may experience aging as a time of freedom and fulfilment, others encounter isolation, poverty, and frailty. As Morganti (2022) reminds us, aging healthily is both a right and a responsibility. It requires personal commitment in taking responsibility for one's own aging trajectory, and at the same time, political engagement aimed at

addressing structural injustices, ensuring equitable access to resources, and safeguarding the right to age with dignity and autonomy.

The demographic transformation associated with aging is not a challenge to be feared, but an extraordinary opportunity to reconceptualize later life as a stage rich in potential (Morganti, 2022). Embracing this challenge means investing not only in healthcare and pensions, but also in social planning, policymaking, and urban design, through empowerment strategies and the promotion of active aging as a path toward healthy longevity.

### **Aging in Place: navigating longevity through autonomy, responsibility, and environment**

The concept of Aging in Place (AIP) represents one of the most significant and concrete responses to the challenges posed by demographic aging. It is grounded in the idea that older adults should have the opportunity to continue living in their own environment—autonomously, safely, and with social integration—even in the presence of functional limitations or care needs. This perspective reflects not only a pragmatic orientation linked to the efficiency of welfare systems (Pynoos, 2008)—which tend to prioritize home-based solutions over institutionalization—but also embodies a dignified and relational vision of aging, one that values the connection to place and territory as crucial dimensions of well-being in old age.

Viewed through the theoretical lens of “Longevity as responsibility” (Morganti, 2024), AIP gains further conceptual depth. Longevity, in this framework, is not a neutral or purely biological condition, but a dynamic process that involves individuals, communities, and institutions—all of whom share responsibility for creating the conditions that support active and sustainable aging. In this sense, inhabiting one’s old age means far more than simply remaining at home: it implies being embedded in a context that affirms one’s right to continuity, identity, participation, and self-determination. AIP, in fact, encompasses a plurality of dimensions: physical (accessible housing, safe neighbourhoods), social (proximity networks, meaningful relationships), cultural (recognition of the role and skills of older people), and political (local services, inclusive urban planning).

Recent studies have highlighted how the desire of older adults to remain in their own homes reflects not only a practical need, but also a deep emotional and identity-based connection with the places they inhabit (Wiles et al., 2012; McGrath & Hand, 2021). The home, the neighbourhood, the community thus become spaces dense with meaning—capable of holding memories, routines, and relationships. The relational dimension of AIP is emphasized in the definition offered by the World Health Organization, which affirms that older adults should be able to “remain at home in their familiar environment and maintain relationships that are important to them” (WHO, 2020). The ability to sustain meaningful social ties—with family, friends, and neighbours—has been identified as a key protective factor against frailty, depression, and cognitive decline (Holt-Lunstad et al., 2015; Bourassa et al., 2017). At the same time, scholars have urged a more nuanced discussion of AIP—one that foregrounds place and its intersectional dimensions. Not all places are created equal: differences in accessibility, safety, and social cohesion produce uneven opportunities for quality aging in place (Greenfield, 2018; Yarker et al., 2024). This calls for a rethinking of domestic and urban space through the lens of spatial justice, with attention to disparities shaped by gender, class, ethnicity, and disability. In this light, dwelling is not a neutral act, but a negotiated and situated process that demands both political awareness and design innovation. Conceiving AIP as a “journey”—as suggested by Rogers et al. (2020)—also helps overcome a static view of both place and the aging individual, and instead embrace a dynamic, process-oriented, and transformative view. Aging, then, becomes a trajectory marked by change, along which individuals can implement adaptive strategies to preserve their flow and well-being (Morganti, 2024).

Defining Aging in Place univocally remains a rather complex task, as many scholars observe and describe it from

different perspectives (Rogers et al., 2020). A more comprehensive understanding must reflect the heterogeneity and complexity of the concept. The theme of Aging in Place prompts significant reflection across multiple disciplines, raising important questions about the ambiguity of its definition and its implications in terms of spatial justice and inequality (Finlay et al., 2021).

### **Aging in place between ideals and limitations: critical perspectives and vulnerability**

While the dominant discourse often tends to romanticize Aging in Place, a growing body of literature calls for a more critical perspective, raising doubts about its actual effectiveness for all individuals—particularly in the absence of adequate support systems. Golant (2008), for instance, highlights how the idealization of AIP may overlook the complexity of older adults' experiences, potentially concealing situations of isolation, neglect, and decline. Similarly, Wiles et al. (2012) question the assumption of the home as an inherently positive place, emphasizing that the experience of AIP is not universally empowering but is instead deeply contingent on context, resources, and relationships.

In this context, Aging in Place reveals its inherently ambivalent nature. On the one hand, it remains the preferred option for many older adults (Cutchin, 2003), who associate it with several benefits: environmental familiarity, a sense of control and autonomy, continuity with personal history (Golant, 1984), and the perception of home as a strategic economic asset—both as a safeguard for future health-related expenditures and as an inheritance to pass on to future generations (Di, 2003). Over the past two decades, public policies have largely reinforced these preferences, aligning with broader trends toward deinstitutionalization and home-based care. On the other hand, however, AIP can exacerbate everyday challenges such as mobility issues, limited access to healthcare services, and social isolation (Plouffe & Kalache, 2010; Thomas & Blanchard, 2009). From this perspective, AIP reveals its “dark side”: while it can certainly represent an opportunity, it may also expose older individuals to forms of vulnerability that hinder the fit between person and environment (Lawton & Nahemow, 1973), negatively affecting their ability to cope with the challenges of aging.

Within this framework, vulnerability emerges as a key concept in evaluating the extent to which AIP can be considered a sustainable or problematic aging strategy. Vulnerability is a complex and multidimensional concept, often associated with terms such as “fragile,” “exposed,” “susceptible,” or “powerless” (Schroeder & Gefenas, 2009). However, the New Oxford Dictionary defines it as “the state of being exposed to the possibility of being harmed or attacked, physically or emotionally” (Tong, 2014), suggesting a condition that can be situational and relational rather than intrinsic to the individual. Schröder-Butterfill and Marianti (2006) offer a particularly useful definition, describing vulnerability as “a high risk of negative outcomes resulting from a combination of exposure to risk, lack of resources, and an inability to cope.” From this perspective, vulnerability is not an inherent characteristic of old age, but rather the result of interactions among personal, environmental, structural, and relational factors. The authors emphasize the importance of protection, attributing a central role to the concept of coping, understood as the set of personal resources used to deal with difficult or stressful situations—a crucial protective mechanism against vulnerability. Alongside individual capacities, social and support networks, as well as formal protections offered by health and social services, play a key role. Nevertheless, vulnerability is frequently conflated with frailty in much of the aging literature, where older adults are often depicted as physically, psychologically, or socially diminished (Sarvimäki & Stenbock-Hult, 2016). Schröder-Butterfill and Marianti (2006) challenge this reductive view, arguing that frailty is only one dimension among many. Exposure to external risks, the nature and severity of those risks, and an individual's adaptive capacity all contribute significantly to vulnerability in later life—regardless of physical condition.

As previously discussed, acknowledging the broad heterogeneity of aging is essential. This involves taking into

account the psychological dimensions that characterize this stage of life (Morganti, 2022) and resisting ageist assumptions that homogenize older adults into a single, vulnerable group. The World Health Organization has echoed this imperative through its 2016 global campaign to combat ageism (WHO, 2021), and more recently via the endorsement of an international “Charter on Ageism in Healthcare” signed by leading scholars worldwide (Ungar et al., 2024).

The study of vulnerability in older adults can be approached from multiple perspectives, as highlighted by Chaner (2020), given the wide array of contributing factors. To fully capture its complexity, it is helpful to distinguish between various categories of vulnerability, even though they often overlap and interact. For example, psychological aspects can influence physical vulnerability: it has been demonstrated that negative self-perceptions of aging predict adverse outcomes in performing daily living activities (Moser et al., 2011). Similarly, economic vulnerability, particularly low income, has been consistently identified in cross-sectional studies as a key factor in older adults’ exposure to disadvantage (Smeeding et al., 2008; Stewart et al., 2011; Allan et al., 2011; Clarke & Gallagher, 2013). According to Allan and colleagues (2011), economic conditions are central to any comprehensive assessment of vulnerability in old age. Some studies highlight the interplay between economic and social or relational vulnerabilities. For example, older adults experiencing financial hardship are more likely to face mobility restrictions (Ryser & Halseth, 2012), which can lead to increased social isolation. Scholars agree that this subgroup is particularly susceptible to negative outcomes (Clarke & Gallagher, 2013; Dong et al., 2012).

Since social vulnerability is contextually shaped rather than innate, it can also be exacerbated by medical conditions: physical impairments may restrict mobility, impede social interaction, and intensify feelings of isolation (Rapaport et al., 2015). Given its dynamic nature, social vulnerability is not limited to economic poverty, but includes events and conditions that undermine social, cultural, political, and economic relationships (Cruz et al., 2019).

Environmental vulnerability, by contrast, concerns the relationship between the older adults and the physical environment in which they live. It emerges from the interaction between population characteristics, access to services, and infrastructure. Due to its complexity, the study of vulnerability in urban life allows for a deeper understanding of the “causes of the causes”—that is, the social determinants that shape a vulnerable urban population (Marmot & Wilkinson, 2006). Understanding this form of vulnerability requires multi-level interventions that address not only the physical, social, and economic environment, but also the institutional and regulatory frameworks that shape everyday life.

Among individual vulnerabilities, we must consider not only potential declines in health, but also in mobility or cognitive functioning, as well as mood disorders—often exacerbated by social isolation. Individual vulnerabilities become critical when they interact with the environment—when people perceive physical or psychological barriers in their surroundings, leading them to feel restricted in their everyday lives. These factors can, in turn, undermine safety and independence, increasing exposure to the risk of aging trajectories marked by frailty (such as falls, illness, or isolation).

As previously discussed, economic vulnerability intersects with environmental factors in significant ways: when older adults lack the financial means to maintain or modify their homes, access home-based care, or meet basic living expenses, they are at heightened risk of housing insecurity, which can generate chronic instability and anxiety, thereby reducing quality of life and reinforcing pathways toward frailty. Social and relational vulnerability, resulting from the loss of meaningful relationships, withdrawal from community life, or absence of informal support networks, may require an Aging in Place approach supported by strong social infrastructures—such as



community centres, accessible transportation, and intergenerational initiatives—capable of promoting active aging and, above all, fostering a cultural shift toward the assumption of personal responsibility in the aging process. As outlined previously, the risks of isolation and loneliness can have significant negative consequences for mental health (Nicholson, 2009).

From a Bronfenbrennerian ecological perspective (Bronfenbrenner, 1979), environmental vulnerability can be located at the mesosystem level, where the individual interacts with settings immediately outside of their proximal networks. From there, it extends across further levels, up to the macrosystem, which includes cultural, institutional, and regulatory contexts. Institutional vulnerability, for instance, can stem from inadequate public policies: fragmented, expensive, or inaccessible services can expose older adults to trajectories of frailty. A lack of coordination among health care, housing, and local welfare systems represents a significant risk. This ecological framework enables a multidimensional interpretation of vulnerability—one shaped by dynamic interactions between the individual, the environment, and wider societal systems.

Recent research conceptualizes advantages and disadvantages in later life—including in health, social connectedness, and financial stability—as cumulative outcomes of life course processes. Over time, these processes lead to growing heterogeneity among older adults, with vulnerability unevenly distributed and associated with highly diverse outcomes (Cihlar et al., 2023). In line with this view, Shin et al. (2021) analysed vulnerability as a multidimensional concept, identifying six distinct profiles based on four dimensions: material, physical, mental, and social. Among these, the combination of health and social vulnerability had the most significant negative impact on subjective well-being, followed by profiles that also included material vulnerability. The results support the notion that vulnerability, in relation to perceived well-being, must be approached from a multidimensional perspective. Cihlar et al. (2023) further reinforce this perspective, showing that social support can significantly buffer the negative impact of vulnerability on quality of life. From this multidimensional and ecological standpoint, aging appears not as a fixed condition but as a process shaped by the accumulation of heterogeneous changes over time, across multiple life domains and environmental contexts (Cihlar et al., 2023). Given this complexity, Aging in Place cannot be regarded as a one-size-fits-all solution or as universally desirable. Rather, it is an option that requires a context-sensitive understanding of both individual and environmental characteristics in order to be sustainable. The balance between personal capabilities and environmental demands must be dynamically monitored, with a view to preventing vulnerability and promoting autonomy, in support of active and responsible aging, and ultimately of healthy longevity.

### **The thin space between people and places in “Aging in Place” studies:**

In light of the reflections proposed thus far, we argue that Aging in Place can no longer be considered solely as a linear process or merely as the outcome of individual choices driven by the desire to remain in one’s own home. Instead, it is necessary to place this phenomenon within a broader theoretical framework, capable of capturing the interplay between individual characteristics, environmental dimensions, and cultural factors that influence both its experience and feasibility. Aging, in this sense, emerges as a relational and situated process, unfolding within a system of affordances—opportunities for action—that both reflect and influence individuals’ ability to act, dwell, and participate in social life. The home and the neighbourhood thus become much more than physical containers; they are transformed into lived and symbolic spaces, contributing to identity construction, biographical continuity, and a sense of belonging.

This approach calls for a paradigm shift. The question is no longer merely whether an environment is accessible or meets specific standards, but rather whether and how that environment supports, sustains, or inhibits the possibility of aging well. From this perspective, affordances—as defined in Gibson’s (1977) ecological theory—are

neither objective properties of environments nor purely subjective perceptions but instead emerge in the thin space between individual and context (Butti & Morganti, under review), particularly within their dynamic interaction. Each space, therefore, is not neutral: it becomes meaningful to the extent that it can be used and interpreted by those who inhabit it. Urban spaces offer potential and limitations to older adults not through their architectural features alone, but through the embodied and situated interactions between those features and the individuals engaging with them. Consequently, the design of aging-related policies cannot ignore an integrated analysis of the characteristics of places and the people who live in them, of social networks, cultural norms, and everyday practices.

Moreover, acknowledging that affordances also include relational dimensions—such as the availability of support, social interactions, and mutual recognition—implies recognizing that well-being in old age is intimately tied to the quality of community life. Designing age-friendly spaces cannot be reduced to the removal of architectural barriers or the expansion of service provision. Rather, it requires the adoption of a systemic vision, one that considers the multiple layers of context—as proposed by Bronfenbrenner's ecological model of human development (1979)—as well as the diverse roles that older individuals occupy in daily life, beyond the passivizing lens often perpetuated by ageist stereotypes (Butler, 1975).

The adoption of a complexity epistemology proves, within this framework, not only desirable but indispensable. A reductionist approach, which isolates variables and produces generic models, cannot account for the heterogeneity of the aging experience nor adequately respond to its challenges. Instead, it is necessary to recognize that aging is always a singular experience, rooted in specific places and times, mediated by cultural representations, individual resources, and social constraints. Consequently, any intervention aimed at promoting Aging in Place must be calibrated on the basis of contextual and participatory analyses, capable of listening to the narratives of older people and reflecting their complexity.

In this scenario, it becomes essential to develop research and design practices that place the voices of older adults at the centre, actively involving them in the definition of the spaces in which they live. Autonomy, a sense of control, identity continuity, and the possibility of participating in community life cannot be guaranteed by top-down measures but must emerge from co-constructive processes that recognize older adults as active agents in the production of their living environment. This perspective also helps counter homogenizing logics that treat older adults as an undifferentiated category, instead fostering a personalized approach attentive to differences in gender, class, culture, health, and individual history.

## Conclusions

Drawing from the considerations discussed, the need to overcome simplistic and universalizing views of aging and Aging in Place becomes evident. Studies on AIP should embrace a complex epistemological approach, capable of capturing the dynamic interaction between people and places, between evolving subjectivities and specific urban and cultural contexts. Aging is not a uniform process but a unique and situated journey, constructed through continuous interaction with the affordances offered by the environment and the meanings individuals attribute to the places of their daily life. For this reason, it is urgent to recognize older adults as active actors capable of influencing their own life trajectory. Promoting empowerment, awareness, and self-determination among older people also means combating ageist stereotypes and valuing the plurality of experiences. The integration of Bronfenbrenner's ecological model of development offers a useful tool to analyse AIP through multiple levels of interaction, from micro to macro, thereby restoring complexity and depth to the phenomenon of aging.

In Italy, the debate on AIP remains limited and often dominated by sectorial perspectives, with little attention

to the experiential and relational dimensions of aging. For this reason, we consider it fundamental to initiate multidisciplinary and contextually situated research paths, capable of enhancing local experiences, dialoguing with the culture of living and dwelling, and recognizing the subjectivity of older adults as a central element in the construction of inclusive urban environments.

It is within this theoretical horizon that the PNRR CASA project is situated, aiming to deepen the relationship between housing conditions, urban space, and aging trajectories in medium-sized Italian cities. The project adopts a multidimensional and multilevel approach to analyse how specific urban configurations can support or hinder healthy aging pathways, taking the city of Bergamo as a case study—a territorial reality paradigmatic for its spatial, social, and institutional characteristics. Through the integration of data from institutional and territorial sources at the neighbourhood level, it seeks to capture different forms of urban and housing vulnerability, as well as the potentials of contexts to promote autonomy, participation, and well-being among older adults. The ultimate goal is to develop a model, applicable to other medium-sized Italian cities, able to provide a vulnerability index of the over-65 population.

In line with the assumptions of Aging in Place and inspired by complexity epistemology, CASA aims to overcome reductive views of aging by promoting a situated and relational reading of interactions between individuals and environments. In this sense, the project aspires to provide knowledge tools useful for defining more inclusive and aware urban policies, capable of addressing the challenges posed by population aging in an equitable and sustainable manner.

We believe that only through this paradigm shift will it be possible to design cities and policies that support aging with full responsibility and promote healthy and active longevity.

## References

### Book

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design*. Harvard University Press.

Butler, R. N. (1975). *Why survive? Being old in America*. Harper and Row.

Di, Z. X. (2003). *Housing wealth and household net wealth in the United States*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University, Joint Center for Housing Studies.

Golant, S. M. (1984). *A place to grow old: The meaning of environment in old age*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Marmot, M., & Wilkinson, R. (Eds.). (2005). *Social determinants of health*. OUP Oxford.

Morganti, F. (2022). *Psicologia dell'Invecchiamento e qualità della vita. Salute, fragilità, demenze (Vol. 1314)*. Carocci.

Phillipson, C. (2013). *Ageing (1st ed.)*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

### Chapter in a Book

Tong, R. (2014). *Vulnerability and Aging in the Context of Care*. In *Vulnerability: New essays in ethics and feminist philosophy* (pp. 288).



Cruz, A. M. (2007). Engineering contribution to the field of emergency management. In *Disciplines, Disasters and Emergency Management: The Convergence and Divergence of Concepts, Issues and Trends from the Research Literature* (pp. 49–60). CC Thomas.

Lawton, M. P., & Nahemow, L. (1973). Ecology and the aging process. In C. Eisdorfer & M. P. Lawton (Eds.), *The psychology of adult development and aging* (pp. 619–674). American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/10044-020>

#### Journal Article

Allan, D. E., Funk, L. M., Reid, R. C., & Cloutier-Fisher, D. (2011). Exploring the influence of income and geography on access to services for older adults in British Columbia. *Canadian Journal on Aging*, 30(1), 69–82.

Bourassa, K. J., Memel, M., Woolverton, C., & Sbarra, D. A. (2017). Social participation predicts cognitive functioning. *Aging & Mental Health*, 21(2), 133–146.

Cadmus, E. O., Adebuseye, L. A., & Owoaje, E. T. (2023). Preferred care setting for older persons. *Plos One*, 18(10), e0292939.

Channer, N. S., Hartt, M., & Biglieri, S. (2020). Aging-in-place and spatial vulnerability. *Applied Geography*, 125, 102357.

Cihlar, V., Micheel, F., & Mergenthaler, A. (2023). Multidimensional vulnerability in Germany. *Zeitschrift für Gerontologie und Geriatrie*, 56(8), 654–660.

Clarke, P., & Gallagher, N. A. (2013). Urban built environment and mobility. *Journal of Urban Health*, 90, 997–1009.

Cruz, R. R. D., Beltrame, V., & Dallacosta, F. M. (2019). Aging and vulnerability: an analysis of 1,062 elderly persons. *Revista Brasileira de Geriatria e Gerontologia*, 22(03), e180212.

Cutchin, M. P. (2003). Mediated aging-in-place model. *Social Science & Medicine*, 57(6), 1077–1090.

Dong, X., Chang, E. S., Wong, E., & Simon, M. (2012). Loneliness in Chinese older adults. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 54(1), 151–159.

Finlay, J. M., et al. (2021). Aging in Place perspectives. *Journal of Aging & Social Policy*, 33(3), 222–246.

Gibson, J. J. (1977). The theory of affordances. *Hilldale*, 1(2), 67–82.

Golant, S. M. (2008). Aging in place of vulnerable homeowners. *Journal of Aging & Social Policy*, 20(4), 379–397.

Golant, S. M. (2015). Residential normalcy. *The Gerontologist*, 55(1), 70–82.

Greenfield, E. A. (2018). Age-friendly initiatives and spatial justice. *Hastings Center Report*, 48, S41–S45.

Holt-Lunstad, J., et al. (2015). Loneliness and mortality. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 10(2), 227–237.

McGrath, C., & Hand, C. (2021). Vision loss and neighborhood. *Wellbeing, Space and Society*, 2, 100041.

Morganti, F. (2024). Longevity as a responsibility. *Geriatrics*, 9(4), 93.

- Moser, C., Spagnoli, J., & Santos-Eggimann, B. (2011). Self-perception and vulnerability. *Journals of Gerontology Series B*, 66(6), 675–680.
- Nicholson Jr, N. R. (2009). Social isolation in older adults. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 65(6), 1342–1352.
- Plouffe, L., & Kalache, A. (2010). Towards global age-friendly cities. *Journal of Urban Health*, 87, 733–739.
- Powell, J. L., & Hendricks, J. (2009). Sociological construction of ageing. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, 29(1/2), 84–94.
- Pynoos, J., et al. (2008). Aging in Place and the law. *Elder LJ*, 16, 77.
- Rapaport, E., et al. (2015). Aging and climate change. *Canadian Public Policy*, 41(2), 166–177.
- Rogers, W. A., et al. (2020). Defining Aging in Place. *Innovation in Aging*, 4(4), igaa036.
- Ryser, L., & Halseth, G. (2012). Mobility constraints in rural seniors. *Journal of Aging & Social Policy*, 24(3), 328–344.
- Sarvimäki, A., & Stenbock-Hult, B. (2016). Meaning of vulnerability. *Nursing Ethics*, 23(4), 372–383.
- Schroeder, D., & Gefenas, E. (2009). Vulnerability critique. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*, 18(2), 113–121.
- Schröder-Butterfill, E., & Marianti, R. (2006). Understanding vulnerabilities. *Ageing & Society*, 26(1), 9–35.
- Shin, O., et al. (2021). Vulnerability and well-being. *Aging & Mental Health*, 25(7), 1361–1372.
- Smeeding, T. M., et al. (2008). Elder poverty. *LIS Working Paper Series*, No. 497.
- Stewart, M., et al. (2011). Services for immigrant seniors. *International Journal of Migration, Health and Social Care*, 7(1), 16–32.
- Thomas, W., & Blanchard, J. (2009). Aging in community. *Generations*, 33(2), 12–17.
- Ungar, A., et al. (2024). Carta of Florence Against Ageism. *The Journals of Gerontology, Series A*, 79(3), glad264.
- Wiles, J. L., et al. (2012). Meaning of “Aging in Place”. *The Gerontologist*, 52(3), 357–366.
- Yarker, S., et al. (2024). Theorizing place in Aging in Place. *The Gerontologist*, 64(2), gnad002.

#### Other Documents

Butti, S., & Morganti, F. The Thin Space between Individuals and Contexts. Available at SSRN 4944099.

Istituto Nazionale di Statistica (ISTAT). (2024a). Capitolo 3 – Popolazione e famiglie. <https://www.istat.it/storage/ASI/2024/capitoli/CO3.pdf>

Istituto Nazionale di Statistica (ISTAT). (2024b). Indicatori demografici – Anno 2024. <https://www.istat.it/comunicato-stampa/indicatori-demografici-anno-2024/>

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2014). Urban population by city size. <http://data.oecd.org/popregion/urban-population-by-city-size.htm>

Stanford Center on Longevity. (2024). The new map of life. <https://longevity.stanford.edu/the-new-map-of-life-initiative>

UNFPA. (2007). State of world population 2007: Unleashing the potential of urban growth. United Nations Population Fund.

United Nations. (2024). World population prospects 2024: Highlights. [https://population.un.org/wpp/assets/Files/WPP2024\\_Summary-of-Results.pdf](https://population.un.org/wpp/assets/Files/WPP2024_Summary-of-Results.pdf)

World Health Organization. (2017). Global strategy and action plan on ageing and health. <https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/329960>

World Health Organization. (2020). Global database of age-friendly practices. <https://extranet.who.int/agefriendlyworld/afp/>

World Health Organization. (2021). Global report on ageism. <https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/340208>

World Health Organization. (2023). WHO clinical consortium on healthy ageing 2022: Report. <https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/372136>